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## Homology Theories. Notes

## 1. Singular homology

### 1.1. The singular cubical set of a space

- Top: the category of topological spaces and continuous mappings (= maps).
- $\mathbf{I}=[0,1]$ : the standard interval, with euclidean topology.
- Basic structure: two faces $\left(\delta^{0}, \delta^{1}\right)$ and a degeneracy ( $\varepsilon$ ), linking it with the singleton $\mathbf{I}^{0}=\{*\}$
(1) $\delta^{\alpha}:\{*\} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{I}: \varepsilon$
$(\alpha=0,1)$,
$\delta^{0}(*)=0, \quad \quad \delta^{1}(*)=1, \quad \varepsilon(\mathrm{t})=*$.
- Faces and degeneracies of the standard cubes $\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}$ (for $\alpha=0,1 ; \mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$ )
(2) $\delta_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha}=\mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{i}-1} \times \delta^{\alpha} \times \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{i}}: \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}}$,

$$
\delta_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)=\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}-1}, \alpha, \ldots, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right),
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{i}-1} \times \varepsilon \times \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{i}}: \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}-1},
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{i}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, \hat{\mathrm{t}}_{\mathrm{i}}, \ldots, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) .
$$

- They satisfy the co-cubical relations
(3) $\delta_{\mathrm{j}}^{\beta} \cdot \delta_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha}=\delta_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha} \cdot \delta_{\mathrm{j}-1}^{\beta}(\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{j}), \quad \quad \varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mathrm{j}}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{j}-1} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{j})$,
$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{j}} \cdot \delta_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha}=\delta_{\mathrm{i}-1}^{\alpha} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{j}<\mathrm{i}), \quad$ or $\mathrm{id}(\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}), \quad$ or $\delta_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mathrm{j}-1}(\mathrm{j}>\mathrm{i})$.
- This produces, for every topological space X , a cubical set $\square \mathrm{X}=\left(\left(\square_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}\right),\left(\partial_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha}\right),\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right)$
(4) $\square_{n} X=\operatorname{Top}\left(\mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{X}\right), \quad$ the set of singular $n$-cubes a: $\mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ of the space X ,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\partial_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha}=\partial_{\mathrm{ni}}^{\alpha}: \square_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \square_{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{X}, & \partial_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha}(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{a} . \delta_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha}: \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}, \\
\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ni}}: \square_{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \square_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}, & \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{a} . \varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}: \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X},
\end{array} \quad(\alpha=0,1 ; \mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}) .
$$

- In general: a cubical set $K=\left(\left(K_{n}\right),\left(\partial_{i}^{\alpha}\right),\left(e_{i}\right)\right)$ is a sequence of sets $K_{n}(n \geq 0)$, together with mappings, called faces ( $\partial_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha}$ ) and degeneracies ( $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )
(5) $\partial_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha}=\partial_{\mathrm{ni}}^{\alpha}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$,
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ni}}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{n}}$

$$
(\alpha=0,1 ; i=1, \ldots, n) .
$$

satisfying the cubical relations
(6) $\partial_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha} \cdot \partial_{\mathrm{j}}^{\beta}=\partial_{\mathrm{j}-1}^{\beta} \cdot \partial_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha}(\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{j}), \quad \quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}} \cdot \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}-1} \quad(\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{j})$,
$\partial_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha} \cdot \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}} \cdot \partial_{\mathrm{i}-1}^{\alpha}(\mathrm{j}<\mathrm{i}), \quad$ or id $(\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}), \quad$ or $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}-1} \cdot \partial_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha}(\mathrm{j}>\mathrm{i})$.
Elements of $K_{n}$ are called $n$-cubes; vertices and edges for $n=0$ or 1, respectively. Every $n$-cube $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ has $2^{\mathrm{n}}$ vertices: $\partial_{1}^{\alpha} \partial_{2}^{\beta} \partial_{3}^{\gamma}(\mathrm{a})$ for $\mathrm{n}=3$.

A morphism of cubical sets $f=\left(f_{n}\right): K \rightarrow L$ is a sequence of mappings $f_{n}: K_{n} \rightarrow L_{n}$ commuting with faces and degeneracies. Cubical sets and their morphisms form a category $\mathbf{C u b}$.

- The functor $\square: \mathbf{T o p} \rightarrow \mathbf{C u b}$ acts as follows on the map $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$


### 1.2. The chain complex of a cubical set and the singular chain complex of a space

- Degenerate elements of a cubical set $K$ : all elements of type $e_{i}(a)$
(1) $\quad \operatorname{Deg}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{K}=\bigcup_{\mathrm{i}} \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \quad \quad \operatorname{Deg}_{0} \mathrm{~K}=\emptyset$.
- Because of the cubical relations, we have (for $i=1, \ldots, n$ )
(2) $\mathrm{a} \in \operatorname{Deg}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{K} \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left(\partial_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha} \mathrm{a} \in \operatorname{Deg}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{~K}\right.$ or $\left.\partial_{\mathrm{i}}^{-} \mathrm{a}=\partial_{\mathrm{i}}^{+} \mathrm{a}\right), \quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\operatorname{Deg}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{~K}\right) \subset \operatorname{Deg}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{K}$.
- The cubical set K determines a (normalised) chain complex $\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{~K})$, i.e. a sequence of abelian groups and homomorphisms (called boundaries, or differentials)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ldots \longrightarrow C_{n+1}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_{n}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}} C_{n-1}(K) \longrightarrow C_{1}(K) \longrightarrow C_{0}(K)  \tag{3}\\
& \partial_{n} \cdot \partial_{n+1}=0 \quad(n>0),
\end{align*}
$$

defined as follows:
(4) $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{K})=\left(\mathbf{Z} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) /\left(\mathbf{Z D e g}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{K}\right)=\mathbf{Z} \overline{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{n}}$

$$
\partial_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~K}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{~K}), \quad \partial_{\mathrm{n}}(\hat{\mathrm{a}})=\Sigma_{\mathrm{i}, \alpha}(-1)^{\mathrm{i}+\alpha}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{i}}^{\alpha} \mathrm{a}\right)^{\wedge}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\bar{K}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}} \backslash \operatorname{Deg}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~K}\right), \\
\left(\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{n}}\right),
\end{array}
$$

( $\mathbf{Z S}$ is the free abelian group on the set $S ; \hat{a}$ is the class of the n-cube a up to degenerate cubes; but we will write the normalised class $\hat{a}$ as $a$, identifying all degenerate cubes with 0 .)

Hint. To prove that $\partial_{n} \cdot \partial_{n+1}=0$ one uses the cubical relations for faces: $\partial_{i}^{\alpha} \cdot \partial_{j}^{\beta}=\partial_{j-1}^{\beta} \cdot \partial_{i}^{\alpha} \quad(i<j) . \square$

- In general: a chain complex $\mathrm{A}=\left(\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}\right),\left(\partial_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right)$ of abelian groups is a sequence as above, with $\partial_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \partial_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ $=0 \quad(\mathrm{n}>0)$. A morphism $\varphi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ of chain complexes is a sequence of homomorphisms $\varphi_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}$ $\rightarrow B_{n}$ commuting with differentials: $\partial_{n} \cdot \varphi_{n}=\varphi_{n-1} \cdot \partial_{n}(n>0)$. They form the category $C_{*} \mathbf{A b}$ of chain complexes of abelian groups.
- The functor $\mathrm{C}_{*}: \mathbf{C u b} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*} \mathbf{A b}$ acts on the morphism $\mathrm{f}=\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}\right): \mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$ by linear extension
(5) $\mathrm{f}_{\#}=\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{f}): \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{~K}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{~L}), \quad \mathrm{f}_{\# \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a})$.
- Composing with the functor $\square: \mathbf{T o p} \rightarrow \mathbf{C u b}$, we get the singular chain complex of a space, or complex of singular chains, written again $\mathrm{C}_{*}$
(6) $\mathrm{C}_{*}: \operatorname{Top} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*} \mathbf{A b}, \quad \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{C}_{*}(\square \mathrm{X}), \quad \mathrm{f}_{\# \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{f} . \mathrm{a} \quad\left(\mathrm{a}: \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}\right)$.


### 1.3. Homology

- The homology functor of chain complexes: the group of $n$-cycles modulo the group of $n$-boundaries
(1) $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{C}_{*} \mathbf{A b} \rightarrow \mathbf{A b}$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~A})=\operatorname{Ker}_{\mathrm{n}} / \operatorname{Im} \partial_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi)[\mathrm{z}]=\left[\varphi_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{z}\right]
$$

- Composing with the previous functors, we have the singular homology of a space
(2) Top $\xrightarrow{\square} \mathbf{C u b} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{C}_{*}} \mathrm{C}_{*} \mathbf{A b} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}} \mathbf{A b}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathbf{T o p} \rightarrow \mathbf{A b} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{f})=\mathrm{f}_{* \mathrm{n}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{*}(\square \mathrm{X})\right) \quad(\mathrm{n} \geq 0)
$$

*REMARKS*. The category Cub has all limits and colimits and is cartesian closed.

- It is the presheaf category of functors $X: \mathbb{I}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow$ Set, where $\mathbb{I}$ is the subcategory of Set consisting of the elementary cubes $2^{\mathrm{n}}$, together with the maps $2^{\mathrm{m}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathrm{n}}$ which delete some coordinates and insert some 0 's and 1 's, without modifying the order of the remaining coordinates.


### 1.4. Elementary results

$-H_{n}(X) \cong \oplus_{i \in I} H_{n}\left(X_{i}\right)$, where $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is the family of path-connected components of the space $X$.
$-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\emptyset)=0 \quad(\mathrm{n} \geq 0)$,
$-\mathrm{H}_{0}(\{*\}) \cong \mathbf{Z}, \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\{*\})=0 \quad(\mathrm{n}>0)$.

Proposition. If $X$ is path-connected, non empty: $H_{0}(X) \cong \mathbf{Z}$, with $\varphi\left[\sum \lambda_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]=\Sigma \lambda_{\mathrm{i}}$.
Hint. Use the augmented chain complex $\ldots \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{1}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ where $\partial_{0}\left(\sum \lambda_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\sum \lambda_{\mathrm{i}} ;$ prove that $\partial_{0}$ is surjective and $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\partial_{0}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{1}\right)$. Then $\varphi$ is the induced isomorphism.

### 1.5. Homotopy for topological spaces

- Two maps $f_{0}, f_{1}: X \rightarrow Y$ in Top are homotopic $\left(f_{0} \simeq f_{1}\right)$ if there is a map $F: \mathbf{I} \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that $F(\alpha, x)=f_{\alpha}(x)$, for all $x \in X \quad(\alpha=0,1)$. This relation is a congruence of categories.
- Two spaces $X, Y$ are homotopy equivalent $(X \simeq Y)$ if there are maps $f: X \rightleftarrows Y: g$ with $g f \simeq$ $\mathrm{idX}, \mathrm{fg} \simeq \mathrm{idY}$.
- A space is said to be contractible if it is homotopy equivalent to $\{*\}$.
*REMARKS*. The quotient category HoTop $=\mathbf{T o p} / \simeq$ has, by definition, the same objects and morphisms [f]: $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ consisting of homotopy classes of maps; it is called the homotopy category of spaces. Two spaces are homotopy equivalent if and only if they are isomorphic objects in HoTop.


### 1.6. Homotopy for chain complexes

- Two maps $\varphi, \psi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{*} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{b}$ are homotopic $(\varphi \simeq \psi)$ if there is a sequence of homomorphisms $\Phi_{n}: A_{n} \rightarrow B_{n+1}(n \geq 0)$ such that $\partial_{n+1} \Phi_{n}+\Phi_{n-1} \partial_{n}=-\varphi_{n}+\psi_{n}$.
- This relation is a congruence of categories, in $\mathrm{C}_{*} \mathbf{A b}$.

Proposition [Homotopy Invariance of algebraic homology]. The functors $H_{n}: C_{*} \mathbf{A b} \rightarrow \mathbf{A b}$ are homotopy invariant: if $\varphi \simeq \psi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ then $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\psi): \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{B})$ (for all $\mathrm{n} \geq 0$ ).

### 1.7. Homotopy Invariance of singular homology

Theorem. The functors $H_{n}$ : Top $\rightarrow \mathbf{A b}$ are homotopy invariant: if $\mathrm{f} \simeq \mathrm{g}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ then $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{f})=$ $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{g}): \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Y})$ (for all $\mathrm{n} \geq 0$ ).

Hint. Given a homotopy $\mathrm{F}: \mathbf{I} \times \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ between $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$, one constructs a homotopy between the associated chain morphisms $\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{Y})$
(1) $\Phi_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{Y})$, $\Phi_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{F} .(\mathbf{I} \times \mathrm{a})$
(a: $\mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ ),

$$
\partial_{\mathrm{n}+1} \Phi_{\mathrm{n}}+\Phi_{\mathrm{n}-1} \partial_{\mathrm{n}}=-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{f})+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~g}) .
$$

Corollary. If the spaces $X, Y$ are homotopy equivalent, then $H_{n}(X) \cong H_{n}(Y)$ (for all $n \geq 0$ ).
Corollary. If the space $X$ is contractible, then $H_{n}(X) \cong H_{n}(\{*\})$ (for all $n \geq 0$ ) and $X$ is pathconnected.

## 2. Computing singular homology

### 2.1. Exact sequences of abelian groups and chain complexes [*Homological Algebra*]

Definition. A sequence ... $A_{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{f}_{n+1} \longrightarrow \stackrel{f_{n}}{A_{n-1}} \ldots$ in $\mathbf{A b}$ is exact at $A_{n}$ if $\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{n+1}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(f_{n}\right)$. It is exact if it is exact at every point. Examples:

- A chain complex $A$ is exact at $A_{n}$ if and only if $H_{n}(A)=0$;
- $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow 0$ is exact in $\mathrm{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{A}=0$.
- $0 \rightarrow A-f \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ is exact in $A \Leftrightarrow f$ is mono; in $B \Leftrightarrow f$ is epi; in $A$ and $B \Leftrightarrow f$ is iso.
- $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{f} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{g} \rightarrow \mathrm{C} \rightarrow 0 \quad$ is called a short exact sequence if it is exact:
(a) exact in A (f mono);
(b) exact in $B(\operatorname{Im}(\mathrm{f})=\operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{g}))$;
(c) exact in C (g epi).
- In $\mathbf{C}_{*} \mathbf{A b}$ we have the same definitions. Kernels and images are defined componentwise:

Given $\varphi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{*} \mathbf{A b}$ :
(1) $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)=\left(\left(\operatorname{Ker}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{n}}\right),\left(\partial_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right), \quad \operatorname{Im}(\varphi)=\left(\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{n}}\right),\left(\partial_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right)\right.\right.$,
where the differentials are the restriction of the differentials of A .

### 2.2. The homology sequence of a short exact sequence of chain complexes

[*Homological Algebra*]
Theorem. Given a short exact sequence of chain complexes
(1) $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{f} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{g} \rightarrow \mathrm{C} \rightarrow 0$
there is an exact sequence of homology groups
(2) $\ldots \rightarrow H_{n}(A) \xrightarrow{f_{\varepsilon_{n}}} H_{n}(B) \xrightarrow{g_{*_{n}}} H_{n}(C) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{n}} H_{n-1}(A) \ldots \xrightarrow{\Delta_{1}} H_{0}(A) \xrightarrow{f_{*_{0}}} H_{0}(B) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{g}_{*_{0}}} H_{0}(C) \rightarrow 0$
where the connective homomorphism $\Delta_{n}: H_{n}(C) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(A)$ is defined as follows
(3) $\Delta_{\mathrm{n}}[\mathrm{c}]=[\mathrm{a}]$,
where $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{C})$, $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{~A})$ and $\exists \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ such that $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{b})=\mathrm{c}, \partial_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{a})$.

The sequence (2) is natural for morphisms of the sequence (1): a translation ( $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w}$ ) of the sequence (1), by commutative squares, induces a translation ( $\ldots, \mathrm{u}_{* \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{v}_{* \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{w}_{* \mathrm{n}}, \ldots$ ) of the sequence (2), by commutative squares.

Hint. Easy proof, by 'diagram chasing'.

### 2.3. Subdivision.

- This is one of the main results, for singular homology.
- Let $X$ be a topological space and $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)$ a 'generalised open cover' of $X: X=U \operatorname{int}\left(U_{i}\right)$.
- $\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathcal{U})$ : denotes the subcomplex of $\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X})$ of $\mathcal{U}$-small chains generated by the cubes $a: \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ whose image is contained in some $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}$.

Subdivision Theorem. In these hypotheses, the inclusion $\mathrm{j}: \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X})$ induces isomorphism in homology: $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X})$.

Hint. The idea is to subdivide cubes, replacing them by $\mathcal{U}$-small chains.
(A) We construct the subdivision operator, a natural morphism of chain complexes
(1) $\mathrm{Sd}: \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X})$,
$\operatorname{Sd}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a})=\Sigma_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{v}}$ $\left(\mathrm{v} \in\{0,1\}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{v}}: \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}} \\
& \partial_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathrm{Sd}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{Sd}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \cdot \partial_{\mathrm{n}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{t})=(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{v}) / 2
$$

which subdivides any n-cube into a chain of $2^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}$-cubes, indexed on the vertices $\mathrm{v} \in\{0,1\}^{\mathrm{n}}$ of $\mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}}$
(2)

$\mathrm{u}_{(0,0)}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{t}_{1} / 2, \mathrm{t}_{2} / 2\right)$,


$$
\mathrm{u}_{(0,1)}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{t}_{1} / 2,\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}+1\right) / 2\right)
$$

(B) This morphism Sd is homotopic to the identity, by a chain homotopy $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$
(3) $\varphi_{n}: C_{n}(X) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(X)$,

$$
\varphi_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a})=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}+1} \sum_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{a} \cdot \eta_{\mathrm{v}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Sd}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{id}=\partial_{\mathrm{n}+1} \varphi_{\mathrm{n}}+\varphi_{\mathrm{n}-1} \partial_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

obtained by means of a suitable family of maps $\eta_{\mathrm{v}}: \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}}$ (cf. Massey [1980]). Note that:
(4) $\varphi_{n}\left(C_{n}(X ; \mathcal{U})\right) \subset C_{n+1}(X ; \mathcal{U})$.
(C) The induced homomorphism $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X})$ is surjective.

- For every cube $\mathrm{a}: \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$, consider the following open cover of $\mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{n}}$
(5) $\quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{a}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right)$
- Applying the Lebesgue Lemma on open covers of compact metric spaces, there is some $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that any 'subcube' K of $\mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}}$ with edge $2^{-\mathrm{k}}$ is contained in some $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{K}}}$, whence
(6) $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{K}) \subset \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{K}}}\right) \subset \operatorname{int}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{K}}}\right) \subset \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{K}}}, \quad \quad \mathrm{Sd}^{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{a}) \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathcal{U})$.
- Take a cycle $z \in C_{n}(X)$. For every cube $a: I^{n} \rightarrow X$ which appears in $z$, we can proceed as above. There is thus some $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $z^{\prime}=\operatorname{Sd}^{k}(z) \in C_{n}(X ; \mathcal{U})$. The composed chain homotopy $\psi$ : $\mathrm{Sd}^{k}$ $\simeq \mathrm{id}: \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X})$ gives
(7) $\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{z}^{\prime}=\partial_{\mathrm{n}+1} \psi_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{z})+\psi_{\mathrm{n}-1} \partial_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{z})=\partial_{\mathrm{n}+1} \psi_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{z})$
(in $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X})$ ),

$$
[\mathrm{z}]=\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}\left[\mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right], \quad\left[\mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right] \in \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathcal{U})
$$

(D) The induced homomorphism $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X})$ is injective.

- Take a cycle $z \in C_{n}(X ; \mathcal{U})$ ) which annihilates in $H_{n}(X)$ :
(8) $\mathrm{z}=\partial \mathrm{c}$, for some chain $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{X})$.
- As above: there is some $\mathrm{k} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\left.\mathrm{c}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Sd}^{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{c}) \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathcal{U})\right)$.
- The composed chain homotopy $\psi: \mathrm{Sd}^{\mathrm{k}} \simeq \mathrm{id}: \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X})$ gives
(9) $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{c}^{\prime}=\partial \psi(\mathrm{c})+\psi \partial(\mathrm{c})=\partial \psi(\mathrm{c})+\psi(\mathrm{z})$ (in $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{X})$ ),

$$
\mathrm{z}=\partial \mathrm{c}=\partial \mathrm{c}^{\prime}-\partial \psi(\mathrm{z}) \quad \text { is a boundary in } \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathcal{U})
$$

because $\varphi$ takes $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathcal{U})$ into $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathcal{U})$, by (4), whence also its composite $\psi$ does.

### 2.4. The exact sequence of Mayer-Vietoris

Theorem. Let $X$ be a topological space, $U$ and $V$ subsets of $X$ such that $X=\operatorname{int}(U) \cup \operatorname{int}(V)$ and $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{U} \cap \mathrm{V}$. There is an exact sequence of singular homology groups

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
(1) \quad \ldots & \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~A}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{U}) \oplus \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~V}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \ldots \\
& \ldots
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{H}_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}(\mathrm{U}) \oplus \mathrm{H}_{0}(\mathrm{~V}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{A} \text {... }
$$

where (writing i: $\mathrm{A} \subset \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{j}: \mathrm{A} \subset \mathrm{V}$, u: $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{V} \subset \mathrm{X}$ the inclusion mappings)

$$
\text { (2) } \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}} & =\left(\mathrm{i}_{* \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{j}_{* \mathrm{n}}\right), \\
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}} & =\left[\mathrm{u}_{* \mathrm{n}},-\mathrm{v}_{* \mathrm{n}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}[\mathrm{z}]_{\mathrm{A}}=\left([\mathrm{z}]_{\mathrm{U}},[\mathrm{z}]_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$,
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}\left([\mathrm{z}]_{\mathrm{U}},[\mathrm{w}]_{\mathrm{V}}\right)=[\mathrm{z}]_{\mathrm{X}}-[\mathrm{w}]_{\mathrm{X}}=[\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{w}]_{\mathrm{X}}$,
$\Delta_{n}[z]=[\partial \mathrm{c}] \quad\left(\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}), \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{c}^{\prime}, \mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{U}), \mathrm{c}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{V})\right)$.
The sequence is natural for continuous mappings $f: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$, where $X^{\prime}=\operatorname{int}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \cup \operatorname{int}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{U}) \subset \mathrm{U}^{\prime}, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{V}) \subset \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$.

Hint. The proof follows from two theorems:
(A) the Subdivision Theorem (2.3), applied to the 'generalised open cover' $\mathcal{U}=(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V})$ of X ;
(B) the homology sequence of a short exact sequence of chain complexes (2.2), applied to:
(3) $0 \longrightarrow C_{n}(A) \xrightarrow{h} C_{n}(U) \oplus C_{n}(V) \xrightarrow{k} C_{n}(X ; \mathcal{U}) \longrightarrow 0$

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(\mathrm{i}_{\# \mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{j}_{\# \mathrm{n}}\right), \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left[\mathrm{u}_{\# \mathrm{n}},-\mathrm{v}_{\# \mathrm{n}}\right]
$$

### 2.5. The homology of the spheres; other computations

Theorem A. For $n>0: \quad H_{k}\left(\mathbf{S}^{n}\right) \cong \mathbf{Z}(k=0, n) ; \quad H_{k}\left(\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=0$ (otherwise).

Hint. By induction. Apply Mayer-Vietoris to $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$, with open subsets $\mathrm{U}=\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}} \backslash\{\mathrm{S}\}, \mathrm{V}=\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}} \backslash\{\mathrm{N}\}$ where: $\mathrm{N}=(0, \ldots, 0,1), \quad \mathrm{S}=(0, \ldots, 0,-1)$.

Theorem B. There is an isomorphism $\Delta_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\left(\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)(\mathrm{n} \geq 0)$
which is natural for maps $\mathrm{f}: \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$ such that: $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) \subset \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{N})=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{S})=\mathrm{S}$.
Hint. Use the naturality of the M-V sequence on $f$, since: $f(U) \subset U, f(V) \subset V)$.
We have two commutative squares (where $A=U \cap V ; g, h$ are restrictions of $f ; i: S^{n-1} \subset A$ )


- Other computations: using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence and homotopy invariance, one computes easily the homology of: the torus, the Klein bottle, the projective plane, etc. For some computations one should use the notion of split exact sequence (2.9).


### 2.6. The degree of an endomap of a sphere

Given a map f: $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$, the associated endomorphism of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ is the multiplication by a number $\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{f}) \in \mathbf{Z}$
(1) $\mathrm{f}_{* \mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$,

$$
[\mathrm{z}] \mapsto \operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{f}) \cdot[\mathrm{z}] .
$$

Properties:
$-\operatorname{deg}\left(i d \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=1$,

$$
\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{gf})=\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{g}) \cdot \operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{f})
$$

$-\mathrm{f} \simeq \mathrm{g} \Rightarrow \operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{f})=\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{g})$,
$-\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{T})=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}+1}$, where $\mathrm{T}: \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is the antipodal map $(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x})=-\mathrm{x})$,

- if $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \neq \mathrm{Tg}(\mathrm{x}), \forall \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$, then $\mathrm{f} \simeq \mathrm{g}$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{f})=\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{g})$.


### 2.7. Applications

(A) Theorem (The invariance of dimension). If $\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ are homeomorphic, than $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}$.

Hint. Use the Alexandroff compactification and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}$.
(B) Theorem (Retracts). The sphere $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is not a retract of $\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{n}+1}$ or $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{n}+1}$.

Hint. Suppose, for a contradiction, that it is a retract and use $H_{n}$.
(C) Theorem (The Brouwer fixed-point theorem). Every map f: $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{n}}$ has at least a fixed point.

Hint. Suppose, for a contradiction, that f has no fixed points; construct a retraction of $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}} \subset \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{n}+1}$. $\square$
(D) Theorem (Vector fields on spheres). If $n>0$ is even, every tangent vector field on $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$ annihilates at least at a point.
Hint. Suppose that $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$ has a tangent vector field which never annihilates. Then, there is a map $f: \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$ with $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ orthogonal to x , everywhere. It follows that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \neq \pm \mathrm{x}$; by $2.6, \mathrm{f} \simeq \mathrm{id}: \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$
and $\mathrm{f} \simeq \mathrm{T}: \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$ (where $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x})=-\mathrm{x}$ is the antipodal map). Thus $\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{T})=\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{id})=1$; but we know that $\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{T})=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}+1}$ (2.6), whence n must be odd.
(E) Remark. If $\mathrm{n}>0$ is odd, the following map
(1) f: $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$,

$$
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)=\left(-\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{1},-\mathrm{x}_{4}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \ldots,-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

has $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \perp \mathrm{x}$, everywhere. Therefore, there is a tangent vector field on $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$ which never annihilates.
(F) Theorem (Intermediate Value Theorem on the Cube). Let $f: \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}}$ be a continuous mapping which sends each ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ )-dimensional face into itself. Then f is surjective and sends each face (of any dimension) onto itself.

Hint. This statement is trivial for $\mathrm{n}=0$ (and amounts to the classical Intermediate Value Theorem for $n=1$ ). If the statement holds for $n-1 \geq 0$, it follows that $f$ covers the boundary of $\mathbf{I}^{n}$. But $f$ is homotopic to the identity; collapsing the boundary, it follows that f induces a map $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$ that is still homotopic to the identity, whence surjective; finally the image of f also covers the interior of $\mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}}$.
(G) Theorem (Intermediate Value Theorem on the Ball). Let $\mathrm{f}: \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{n}}$ be a continuous mapping which sends the boundary $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}-1}$ into itself. If the restriction $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}: \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}-1}$ is not homotopic to a constant map (or, equivalently, if its homological degree is non null), then f is surjective.
Hint. Suppose for a contradiction that f is not surjective, and use the fact that $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}-1}$ is a deformation retract of the complement of any internal point in $\mathbf{B}^{n}$.
2.8. Exercises (Paths and homology in degree 1)

Let $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}: \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ be two path in the topological space X . Then
(a) the path a is a cycle $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{a}$ is a loop, i.e. $\mathrm{a}(0)=\mathrm{a}(1)\left(\partial_{1}^{0}(\mathrm{a})=\partial_{1}^{1}(\mathrm{a})\right)$;
(b) if $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ are homotopic with fixed endpoints, then $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ is a boundary $\left(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{B}_{1}(\mathrm{X})\right.$ );
(c) if $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ are loops, homotopic as loops $\Rightarrow[\mathrm{a}]=[\mathrm{b}]$ in $\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{X})$,
(d) if a is a loop, homotopic as a loop to a constant loop $\Rightarrow[\mathrm{a}]=0$ in $\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{X})$,
(e) if the paths $a, b$ are consecutive $(a(1)=b(0)) \Rightarrow a+b-a * b$ is a boundary,
(f) if $\tilde{a}$ is the reversed path $(\tilde{a}(t)=a(1-t)) \Rightarrow a+\tilde{a}$ is a boundary.

### 2.9. Split exact sequences [*Homological Algebra*]

A short sequence ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{q}$ ) is said to split if the following equivalent conditions hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A} \underset{\mathrm{p}}{\stackrel{\mathrm{~m}}{\rightleftarrows}} \quad \mathrm{~B} \underset{\mathrm{n}}{\stackrel{\mathrm{q}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{C} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) $(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{q})$ is short exact and the monomorphism m is a section $(\exists \mathrm{p}: \mathrm{pm}=\mathrm{idA})$,
(b) $(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{q})$ is short exact and the epimorphism q is a retraction ( $\exists \mathrm{n}: \mathrm{qn}=\mathrm{idC}$ ),
(c) there exist two homomorphisms $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n}$ such that: $\mathrm{pm}=\mathrm{idA}, \mathrm{qn}=\mathrm{idC}, \mathrm{mp}+\mathrm{nq}=\mathrm{idB}$.

- In this case, B is isomorphic to $\mathrm{A} \oplus \mathrm{C}$.
- If C is a free abelian group, the short exact sequence (1) necessarily splits.


## 3. Relative singular homology and homology theories

### 3.1. The Five Lemma [*Homological Algebra*]

Lemma. Given a commutative diagram of abelian groups (or R-modules), with exact rows

if $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime}, \mathrm{v}^{\prime}$ are isomorphisms, also w is an isomorphism.
Hint. By 'diagram chasing'.

### 3.2. Pairs of spaces

- Top 2 : the category of pairs of topological spaces:
- a pair $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A})$ is a space X with a subspace A (the pair is read as: X modulo A ),
- a map $f:(X, A) \rightarrow(Y, B)$ is a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(A) \subset B$.
- Top is embedded in $\mathbf{T o p}_{2}$ identifying the space X with the pair ( $\mathrm{X}, \varnothing$ ).
- A homotopy $\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{f}_{0} \simeq \mathrm{f}_{1}:(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{B})$ between maps of pairs is a map of pairs such that:
(1) $\mathrm{F}:(\mathbf{I} \times X, \mathbf{I} \times \mathrm{A}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{B}), \quad \mathrm{F}(\alpha, \mathrm{x})=\mathrm{f}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{x})$, for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X} \quad(\alpha=0,1)$;
- this is equivalent to an ordinary homotopy $F: f_{0} \simeq f_{1}: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $F(I \times A) \subset B$.
- Terms of Top (objects, maps, homotopies, homology groups...) are called absolute;
- terms of $\mathbf{T o p}_{2}$ are called relative.
3.3. Relative Singular Homology (with integral coefficients)
- $\mathrm{C}_{*}: \mathbf{T o p}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*} \mathbf{A b} \quad$ (the functor of relative chains),
- $\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A})=\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}) / \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{~A}) \quad$ (the complex of (relative) chains of the pair $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A})$ ),
$-\mathrm{f}_{\#:} \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{B})$,
$\left.\mathrm{f}_{\ddagger}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \lambda_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\Sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \lambda_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \overline{(\mathrm{fa}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \quad(\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{B}))$.
- Note: a relative chain $\bar{c} \in C_{n}(X, A)$ :
$-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathbf{T o p}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{A b} \quad$ (relative singular homology),
- $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{X})=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A})\right)$,
$-\mathrm{f}_{* \mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{B}), \quad \mathrm{f}_{*}[\overline{\mathrm{c}}]=\left[\mathrm{f}_{\#}(\overline{\mathrm{c}})\right]$.
- Theorem. This functor is homotopy invariant.

Hint. Given a homotopy $\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{f} \simeq \mathrm{g}:(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{B})$ between maps of pairs (3.2), the homotopy between the chain morphisms $f_{\#}, g_{\#:} \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{Y})$ constructed in 1.7 for the absolute case
(1) $\Phi_{n}: C_{n}(X) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(Y)$,
$\Phi_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{F} .(\mathbf{I} \times \mathrm{a})$
(a: $\mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ ),
takes $C_{n}(A)$ into $C_{n+1}(B)$, and induces a homotopy $\Psi: f_{\#} \simeq g_{\#}: C_{*}(X, A) \rightarrow C_{*}(Y, B)$.
3.4. Theorem (The homology sequence of a pair)

For every pair of topological spaces (X, A), the following sequence is exact and natural
(1) $\ldots \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{A}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{u}_{* \mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{v}_{* \mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{~A}) \ldots \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}(\mathrm{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}) \rightarrow 0$
where $\mathrm{u}: \mathrm{A} \subset \mathrm{X}$ is the inclusion, $\mathrm{v}:(\mathrm{X}, \varnothing) \rightarrow(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A})$ is defined by the identity of X , and the connective homomorphism $\Delta_{\mathrm{n}}$ is
(2) $\Delta_{n}: H_{n}(X, A) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(A)$,

$$
\Delta_{n}[\overline{\mathrm{c}}]=[\partial \mathrm{c}]
$$

$$
\left(\overline{\mathrm{c}} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~A})\right)
$$

Hint. By 2.2, the natural short exact sequence of chain complexes
(3) $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{~A}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}) \rightarrow 0$
yields the exact sequence (1), including its naturality and the formula (2).

### 3.5. Theorem (Excision)

If $X$ is a topological space, $U \subset A \subset X$ and $\operatorname{cl}(U) \subset \operatorname{int}(A)$, then the inclusion mapping
(1) u: $(X \backslash U, A \backslash U) \rightarrow(X, A)$,
induces isomorphism in homology: $u_{* n}: H_{n}(X \backslash U, A \backslash U) \cong H_{n}(X, A)$.
Hint. By hypothesis, the family $\mathcal{U}=(\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{A})$ forms a 'generalised open cover' of X .

- By Subdivision (2.3), the inclusion $\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X})$ induces an iso in homology.
- Applying the Five Lemma (3.1) to the homology sequences of the following commutative diagram with short exact rows
(2)

it follows that also the canonical morphism $C_{*}(X ; \mathcal{U}) / C_{*}(A) \rightarrow C_{*}(X, A)$ induces iso in homology.
- Finally, by a Noether isomorphism
(3) $\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathcal{U}) / \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{~A})=\left(\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{U})+\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{~A})\right) / \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{~A}) \cong$

$$
\cong \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{U}) /\left(\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{U}) \cap \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{~A})\right)=\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{U}) /\left(\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{~A} \backslash \mathrm{U})=\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{~A} \backslash \mathrm{U})\right.
$$

3.6. Definition of Homology Theories (The axioms of Eilenberg-Steenrod)

An (abstract) homology theory consists of the following data:
(a) for each pair of topological spaces $(X, A)$, a sequence $H_{n}(X, A)$ of abelian groups,
(b) for each map $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{B})$, a sequence $\mathrm{f}_{* \mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{B})$ of homomorphisms,
(b) for each pair $(X, A)$, a sequence $\Delta_{n}: H_{n}(X, A) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(A, \emptyset)$ of homomorphisms,
so that the following axioms hold (writing $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X})$ for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}, \varnothing)$ ):

- Functoriality. The data produce a sequence of functors $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathbf{T o p}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{A b}$;
- in other words: $(\mathrm{id}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}))_{* \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{idH}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A})$ and $(\mathrm{gf})_{* \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{g}_{* \mathrm{n}} \circ \mathrm{f}_{* \mathrm{n}}$ for $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}$ composable $)$.
- Naturality. For $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{B})$, the following diagram commutes
(1)
 $\left(f^{\prime}: A \rightarrow B\right.$ is the restriction of $\left.f\right)$.
- Exactness. For every pair ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}$ ), the following sequence is exact ( $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}$ as in 3.4 )
(2) $\ldots \rightarrow H_{n}(A) \xrightarrow{u_{* n}} H_{n}(X) \xrightarrow{v_{* n}} H_{n}(X, A) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{n}} H_{n-1}(A) \ldots$
- Homotopy Invariance. If $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}:(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{B})$ are homotopic, then
(3) $\mathrm{f}_{* \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{g}_{* \mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{B}) \quad(\mathrm{n} \geq 0)$.
- Excision. If $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{A} \subset \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{U}) \subset \operatorname{int}(\mathrm{A})$, then the inclusion mapping $(\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{A} \backslash \mathrm{U}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A})$ induces isomorphism in homology, in every degree.
- Dimension. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\{*\})=0$ for all $\mathrm{n} \neq 0$.


### 3.7. Comments

- The abelian group $\mathrm{H}_{0}(\{*\})$ is called: the group of coefficients of the theory.
- We have already proved (in 3.3-3.5) that Relative Singular Homology is a homology theory (in the previous sense) with integral coefficients: its group of coefficients is $\mathbf{Z}$ (up to isomorphism).
- For every abelian group $G$, we shall construct a singular homology theory with coefficients in G . This requires the use of tensor products (of abelian groups).

4. Tensor products [*Homological Algebra, Multilinear Algebra*]

### 4.1. Modules on a commutative ring

- R will always be a commutative ring with unit. R-modules and R -homomorphisms form the category

R-Mod. In particular, R is a module on itself.

- Every abelian group has precisely one structure of $\mathbf{Z}$-module; the two notions will be identified.
- If R is a field, modules are called vector spaces; this case will be considered at the end (4.8).
- Exact sequences have an obvious extension to R-modules.
- The free R-module on a set I can be constructed as a direct sum of copies of R
(1) $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{R}^{(\mathrm{I})}=\oplus_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}} \mathrm{R}$,
with the obvious canonical basis: $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(\delta_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)_{\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{I}} \quad(\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I})$, often identified with I .
- Exercise. An abelian group A has a structure of vector space on $\mathbf{Q}$ (rationals) if and only if it is torsion-free and divisible $(\forall \mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{A}, \forall \mathrm{n} \in \mathbf{Z}: \mathrm{n} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists!\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{A}: \mathrm{nx}=\mathrm{a})$. Then, the structure is unique.
- Exercise. A structure of $\mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{X}]$-module on the abelian group A amounts to a homomorphism $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow$ A.


### 4.2. Tensor product of modules

- If $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ are R-modules, a mapping $\varphi: \mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ is said to be bilinear (on R ) if:
(1) $\varphi\left(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{a}^{\prime}, \mathrm{b}\right)=\varphi(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})+\varphi\left(\mathrm{a}^{\prime}, \mathrm{b}\right)$,
(2) $\varphi(\lambda \cdot a, b)=\lambda \cdot \varphi(a, b)$,
(3) $\varphi\left(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}+\mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right)=\varphi(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})+\varphi\left(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right)$,
(4) $\varphi(\mathrm{a}, \lambda . \mathrm{b})=\lambda \cdot \varphi(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$,
for all $a, a^{\prime} \in A ; b, b^{\prime} \in B ; \lambda \in R$ (this will be understood, below). For $R=\mathbf{Z}$, the properties (2) and (4) are a consequence of (1) and (3).
- The tensor product of $A, B$ is an $R$-module $A \otimes_{R} B$ equipped with a bilinear mapping $\varphi_{0}$

such that, for every bilinear mapping $\varphi: \mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ there is one and only one R -homomorphism h such that $\varphi=\mathrm{h} \varphi_{0}$.
- It is easy to show that the solution is determined up to isomorphism (a unique isomorphism coherent with the structural bilinear mappings).
- A solution exists: $A \otimes_{R} B=F(A \times B) / H(A, B)$, with $\varphi_{0}(a, b)=[(a, b)]$, where:
- $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{B})$ is the free R -module generated by the set $\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{B}$ (formal linear combinations of its elements)
$-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ is the sub-module of $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{B})$ generated by all the elements of the following types:
(1') $\left(a+a^{\prime}, b\right)-(a, b)-\left(a^{\prime}, b\right)$,
(2') $(\lambda . a, b)-\lambda .(a, b)$,
(3') $\left(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}+\mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right)-(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})-\varphi\left(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right)$,
(4) $(a, \lambda . b)-\lambda .(a, b)$.
- We write $a \otimes b=\varphi_{0}(a, b)=[(a, b)] \in A \otimes_{R} B \quad($ for $a \in A, b \in B)$.
- Then $\left(a+a^{\prime}\right) \otimes b=a \otimes b+a^{\prime} \otimes b,(\lambda \cdot a) \otimes b=\lambda .(a \otimes b)$, etc.
- Every element of $\mathrm{A} \otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{B}$ can be written as a (finite) sum $\Sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \otimes \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$, NOT uniquely.


### 4.3. Tensor product of homomorphisms

- The tensor product is a functor in two variables (covariant in both): given two R-homomorphisms f : $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{\prime}, \mathrm{g}: \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ there is a homomorphism

$$
\text { (1) } \mathrm{f} \otimes \mathrm{~g}: \mathrm{A} \otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{~B} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{~B}^{\prime}, \quad(\mathrm{f} \otimes \mathrm{~g})(\mathrm{a} \otimes \mathrm{~b})=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a}) \otimes \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~b})
$$

and this construction preserves identities and composition:
(2) $\quad \mathrm{idA} \otimes \operatorname{idB}=\operatorname{id}\left(\mathrm{A} \otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{B}\right)$,

$$
\left(f^{\prime} \circ f\right) \otimes\left(g^{\prime} \circ g\right)=\left(f^{\prime} \otimes g^{\prime}\right) \circ(f \otimes g)
$$

This functor is bilinear (additive and homogeneous in each variable):
(3) $\left(\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{f}^{\prime}\right) \otimes \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{f} \otimes \mathrm{g}+\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{g}, \quad(\lambda . \mathrm{f}) \otimes \mathrm{g}=\lambda .(\mathrm{f} \otimes \mathrm{g})$,

$$
\mathrm{f} \otimes\left(\mathrm{~g}+\mathrm{g}^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{f} \otimes \mathrm{~g}+\mathrm{f} \otimes \mathrm{~g}^{\prime}, \quad \mathrm{f} \otimes(\lambda . \mathrm{g})=\lambda .(\mathrm{f} \otimes \mathrm{~g})
$$

4.4. Exercises (for abelian groups: $\mathrm{R}=\mathbf{Z}$ )

- If $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}$ are coprime, then $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{m}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}=0$;
- more generally, if $\mathrm{mA}=0$ and every element of B can be divided by m , then $\mathrm{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathrm{B}=0$.
- $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{m}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}=0$;
- more generally, if T is a torsion abelian group and D is divisible, then $\mathrm{T} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathrm{D}=0$.
- Prove that $\mathbf{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ is a vector space on $\mathbf{Q}$. The rank of an abelian group A is defined as
(1) $\operatorname{rk}(\mathrm{A})=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{Q}}\left(\mathrm{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}\right)$.
- In particular, a finitely generated abelian group $A$ is isomorphic to a direct sum $t A \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{n}$ (where $t A$ is the torsion part of $A$ ), and $\operatorname{rk}(A)=n$ (use 4.5D).


### 4.5. Basic properties

$R$ is a fixed commutative unital ring. We write $A \otimes B$ for $A \otimes_{R} B$.
(A) The tensor product is commutative. More precisely, there is a canonical isomorphism:
(1) $\mathrm{A} \otimes \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \otimes \mathrm{A}, \quad \mathrm{a} \otimes \mathrm{b} \mapsto \mathrm{b} \otimes \mathrm{a}$.
(B) The tensor product has a unit, the R-module R. Canonical isomorphism:
(2) $\mathrm{A} \otimes \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$,

$$
\mathrm{a} \otimes \lambda \mapsto \lambda . \mathrm{a}, \quad \mathrm{a} \mapsto \mathrm{a} \otimes 1_{\mathrm{R}}
$$

(C) The tensor product is associative. Canonical isomorphism:
(3) $(\mathrm{A} \otimes \mathrm{B}) \otimes \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} \otimes(\mathrm{B} \otimes \mathrm{C}), \quad(\mathrm{a} \otimes \mathrm{b}) \otimes \mathrm{c} \mapsto \mathrm{a} \otimes(\mathrm{b} \otimes \mathrm{c})$.
(D) The tensor product is distributive on direct sums. Canonical isomorphism:
(4) $\left(\oplus_{i \in I} A_{i}\right) \otimes B \rightarrow \oplus_{i \in I}\left(A_{i} \otimes B\right)$,

$$
\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}} \otimes \mathrm{~b} \mapsto\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \otimes \mathrm{~b}\right)_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}} .
$$

(E) Corollary. There are canonical isomorphisms:
(5) $\quad \mathrm{R}^{(\mathrm{I})} \otimes \mathrm{B} \cong \mathrm{B}^{(\mathrm{I})}=\oplus_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}} \mathrm{B}$,

$$
\mathrm{R}^{(\mathrm{I})} \otimes \mathrm{R}^{(\mathrm{J})} \cong \mathrm{R}^{(\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{J})}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}} \otimes \mathrm{~B} \cong \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{m}} \\
& \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}} \otimes \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}} \cong \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(F) If $A, B$ are R-free with bases $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(b_{j}\right)_{j \in J}$, then $A \otimes B$ is free with basis $\left(a_{i} \otimes b_{j}\right)_{(i, j)} \in I \times J$.
4.6. Exact functors (between categories of modules)
(A) A functor F: R-Mod $\rightarrow$ S-Mod is said to be left exact: if, given an exact sequence of type (1), also the resulting sequence (2) is exact
(1) $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$
(2) $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{FA} \rightarrow \mathrm{FB} \rightarrow \mathrm{FC}$.

- Exercise. This is equivalent to saying that F preserves kernels (up to isomorphism).
(B) The functor F is right exact: if the same happens with the sequences:
(1') $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C} \rightarrow 0$
(2') $\mathrm{FA} \rightarrow \mathrm{FB} \rightarrow \mathrm{FC} \rightarrow 0$.
- This is equivalent to saying that F preserves cokernels (up to isomorphism).
(C) The functor F is said to be exact: if it satisfies the following equivalent properties:
(a) F preserves exact sequences,
(b) F preserves short exact sequences,
(c) F preserves kernels and cokernels,
(d) F is left and right exact,
(e) F is left exact and preserves epimorphisms,
(e) F is right exact and preserves monom.
(D) The functor F is said to be additive: if $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{g})=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{f})+\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{g})$, for all parallel homomorphisms
$\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}$ (same domain and same codomain).
- Every additive functor preserves split exact sequences (by 2.9c).


### 4.7. Exactness properties of the tensor product

(A) For every module X , the functor $-\otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{X}$ : R-Mod $\rightarrow$ R-Mod is right-exact: given an exact sequence of type (1), also the resulting sequence (2) is exact
(1) $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C} \rightarrow 0$,
(2) $\mathrm{A} \otimes \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \otimes \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{C} \otimes \mathrm{X} \rightarrow 0$.

- Exercise. For $\mathrm{R}=\mathbf{Z}$
(3) $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{m}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{n}} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{d}}$, where $\mathrm{d}=$ g.c.d. $(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n})$.
- Hint: Apply (A) to the exact sequence $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{m}} \rightarrow 0$ produced by $\mathrm{k} \mapsto$ m.k.
- Exercise. For $\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{Z}$ : show that $-\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ does not preserve monomorphisms.
(B) The R-module X is said to be flat if the functor $-\otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{X}: \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Mod} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Mod}$ is exact:, i.e. preserves all exact sequences. By 4.6 , this is equivalent to saying that $-\otimes_{R} X$ preserves monomorphisms.
- Every free module is flat. (One can prove that an abelian group is flat if and only if it is torsion-free.)
(C) For every module X , the functor $-\otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{X}$ : R-Mod $\rightarrow$ R-Mod preserves all split exact sequences (because their initial monomorphism has a left inverse; or - also - because $-\otimes \mathrm{X}$ is additive).
(D) For $\mathrm{R}=\mathbf{Z}$ and every abelian group X , the functor $-\otimes \mathrm{X}: \mathbf{A b} \rightarrow \mathbf{A b}$ preserves all exact sequences of free abelian groups (because they can be subdivide into short exact sequences of free abelian groups, which split.)


### 4.8. Tensor products of vector spaces

Let us assume that the base ring is a (commutative) field K. K-modules are called vector spaces and have specific properties, essentially deriving from the fact that all vector spaces are free.

- In K-Mod, every monomorphism (resp. epimorphism) has a left (resp. right) inverse. All short exact sequences in K-Mod split. Every additive functor F: K-Mod $\rightarrow$ S-Mod is exact (4.6D).
- Therefore, all vector spaces are flat: the functor $-\otimes_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{X}$ is always exact.
- There is a canonical homomorphism (the functor Hom will be studied in Ch. 6)
(1) i: $\mathrm{A} \otimes_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\mathrm{A}^{*}, \mathrm{~B}\right)$,

$$
\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{a} \otimes \mathrm{~b})(\alpha)=\alpha(\mathrm{a}) \cdot \mathrm{b} \quad(\text { for } \alpha: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}),
$$

where $A^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{K}(A, K)$ is the dual of $A$.

- Exercise: prove that, if A is finitely generated, then i is an isomorphism.
- Tensor product of vector spaces can be defined using bases (see 4.4 F ). But then, to define $\mathrm{f} \otimes \mathrm{g}$ : $\mathrm{A} \otimes \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{B}^{\prime}$, one has to choose bases in $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and prove that $\mathrm{f} \otimes \mathrm{g}$ is well defined.
- Tensor product of finitely generated vector spaces can be defined as $A \otimes_{K} B=\operatorname{Hom}_{K}\left(A^{*}, B\right)$. This can also be used for vector bundles.


## 5. Relative singular homology with coefficients in a group

G is an abelian group. Tensor products are on $\mathbf{Z}$.

### 5.1. Main definitions

- The functor $-\otimes \mathrm{G}: \mathbf{A b} \rightarrow \mathbf{A b}$ has an obvious extension to chain complexes
(1) $-\otimes \mathrm{G}: \mathrm{C}_{*} \mathbf{A b} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*} \mathbf{A b}$,

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\mathrm{A} \otimes \mathrm{G}=\left(\ldots \rightarrow \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}} \otimes \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \otimes \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \ldots\right), & \partial_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}=\partial_{\mathrm{n}} \otimes \mathrm{G}, \\
(\mathrm{f} \otimes \mathrm{G})_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \otimes \mathrm{G}: \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}} \otimes \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n}} \otimes \mathrm{G} & \left(\text { for } \mathrm{f}: A \rightarrow B \text { in } C_{*} A b\right) .
\end{array}
$$

- The singular chain complex of a space, with coefficients in G
(2) $\mathrm{C}_{*}(-; \mathrm{G}): \mathbf{T o p} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*} \mathbf{A b}$,
$\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{G})=\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}) \otimes \mathrm{G}$,
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{G})=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}) \otimes \mathrm{G} \cong \oplus_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{G}$ $\left(a \in \square_{n} X \backslash \operatorname{Deg}_{n} X\right)$,
$\mathrm{f}_{\# \mathrm{n}}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \lambda_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\Sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \lambda_{\mathrm{i}} .\left(\mathrm{fa}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \quad\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}: \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}\right)$,
where $\lambda_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \in \oplus_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{G}$, with: $\lambda_{\mathrm{a}}=\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}, \quad \lambda_{\mathrm{a}}=0_{\mathrm{G}}$ for $\mathrm{a} \neq \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$.
- Similarly, we have the singular chain complex of pair of spaces, with coefficients in $G$
$\mathrm{C}_{*}(-; \mathrm{G}): \mathbf{T o p}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*} \mathbf{A b}$,
$\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{G})=\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}) \otimes \mathrm{G}$.
- Singular Homology of a pair of spaces, with coefficients in G
(4) $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(-; \mathrm{G}): \mathbf{T o p}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{A b}$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{f})=\mathrm{f}_{* \mathrm{n}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{G})=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{G})\right), \\
& \mathrm{f}_{* \mathrm{n}}\left[\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \lambda_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]=\left[\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \lambda_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{fa}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right] \quad\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{G}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- For $G=\mathbf{Z}$, we find the previous chain complexes (and homology): $C_{*}(X, A ; \mathbf{Z}) \cong C_{*}(X, A)$.
5.2. Theorem (Subdivision for homology with coefficients in G)

In the hypotheses of 2.3 , the canonical morphism $C_{*}(X ; \mathcal{U}) \otimes G \rightarrow C_{*}(X ; G)$ induces isomorphism in homology, in every degree.

Hint. We deduce this from the Subdivision Theorem with integral coefficients (2.3).

- The short exact sequence (1) splits in every degree (its components are free abelian group)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathcal{U}) \stackrel{\mathrm{j}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}) \stackrel{\mathrm{p}}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{D}_{*} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) $\quad C_{*}(X ; \mathcal{U}) \otimes G \stackrel{j \otimes G}{\longrightarrow} C_{*}(X ; G) \xrightarrow{p \otimes G} D_{*} \otimes G$
whence, applying $-\otimes \mathrm{G}$, also the sequence (2) is short exact.

- By the exactness of the homology sequence of $(1)$, where all $j_{* n}$ are iso: $H_{n}\left(D_{*}\right)=0$, for all $n$.
- Thus $\mathrm{D}_{*}$ is an exact sequence of free abelian groups, and also $\mathrm{D}_{*} \otimes \mathrm{G}$ is an exact sequence. - By the exactness of the homology sequence of $(2)$, where $H_{n}\left(D_{*} \otimes G\right)=0:$ all $(\mathrm{j} \otimes \mathrm{G})_{* \mathrm{n}}$ are iso.


### 5.3. Theorem (Relative Singular Homology with coefficients in G and E-S axioms)

Relative Singular Homology with coefficients in G is a homology theory with coefficients in G (in the sense of Eilenberg-Steenrod).

Hint. Functoriality: see 5.1.

- Exactness and Naturality. The (natural) short exact sequence $C_{*}(A) \longmapsto C_{*}(X) \longrightarrow C_{*}(X, A)$ has free components. Therefore also $C_{*}(A ; G) \longmapsto C_{*}(X ; G) \longrightarrow C_{*}(X, A ; G)$ is short exact, and its homology sequence is exact (and natural)
(1) $\ldots \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{G}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{u}_{*_{\mathrm{n}}}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{G}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{v}_{* \mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{G}) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{G}) \ldots \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{0}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{G}) \rightarrow 0$
- Homotopy invariance. Let $\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{f} \simeq \mathrm{g}:(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{B})$ be a homotopy of maps of pairs. We have constructed a homotopy $\Psi=\left(\Psi_{\mathrm{n}}\right): \mathrm{f}_{\#} \simeq \mathrm{~g}_{\#}: \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{B})$ (3.3). Applying the additive functor $-\otimes G$ one has a homotopy $\left(\Psi_{n} \otimes G\right): f_{\#} \simeq g_{\#}: C_{*}(X, A ; G) \rightarrow C_{*}(Y, B ; G)$.
- Excision. Same proof as in 3.5, using the Subdivision Theorem with coefficients in G (5.2).
- Dimension and coefficients. Compute directly $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\{*\} ; \mathrm{G})$.
5.4. Theorem (Mayer-Vietoris for singular homology with coefficients in G)

In the same hypotheses of 2.4 there is an exact sequence, natural in the same sense
(1) $\ldots \rightarrow H_{n}(A ; G) \xrightarrow{h_{n}} H_{n}(U ; G) \oplus H_{n}(V ; G) \xrightarrow{k_{n}} H_{n}(X ; G) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{n}} H_{n-1}(A ; G) \ldots$

Hint. Same proof as in 2.4, using the Subdivision Theorem with coefficients in G (5.2).

### 5.5. Exercises

- Compute the homology of $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathbf{P}^{2}$, with coefficients in $\mathbf{Q}$ and in $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}$.
- Study the projection $\mathbf{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{2}$, viewing both as quotients of $\mathbf{I}^{2}$. Hint: use $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(-; \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right)$.

6. The functor Hom [*Homological Algebra, Multilinear Algebra*]

R is always a commutative ring with unit.

### 6.1. The functor Hom

- If $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ are R-modules, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ denotes the set of R -homomorphisms $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$, with the pointwise structure of R -module
(1) $\left(\mathrm{h}+\mathrm{h}^{\prime}\right)(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{a})+\mathrm{h}^{\prime}(\mathrm{a}), \quad(\lambda \cdot \mathrm{h})(\mathrm{a})=\lambda \cdot \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{a}) \quad(\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{A}, \lambda \in \mathrm{R})$.
- $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is a functor in two variables, contravariant in the first and covariant in the second
(2) $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}:$ R-Mod ${ }^{\mathrm{op}} \times$ R-Mod $\rightarrow$ R-Mod,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{~g}): \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right), \quad \mathrm{h} \mapsto \operatorname{ghf} \quad\left(\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~g}: \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}^{\prime}\right)
$$

(3) $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(i d A, i d B)=\operatorname{id}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(A, B)\right)$, $\quad \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(f f^{\prime}, g^{\prime} g\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(f, g)$.

This functor is bilinear (additive and homogeneous in each variable):
(4) $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(f+f^{\prime}, g\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(f, g)+\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(f^{\prime}, g\right)$,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{~g}+\mathrm{g}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{~g})+\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}\left(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{~g}^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\lambda f, g)=\lambda \cdot \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(f, g), \\
& \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(f, \lambda g)=\lambda \cdot \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(f, g)
\end{aligned}
$$

6.2. Exercises (for abelian groups: $\mathrm{R}=\mathbf{Z}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}=\mathrm{Hom}$ )

- $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{m}, B\right)={ }_{m} B$ (the subgroup of elements $b \in B$ such that $m b=0$ ).
$-\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathbf{Z}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathbf{Q}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{d}} \quad(\mathrm{d}=$ g.c.d. $(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}))$.
- If $m, n$ are coprime, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=0$.
- More generally, if $\mathrm{mA}=0$ and in $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{mb}=0$ implies $\mathrm{b}=0$, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})=0$.
- If $T$ is a torsion abelian group and $B$ is torsion-free, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{Z}(A, B)=0$.


### 6.3. Basic properties of the functors Hom

R is a commutative unital ring. The properties of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}$ in each variable must be distinguished.
(A) The module $A^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(A, R)$ is called the dual of $A$. There is a canonical isomorphism:
(1) $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R, B) \rightarrow B$,

$$
\mathrm{h} \mapsto \mathrm{~h}\left(1_{\mathrm{R}}\right), \quad \mathrm{b} \mapsto(\lambda \mapsto \lambda . \mathrm{b})
$$

(B) There are canonical isomorphisms:
(2) $\quad \Pi_{i \in I} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(A, B_{i}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(A, \Pi_{j \in J} B_{j}\right), \quad\left(h_{j}\right)_{j \in J} \mapsto h, \quad h(a)=\left(h_{j}(a)\right)_{j \in J}$,
(3) $\quad \Pi_{i \in I} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{i}}, B\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\oplus_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{B}\right), \quad\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}} \mapsto \mathrm{h}, \quad \mathrm{h}\left(\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$,
(C) Corollary. There are canonical isomorphisms:
(4) $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(A, R^{J}\right) \cong A^{J}=\Pi_{j \in J} A, \quad \quad \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(R^{(I)}, B\right) \cong B^{I}=\Pi_{i \in I} B$, $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(A, R^{n}\right) \cong A^{n}, \quad \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(R^{m}, B\right) \cong B^{m}, \quad \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(R^{m}, R^{n}\right) \cong R^{m . n}$.
(D) Exponential law. There is a canonical isomorphism:
(5) $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(A \otimes B, C) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(A, \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(B, C)\right)$,

$$
\mathrm{h} \mapsto \mathrm{~h}^{\prime}, \quad \mathrm{h}^{\prime}(\mathrm{a}): \mathrm{b} \mapsto \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{a} \otimes \mathrm{~b})
$$

### 6.4. Exactness properties of the functors Hom

(A) The (covariant) functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(X,-)$ is left-exact: it transforms an exact sequence (1) into an exact sequence (2) (equivalently: it preserves kernels)
(1) $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$
(2) $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(X, A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(X, B) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(X, C)$.
(B) The (contravariant) functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(-, Y)$ transforms an exact sequence (3) into an exact sequence
(4) (equivalently: it transforms cokernels into kernels)
(3) $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C} \rightarrow 0$
(4) $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Y}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Y}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{Y})$.

- Exercise. For $R=\mathbf{Z}$, deduce $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{d}}$ from (B).
- Exercise. For $R=\mathbf{Z}$, show that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}\left(-, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is not exact.
(C) For every module $X$, the functors $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(X,-)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(-, X)$ preserve all split exact sequences (because these functors are additive).
(D) For $\mathrm{R}=\mathbf{Z}$ and every abelian group X , the functors $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathrm{X},-)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(-, \mathrm{X})$ preserves all exact sequences of free abelian groups.


## 7. Relative singular cohomology with coefficients in a group

$G$ is an abelian group. We use the contravariant functor $\operatorname{Hom}(-, G)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(-, G)$.

### 7.1. Cochain complexes

- A cochain complex $A=\left(\left(\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{n}}\right),\left(\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right)$ of abelian groups is a sequence
(1) $0 \longrightarrow A^{0} \xrightarrow{d^{0}} A^{1} \xrightarrow{d^{1}} \ldots \longrightarrow A^{n} \xrightarrow{d^{n}} A^{n+1} \longrightarrow \ldots$
with $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{n}+1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{n}}=0$. A morphism $\varphi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ of cochain complexes is a sequence of homomorphisms $\varphi^{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{n}}$ commuting with differentials: $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \varphi^{\mathrm{n}}=\varphi^{\mathrm{n}+1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{n}}$. They form the category $\mathbf{C}^{*} \mathbf{A b}$ of cochain complexes of abelian groups.
- The n-cohomology functor of chain complexes:
(2) $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{C}^{*} \mathbf{A b} \rightarrow \mathbf{A b}$

$$
\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~A})=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) / \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
(\mathrm{n} \geq 0) \\
\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}(\varphi)[\zeta]=\left[\varphi^{\mathrm{n}}(\zeta)\right] & \left(\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{n}}(\zeta)=0\right)
\end{array}
$$

### 7.2. Main definitions

- The contravariant functor $\operatorname{Hom}(-, G): \mathbf{A b}{ }^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A b}$ transforms chain complexes into cochain complexes
(1) $\operatorname{Hom}(-, G):\left(C_{*} \mathbf{A b}\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{*} \mathbf{A b}$,
$\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{G})=\left(\ldots \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{G}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, G\right) \rightarrow \ldots\right), \quad \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{n}}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\partial_{\mathrm{n}+1}, G\right)$
$\operatorname{Hom}(f, G)^{\mathrm{n}}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}, G\right): \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}, G\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}, G\right)$
(for $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{*} \mathbf{A b}$ ).
- The singular cochain complex of a space, with coefficients in G
(2) $\mathrm{C}^{*}(-; \mathrm{G}):$ Top ${ }^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{*} \mathbf{A b}, \quad \mathrm{C}^{*}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{G})=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}), \mathrm{G}\right)$,
$\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{G})=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}), \mathrm{G}\right) \cong\left\{\lambda: \square_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{G} \mid \lambda(\mathrm{a})=0\right.$ when $\left.\mathrm{a} \in \operatorname{Deg}_{\mathrm{n}} X\right\}$,
$(d \lambda)(a)=\lambda(\partial a)$,
$\mathrm{f}^{\# \mathrm{n}}(\mu)=\left(\mu \circ(\square \mathrm{f})_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \quad \quad \mathrm{f}^{\# \mathrm{n}}(\mu)(\mathrm{a})=\mu(\mathrm{fa}) \quad($ for $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y})$.
- Note: $C^{n}(X ; G) \cong \Pi_{a} G\left(a \in \square{ }_{n} X \backslash \operatorname{Deg}_{\mathrm{n}} X\right)$.
- The singular cochain complex of pair of spaces, with coefficients in G
(3)
$\mathrm{C}^{*}(-; \mathrm{G}):\left(\mathbf{T o p}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{*} \mathbf{A b}, \quad \mathrm{C}^{*}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{G})=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}), \mathrm{G}\right)$,
$\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{G})=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}), \mathrm{G}\right) \cong\left\{\lambda: \square_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{G} \mid \lambda(\mathrm{a})=0\right.$ for $\left.\mathrm{a} \in\left(\square_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{A}\right) \cup\left(\operatorname{Deg}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}\right)\right\}$,

$$
(d \lambda)(a)=\lambda(\partial a) .
$$

- Singular Cohomology of a pair of spaces, with coefficients in G
(4) $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(-\right.$; G): $\left(\mathbf{T o p}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A b}$
$H^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{f})=\mathrm{f}^{* \mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{G}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{G})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{G})=\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{C}^{*}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{G})\right), \\
& \mathrm{f}^{* \mathrm{n}}[\mu]=\left[\mathrm{f}^{\# \mathrm{n}}(\mu)\right] \quad\left(\mu \in \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{~B} ; \mathrm{G})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- For $\mathrm{G}=\mathbf{Z}$, one writes: $\mathrm{C}^{*}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A})=\mathrm{C}^{*}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A} ; \mathbf{Z})$.
7.3. Theorem (Subdivision for cohomology with coefficients in G)

In the hypotheses of 2.3 , the canonical morphism $\mathrm{C}^{*}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{G}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{C}_{*}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathcal{U}), \mathrm{G}\right)$ induces isomorphism in cohomology, in every degree.

Hint. The proof is similar to the one for homology with coefficients in $G$ (5.2)
7.4. Theorem (Relative Singular Cohomology with coefficients in G and E-S axioms)

Relative Singular Cohomology with coefficients in $G$ is a cohomology theory with coefficients in G (in the sense of Eilenberg-Steenrod).
Hint. The axioms for cohomology are dual to the ones for homology. The proof is similar to 5.3.
7.5. Theorem (Mayer-Vietoris for singular cohomology with coefficients in G)

In the same hypotheses of 2.4 there is an exact sequence, contravariantly natural
(1) $\ldots \leftarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{G}) \stackrel{\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{n}}}{\leftarrow} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{G}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{V} ; \mathrm{G}) \stackrel{\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{n}}}{\leftarrow} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{G}) \stackrel{\Delta^{\mathrm{n}-1}}{\leftarrow} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{G}) \ldots$

Hint. As in 2.4.
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