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## Motivation

Precise knowledge and predicting capabilities for extremes are fundamental in many disciplines:

- Environmental sciences
- Finance and actuarial science
- Engineering and reliability

Evidence of increasing occurrence of extremes and larger insurance and economic losses.

Assessment of extreme dependence is often critical:

- sea level and wave height
- concentration of $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$


## Contributions

Standard statistical methods do not guarantee precise extrapolations towards the tail of the distribution where little, if no, data is available $\Longrightarrow$ extreme value theory.

For multivariate extremes these rely on highly technical results and are not widely available for use.

We introduce here easily interpretable and flexible multivariate models to investigate both marginal and joint extreme behaviour.

Inference is carried out within the Bayesian paradigm using the MCMC machinery.

## Univariate EVT: result 1

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ i.i.d r.v.s and $M_{n}=\max \left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\}$. If there exist sequences $a_{n} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $b_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left(M_{n}-b_{n}\right) / a_{n} \leq x\right)=H(x),
$$

where $H$ is a generalized extreme value distribution

$$
H(x \mid \xi, \sigma, u)=\exp \left\{-(1+\xi(x-u) / \sigma)^{-1 / \xi}\right\}
$$

Inference carried over sub-sample maxima.

## Univariate EVT: result 2

Let $X$ have d.f. $F$. Then

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} F(x \mid u)=\mathbb{P}(X \leq x+u \mid X>u)=P(x)
$$

where $P(x)$ is the d.f. of a generalized Pareto

$$
P(x \mid \xi, \sigma, u)= \begin{cases}1-\left(1+\frac{\xi}{\sigma}(x-u)\right)^{-1 / \xi}, & \xi \neq 0 \\ 1-\exp (-(x-u) / \sigma), & \xi=0\end{cases}
$$

$\xi$ is the shape, $\sigma$ the scale and $u$ the threshold.
If $\xi \geq 0, x \in(u, \infty)$, but if $\xi<0, x \in(u, u-\sigma / \xi)$.

## Fitting a GPD: $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ data
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## Fitting a GPD: Mixture modelling

Two major drawbacks with standard techniques:

- Most of the data is not formally used for inference
- Arbitrary choice of the threshold

An alternative is a so-called extreme mixture model

- GPD parametric model for the tail
- An uncertain threshold
- A non-parametric model for the bulk



## Multivariate extremes

Let $\boldsymbol{X}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_{n}$ be i.i.d $d$-dimensional random vectors with $\boldsymbol{X}_{i}=\left(X_{i, 1}, \ldots, X_{i, d}\right)$ and

$$
\boldsymbol{M}_{n}=\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} X_{1, i}, \ldots, \max _{1 \leq i \leq n} X_{d, i}\right)
$$

If there are sequences $\boldsymbol{a}_{n}>\mathbf{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{M}_{n}-\boldsymbol{b}_{n}}{\boldsymbol{a}_{n}} \leq \boldsymbol{x}\right)=G(\boldsymbol{x})
$$

then $G$ is the d.f. of a multivariate extreme value distribution. The marginals of $G$ are univariate extreme value distributions.

## Multivariate extremes

Suppose $G_{i}$ is unit Fréchet, i.e. $G_{i}(x)=\exp (-1 / x)$. Then

$$
G(\boldsymbol{x})=\exp (-V(\boldsymbol{x}))
$$

where

$$
V(\boldsymbol{x})=d \int_{\mathcal{S}_{d}} \max _{i=1, \ldots, d} \frac{\omega_{i}}{x_{i}} \mathrm{~d} H(\boldsymbol{w})
$$

$\mathcal{S}_{d}$ is the unit simplex and $H$ is a positive finite measure satisfying

$$
\int_{\mathcal{S}_{d}} w_{i} \mathrm{~d} H(\boldsymbol{w})=\frac{1}{d}, \forall i=1, \ldots, d
$$

$V$ is the exponent measure and $H$ is the spectral measure.

## Fitting multivariate extremes

As in the univariate case data above some threshold is supposed extreme and formally used for inference.

Various approaches:

- Parametric:
- for the exponent measure (simpler but less flexible) Coles and Tawn 1991, 1994; Jaruskova 2009; Joe 1990;
- for the spectral measure (computationally more intensive) Ballani and Schlather 2011; Boldi and Davison 2007; Cooley et al. 2010;
- Nonparametric modelling of the spectral measure (almost exclusively non-Bayesian) Guillotte et al. 2011;:
- Motivated by different theoretical justifications Bortot et al. 2000; Heffernan and Tawn 2004; Ramos and Ledford 2009;:

In all cases some initial non-parametric data transformation is performed (via ECDF)

## Multivariate thresholds






## Asymptotic independence

Let $G$ be a bivariate EVD for the maxima of $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$. Then if

$$
G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=G\left(x_{1}\right) G\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

$X_{1}, X_{2}$ are said to be asymptotically independent.
This can be checked by computing

$$
\bar{\chi}=\lim _{u \rightarrow 1} \mathbb{P}\left(F_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)>u \mid F_{2}\left(X_{2}\right)>u\right)
$$

If $\bar{\chi}=0 \Longrightarrow$ asymptotic independence
If $\bar{\chi} \in(0,1] \Longrightarrow$ asymptotic dependence

If $X_{1}, X_{2} \sim \mathcal{N}, \operatorname{cor}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\rho \neq 0$, then

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow 1} \mathbb{P}\left(F_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)>u \mid F_{2}\left(X_{2}\right)>u\right)=0
$$

## Copulae

A copula $C$ is a flexible tool to construct multivariate distributions with given margins. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}$ be r.v.s with d.f.s $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{d}$.
A copula $C$ is a function $C:[0,1]^{d} \rightarrow[0,1]$ s.t.

$$
F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=C\left(F_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, F_{d}\left(x_{d}\right)\right)
$$

- Sklar's theorem guarantees there always exists one such copula;
- If $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}$ are continuous $C$ is unique;
- $C$ is a d.f. in $[0,1]$ itself;
- separate marginal and dependence modelling.


## Copula density

Since $C$ is a d.f. in $[0,1]^{d}$ it has a density

$$
c\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} u_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} u_{d}} C\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}\right),
$$

and thus

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=c\left(F_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, F_{d}\left(x_{d}\right)\right) f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots f_{d}\left(x_{d}\right) .
$$

## Construction of copulae

Sklar's theorem guarantees that

$$
F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=C\left(F_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, F_{d}\left(x_{d}\right)\right)
$$

Calling $u_{i}=F_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)$, we have that $x_{i}=F_{i}^{-1}\left(u_{i}\right)$ and thus substituting

$$
C\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}\right)=F\left(F_{1}^{-1}\left(u_{1}\right), \ldots, F_{d}^{-1}\left(u_{d}\right)\right) .
$$

Often $F$ is chosen to be an elliptical distribution. For example Gaussian

$$
C\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}\right)=\Phi_{R}\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(u_{1}\right), \ldots, \Phi^{-1}\left(u_{d}\right)\right)
$$

where $\Phi$ is the standard normal d.f. and $\Phi_{R}$ is the multivariate d.f. with mean zero and correlation $R$.
But also Skew-Normal, T, Skew-T: Elliptical copulae.

## Asymptotic behaviour

Recall, asymptotic dependence can be assessed by

$$
\bar{\chi}=\lim _{u \rightarrow 1} \mathbb{P}\left(F_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)>u \mid F_{2}\left(X_{2}\right)>u\right)
$$

Other measure is the sub-asymptotic dependence coefficient

$$
\bar{\chi}_{\text {sub }}=\lim _{u \rightarrow 1} \frac{2 \log \mathbb{P}\left(F_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)>u\right)}{\log \mathbb{P}\left(F_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)>u, F_{2}\left(X_{2}\right)>u\right)}-1 \in(-1,1]
$$

For Normal and skew-Normal $\bar{\chi}=0$ and $\bar{\chi}_{\text {sub }} \in(-1,1)$
For $T$ and skew- $T \bar{\chi} \in(0,1]$ and $\bar{\chi}_{\text {sub }}=1$.



## General idea

We build new models for multivariate extremes that

- marginally utilize flexible extreme mixture models
- exploit the flexibility of copulae to model dependence
- assess extreme dependence from the chosen copula
- formally utilize all data available


## Marginal MGPDs

Marginally we use the MGPD model (Nascimento et al. 2012)

- mixture of gammas for the bulk (Wiper et al. 2001)
- GPD for the tail

$$
f(x \mid \cdot)= \begin{cases}\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_{i} g_{i}\left(x \mid \mu_{i}, \eta_{i}\right), & x \leq u \\ \left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_{i} G_{i}\left(x \mid \mu_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)\right) p(x \mid \xi, \sigma, u), & x>u\end{cases}
$$

where $p$ is the density of a GPD, $\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_{i}=1$, with $w_{i} \geq 0$, and $G_{i}$ is the d.f. of a Gamma with density

$$
g_{i}\left(x \mid \mu_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)=\frac{\left(\eta_{i} / \mu_{i}\right)^{\eta_{i}}}{\Gamma\left(\eta_{i}\right)} x^{\eta_{i}-1} \exp \left(-\left(\eta_{i} / \mu_{i}\right) x\right)
$$

Parametrization chosen to address identifiability issues of mixtures.

## Joint modelling

The full model is chosen as a mixture of elliptic copulas with MGPD margins.

$$
f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \cdot)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \omega_{i} c_{i}\left(F_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, F_{d}\left(x_{d}\right)\right) f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots f_{d}\left(x_{d}\right)
$$

where $f_{i}$ is MGPD, $c_{i}$ is a copula density and $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \omega_{i}=1, \omega_{i} \geq 0$.
So for example if Gaussian

$$
f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \cdot)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \omega_{i} c_{i}^{\text {gauss }}\left(F_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, F_{d}\left(x_{d}\right)\right) f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots f_{d}\left(x_{d}\right)
$$

where $c_{i}^{\text {gauss }}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}\right)=\left|R_{i}\right|^{-1 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{y}^{\top}\left(R_{i}^{-1}-I_{d}\right) \boldsymbol{y}\right)$, with $\left.\boldsymbol{y}^{\top}=\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(u_{1}\right)\right), \ldots, \Phi^{-1}\left(u_{d}\right)\right)$.

## Some restrictions

- For T copulas each mixture component has the same degrees of freedom $\left(\in \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$
- For skew-Normal copulas each mixture component has the same skewness parameters
- For skew-T copulas we consider one single copulas with integer degrees of freedom
- one correlation parameter $\rho_{i}$ for each mixture component. For identifiability $\rho_{1}<\rho_{2}<\cdots<\rho_{r}$.


## Priors

- $\mu_{i j}, \eta_{i j}$ vague Inverse Gamma and Gamma respectively
- $\xi_{i}, \sigma_{i}$ uninformative prior $\pi\left(\xi_{i}, \sigma_{i}\right) \propto \sigma_{i}^{-1}\left(1+\xi_{i}\right)^{-1}\left(1+2 \xi_{i}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ (Castellanos and Cabras, 2007)
- $u_{i}$ Normal distribution with prior mean around a high sample quantile
- $\rho_{i}$ and $\delta_{i 1}, \delta_{i 2}$ (skewness parameters) $\mathcal{U}(-1,1)$
- $v$ (integer) zero-truncated Poisson with mean 25
- $v$ (positive) uninformative prior (Fonseca et al. 2008)

$$
\pi(v) \propto\left(\frac{v}{v+3}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\phi(v / 2)-\phi((v+1) / 2)-\frac{2(v+3)}{v(v+1)^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where $\phi$ is the trigamma function.

## Inference

Inference is carried out via MCMC with Metropolis-Hastings steps

- Implementation in OX
- Variances of the proposals are tuned via adaptive M-H
- Proposals:
- Gamma for parameters in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$
- Truncated normal for parameters taking values in continuous spaces
- a discrete uniform in $\{v-2, v-1, v, v+1, v+2\}$ for integer $v$.
- 25000 iterations, 5000 burn-in and thinning every 20 iterations (giving an MCMC sample of 1000 observations)
- Number of Gamma mixture components was chosen via investigation of the marginals and then held fixed


## Inference

Since inference is via MCMC, we can compute posterior point estimates and credibility intervals for any function of the parameters.

For extremes, interest is on

- high marginal $p$-quantiles $q_{i}$ s.t. $\mathbb{P}\left(X_{i}>q_{i}\right)=p$

$$
q_{i}=u_{i}+\frac{\sigma_{i}}{\xi_{i}}\left[\left(1-\frac{p-F_{i}\left(u_{i} \mid \cdot\right)}{1-F_{i}\left(u_{i} \mid \cdot\right)}\right)^{-\xi_{i}}-1\right]
$$

where $F_{i}$ is the d.f. of the MGPD

- Joint exceedances

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}>x_{1}, X_{2}>x_{2}\right)=1-F_{1}\left(x_{1} \mid \cdot\right) & -F_{2}\left(x_{2} \mid \cdot\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{r} \omega_{i} C_{i}\left(F_{1}\left(x_{1} \mid \cdot\right), F_{2}\left(x_{2} \mid \cdot\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Predictions

For a parameter vector $\boldsymbol{y}$, the density of a new observation $y$ given a sample $\boldsymbol{x}$ equals

$$
f(y \mid \boldsymbol{x})=\int f(y, \boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\theta}=\int f(y \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\theta}=\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{x}}(f(y \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}))
$$

This expectation has no closed-form but can be approximated via Monte Carlo

$$
\hat{f}(y \mid \boldsymbol{x})=\frac{1}{J} \sum_{i=1}^{J} f\left(y \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)}\right)
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)}$ is a value sampled from $\pi(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{x})$.
Thus we can straightforwardly produce predictions for high quantiles.

## Simulation study

We simulated 1000 observations from 8 models, 4 asymptotically dependent and 4 asymptotically independent:

- Mixture of 2 T-copulae with MGPD margins
- Mixture of 2 Gaussian copulae with MGPD margins
- Skew Normal copula with MGPD margins
- Skew-T copula with MGPD margins
- Morgenstern copula with lognormal-GPD margins
- Asymmetric logistic copula with lognormal-GPD margins
- Cauchy copula with lognormal margins
- Bilogistic copula with lognormal margins


## Model selection: copulae weights

- Weights of unnecessary components equal to zero




## Model selection: asy. dep. vs indep.

- We are able to identify the right number of components
- These can give an indication of extreme behavior

|  | Gaussian | Student-T | Skew-Normal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cauchy | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Asy. log. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Skew T | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| $2-T$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Bilogistic | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Morgenstern | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Skew-Normal | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2-Gauss | 2 | 2 | 2 |

## Model selection: degrees of freedom

|  | T1 | T2 | ST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cauchy (1) | $0.95(0.82,1.10)$ |  | $1(1,1)$ |
| Asy. log. | $7.32(4.42,16.07)$ |  | $9(4,22)$ |
| Skew T (5) | $5.63(3.86,9.36)$ |  | $6(4,12)$ |
| 2-T (6) | $2.35(1.89,3.08)$ | $9.83(3.60,52.1)$ | $3(2,3)$ |
| Bilogistic | $7.11(4.33,14.6)$ |  | $18(6,29)$ |
| Morgenstern | $38.8(13.0,155)$ |  | $20(13,29)$ |
| Skew-Normal | $28.9(12.2,136)$ | 1 | $19(12,29)$ |
| 2-Gauss | $3.22(2.46,4.50)$ | $16.51(5.83,141)$ | $4(3,6)$ |

## Model selection: BIC/DIC

- 2 Gaussian copulae

|  | Ind. | G1 | G2 | T1 | T2 | SN1 | SN2 | ST1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BIC | 10285 | 9998 | 9973 | 9884 | $\mathbf{9 6 6 8}$ | 10050 | 9986 | 9718 |
| DIC | 10033 | 9680 | $\mathbf{9 6 0 4}$ | 9657 | 9635 | 9693 | 9612 | 9632 |

- Skew-T copula

|  | Ind. | G1 | T1 | SN1 | ST1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BIC | 11083 | 10846 | 10774 | 10279 | $\mathbf{1 0 2 7 8}$ |
| DIC | 10930 | 10705 | 10434 | $\mathbf{9 8 6 5}$ | 9999 |

- Cauchy copula

|  | Ind. | G1 | G2 | T1 | SN1 | SN2 | ST1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BIC | 9158 | $\mathbf{8 9 2 3}$ | 8972 | 8953 | 8938 | 8988 | 8940 |
| DIC | 9260 | 9072 | $\mathbf{8 9 2 8}$ | 9078 | 9091 | 8932 | 8934 |

## Summaries



## Rivers in Puerto Rico

- Dataset: 2492 weekly maxima of Espiritu Santo and Fajardo rivers
- Randomly selected 1492 observations to fit the models
- 1000 observations to investigate the models' prediction power



## Marginals

|  | Ind. | G2 | T1 | SN2 | ST1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\xi_{1}$ | $0.26(0.13,0.43)$ | $0.19(0.08,0.34)$ | $0.22(0.11,0.35)$ | $0.18(0.06,0.30)$ | $0.20(0.09,0.34)$ |
| $\xi_{2}$ | $0.34(0.15,0.62)$ | $0.27(0.12,0.48)$ | $0.32(0.16,0.51)$ | $0.27(0.11,0.49)$ | $0.28(0.14,0.51)$ |




## Model selection

- T degrees of freedom 5.31 (3.78,7.91), Skew T degrees of freedom 10 $(6,22)$;
- Mixture of 2 Gaussian/ Skew Normal copulae vs 1 T copula
- BIC/DIC

|  | Ind. | G1 | G2 | T1 | SN1 | SN2 | ST1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BIC | 40753 | 39518 | 39496 | $\mathbf{3 9 4 4 5}$ | 39538 | 39495 | 39518 |
| DIC | 40765 | 39747 | 39618 | 39494 | 39896 | $\mathbf{3 9 4 8 7}$ | 39593 |

## Extremes' prediction

| Espiritu | Prob. | Emp. | Ind. | G2 | T1 | SN2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.90 | [402,404] | $368(335,405)$ | $378(345,413)$ | 373 (343,410) | $379(312,447)$ |
|  | 0.95 | [570,572] | $551(497,610)$ | $554(506,608)$ | $554(509,611)$ | $553(472,645)$ |
|  | 0.99 | [1080,1120] | 1128 (971,1354) | $1061(953,1235)$ | 1092 (975,1262) | 1047 (913,1249) |
|  | 0.999 | [2180,2280] | 2527 (1850,3971) | $2115(1707,2999)$ | $2277(1816,3112)$ | $2038(1645,2846)$ |


| Fajardo | Prob. | Emp. | Ind. | G2 | T1 | SN2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.90 | [441,441] | $448(395,492)$ | $448(402,493)$ | $444(396,491)$ | 450 (401,496) |
|  | 0.95 | [610,629] | 663 (599,747) | $659(602,732)$ | $660(599,734)$ | $664(601,739)$ |
|  | 0.99 | [1300,1370] | $1421(1207,1732)$ | 1341 (1184,1560) | 1388 (1214,1632) | 1345 (1167,1592) |
|  | 0.999 | [2610,8800] | $3564(2536,6458)$ | $2999(2352,4418)$ | 3379 (2586,4989) | 2983 (2322,4627) |


| Point | Prob. | Ind. | G2 | T1 | SN2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Joint | $(305,300)$ | 0.10 | $0.023(0.019,0.027)$ | $0.093(0.082,0.11)$ | $0.092(0.081,0.11)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9 4}(\mathbf{0 . 0 7 5 , 0 . 1 1 4 )}$ |
|  | $(475,470)$ | 0.05 | $0.006(0.005,0.008)$ | $0.042(0.035,0.051)$ | $0.043(0.036,0.052)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 5}(\mathbf{0 . 0 3 5 , 0 . 0 5 7 )}$ |
|  | $(850,850)$ | 0.01 | $0.0006(0.0004,0.0009)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 ( \mathbf { 0 . 0 0 8 , 0 . 0 1 4 } )}$ | $0.012(0.009,0.015)$ | $0.0097(0.0065,0.0137)$ |
| $(2000,2500)$ | 0.001 | $5.0 \mathrm{e}-6(1.5 \mathrm{e}-6,1.4 \mathrm{e}-5)$ | $0.0004(0.0002,0.0009)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 8 ( \mathbf { 0 . 0 0 0 4 , 0 . 0 0 1 6 ) }}$ | $0.0005(0.0002,0.0010)$ |  |

## Discussion

We have introduced novel multivariate models for extremes that

- do not require a pre-specified threshold
- utilize all the data points
- assess extreme dependence and can take into account asymptotic independence
- exploit the Bayesian paradigm to provide estimates and predictions of high quantiles
and explored their performance for estimation and prediction with both synthetic and real datasets.


## Extensions

- Extensions into higher dimensions:
- Identifiability constraint for matrices
- Use of vine copulae
- Combination of a copula for the bulk and a parametric model of the spectral measure for the "tail"
- Use of covariates, time-dependent copulae, Markov switching models etc...
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Thanks for your attention!
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