



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra



Artinian level algebras of socle degree 4 [☆]



Shreedevi K. Masuti, M.E. Rossi*

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Genova, Via Dodecaneso 35, 16146 Genova, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 January 2017

Available online xxx

Communicated by Luchezar L. Avramov

MSC:

primary 13H10

secondary 13H15, 14C05

Keywords:

Macaulay's inverse system

Hilbert functions

Artinian Gorenstein and level

algebras

Canonically graded algebras

ABSTRACT

In this paper we study the O-sequences of local (or graded) K -algebras of socle degree 4. More precisely, we prove that an O-sequence $h = (1, 3, h_2, h_3, h_4)$, where $h_4 \geq 2$, is the h -vector of a local level K -algebra if and only if $h_3 \leq 3h_4$. A characterization is also presented for Gorenstein O-sequences. In each of these cases we give an effective method to construct a local level K -algebra with a given h -vector. Moreover we refine a result of Elias and Rossi by showing that if $h = (1, h_1, h_2, h_3, 1)$ is a unimodal Gorenstein O-sequence, then h forces the corresponding Gorenstein K -algebra to be canonically graded if and only if $h_1 = h_3$ and $h_2 = \binom{h_1+1}{2}$, that is the h -vector is maximal. We discuss analogue problems for higher socle degrees.

© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

[☆] The first author was supported by INdAM COFOUND Fellowships cofounded by Marie Curie actions, Italy. The second author was partially supported by PRIN 2015EYPTSB-008 Geometry of Algebraic Varieties “Geometria delle varietà algebriche”. The authors thank INdAM-GNSAGA for the support.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: masuti@dima.unige.it (S.K. Masuti), rossim@dima.unige.it (M.E. Rossi).

1. Introduction

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be an Artinian local or graded K -algebra where K is any arbitrary field unless otherwise specified. Let $\text{Soc}(A) = (0 : \mathfrak{m})$ be the socle of A . We denote by s the *socle degree* of A , that is the maximum integer j such that $\mathfrak{m}^j \neq 0$. The *type* of A is $\tau := \dim_K \text{Soc}(A)$. Recall that A is said to be *level* of type τ if $\text{Soc}(A) = \mathfrak{m}^s$ and $\dim_K \mathfrak{m}^s = \tau$. If A has type 1, equivalently $\dim_K \text{Soc}(A) = 1$, then A is *Gorenstein*. In the literature local rings with low socle degree, also called *short local rings*, have emerged as a testing ground for properties of infinite free resolutions (see [1], [2], [10], [20], [32], [35]). They have been also extensively studied in problems related to the irreducibility and the smoothness of the punctual Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_d(\mathbb{P}_K^n)$ parameterizing zero-dimensional subschemes in \mathbb{P}_K^n of degree d , see among others [7], [8], [16], [31]. In this paper we study the structure of level K -algebras of socle degree 4, hence $\mathfrak{m}^5 = 0$. One of the most significant information on the structure is given by the Hilbert function.

By definition, the Hilbert function of A ,

$$h_i = h_i(A) := \dim_K \mathfrak{m}^i / \mathfrak{m}^{i+1},$$

is the Hilbert function of the associated graded ring $gr_{\mathfrak{m}}(A) := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}^i / \mathfrak{m}^{i+1}$. We also say that $h = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_s)$ is the h -vector of A . In [29] Macaulay characterized the possible sequences of positive integers h_i that can occur as the Hilbert function of A . Since then there has been a great interest in commutative algebra in determining the h -vectors that can occur as the Hilbert function of A with additional properties (for example, complete intersection, Gorenstein, level, etc). A sequence of positive integers $h = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_s)$ satisfying Macaulay's criterion, that is $h_0 = 1$ and $h_{i+1} \leq h_i^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, s-1$, is called an O -sequence. A sequence $h = (1, h_1, \dots, h_s)$ is said to be a *level (resp. Gorenstein) O -sequence* if h is the Hilbert function of some Artinian level (resp. Gorenstein) K -algebra A . Remark that h_1 is the embedding dimension and, if A is level, h_s is the type of A . Notice that a level O -sequence is not necessarily the Hilbert function of an Artinian level graded K -algebra. This is because the Hilbert function of the level ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) is the Hilbert function of $gr_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)$ which is not necessarily level. From now on we say that h is a graded level (resp. Gorenstein) O -sequence if h is the Hilbert function of a level (resp. Gorenstein) graded standard K -algebra. For instance it is well known that the h -vector of a Gorenstein graded K -algebra is symmetric, but this is no longer true for a Gorenstein local ring. Characterizing level O -sequences is a wide open problem in commutative algebra. The problem is difficult and very few results are known even in the graded case as evidenced by [18]. In the following table we give a summary of known results:

Characterization of	Graded	Local
Gorenstein O-sequences with $h_1 = 2$	[27,28]	[27,28] ¹
level O-sequences with $h_1 = 2$	[27] ²	[27] ³
Gorenstein O-sequences with $h_1 = 3$	[6] (see also [36, Theorem 4.2], [19])	Open
level O-sequences with $h_1 = 3$ and $\tau \geq 2$	Open. In [18] authors gave a complete list with $s \leq 5$ or $s = 6$ and $\tau = 2$	Open
level O-sequences with $s \leq 3$	Open (see [18] for $h_1 = 3$ and [12] for discussion)	[12, Theorem 4.3]

In this paper we fill the above table by characterizing the Gorenstein and level O-sequences with a particular attention to socle degree 4 and embedding dimension $h_1 = 3$. In our setting we can assume that $A = R/I$ where $R = K[[x_1, \dots, x_r]]$ is the formal power series ring or $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ the polynomial ring with standard grading and I an ideal of R . We say that A is graded when it can be presented as R/I where I is a homogeneous ideal in $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$. Without loss of generality we assume that $h_1 = \dim_K \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 = r$.

Recall that the socle type of $A = R/I$ is the sequence $E = (0, e_1, \dots, e_s)$, where

$$e_i := \dim_K((0 : \mathfrak{m}) \cap \mathfrak{m}^i / (0 : \mathfrak{m}) \cap \mathfrak{m}^{i+1}).$$

It is known that for all $i \geq 0$,

$$h_i \leq \min\{\dim_K R_i, e_i \dim_K R_0 + e_{i+1} \dim_K R_1 + \dots + e_s \dim_K R_{s-i}\} \tag{1.1}$$

(see [21]). Hence a necessary condition for h to be a level O-sequence is that $h_{s-1} \leq h_1 h_s$ where $e_s = h_s$ and $e_i = 0$ otherwise. In the following theorem we prove that this condition is also sufficient for $h = (1, 3, h_2, h_3, h_4)$ to be a level O-sequence, provided $h_4 \geq 2$. However, if $h_4 = 1$, we need an additional assumption for h to be a Gorenstein O-sequence. We remark that the result for $h_4 = 2$ can not be extended to $h_1 > 3$ (see Example 3.9).

Theorem 1. *Let $h = (1, 3, h_2, h_3, h_4)$ be an O-sequence.*

¹ The characterization of local Gorenstein sequences was also obtained by Briançon in [5] and a later self-contained proof using symmetric decomposition was presented by Iarrobino in [22, Chapter 2].

² We refer the reader to [21, Theorem 4.6A] and [23] for more readable writing.

³ See also [21, Theorem 4.6B] and [4, Theorem 2.6].

- (a) Let $h_4 = 1$. Then h is a Gorenstein O -sequence if and only if $h_3 \leq 3$ and $h_2 \leq \binom{h_3+1}{2} + (3 - h_3)$.
- (b) Let $h_4 \geq 2$. Then h is a level O -sequence if and only if $h_3 \leq 3h_4$.

The proof of the above result is effective in the sense that in each case we construct a local level K -algebra with a given h -vector verifying the necessary conditions (see Theorem 3.8).

Combining Theorem 1(b) and results in [18] we show that there are level O -sequences which are not realizable in the graded case (see Section 3, Table 1 and Table 2). A similar behaviour was observed in [12] for socle degree 3. Theorem 1(a) is a consequence of the following more general result which holds for any embedding dimension:

Theorem 2.

- (a) If $(1, h_1, \dots, h_{s-2}, h_{s-1}, 1)$ is a Gorenstein O -sequence with $s > 3$, then

$$h_{s-1} \leq h_1 \text{ and } h_{s-2} \leq \binom{h_{s-1} + 1}{2} + (h_1 - h_{s-1}). \quad (1.2)$$

- (b) If $h = (1, h_1, h_2, h_3, 1)$ is a unimodal O -sequence satisfying (1.2), then h is a Gorenstein O -sequence.

Notice that Theorem 2(a) can be obtained as a consequence of a more general result by Iarrobino in [22, Theorem 3.2A].

If A is a Gorenstein local K -algebra with symmetric h -vector, then $gr_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)$ is Gorenstein, see [22, Proposition 1.7]. It is a natural question to ask, in this case, whether A is analytically isomorphic to $gr_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)$. Accordingly with the definition given in [17, Page 408] and in [14], recall that an Artinian local K -algebra (A, \mathfrak{m}) is said to be *canonically graded* if there exists a K -algebra isomorphism between A and its associated graded ring $gr_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)$.

For instance J. Elias and M. E. Rossi in [14] proved that every Gorenstein K -algebra with symmetric h -vector and $\mathfrak{m}^4 = 0$ ($s \leq 3$) is canonically graded under the assumption that K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. A local K -algebra A of socle type E is said to be *compressed* if equality holds in (1.1) for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, equivalently the h -vector is maximal given the socle type and embedding dimension (see [21, Definition 2.3]). Compressed Gorenstein local K -algebras enjoy nice homological properties, see for instance [33]. In [15, Theorem 3.1] Elias and Rossi proved that if A is any compressed Gorenstein local K -algebra of socle degree $s \leq 4$, then A is canonically graded under the assumption that K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. In Section 4 we prove that if the socle degree is 4, then the assumption can not be relaxed. More precisely only the maximal h -vector forces every corresponding Gorenstein K -algebra

to be canonically graded. We prove that if h is unimodal and not maximal, then there exists a Gorenstein K -algebra with Hilbert function h which is not canonically graded (for arbitrary field K). To prove that a local K -algebra is not canonically graded is in general a very difficult task. See also [25] for interesting discussions.

Theorem 3. *Assume K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $h = (1, h_1, h_2, h_3, 1)$ be an O -sequence with $h_2 \geq h_3$. Then every local Gorenstein K -algebra with Hilbert function h is necessarily canonically graded if and only if $h_1 = h_3$ and $h_2 = \binom{h_1+1}{2}$.*

An analogue of the above result is no longer true for socle degree 5. We prove that there exists a non-canonically graded Gorenstein K -algebra (for arbitrary K) with Hilbert function $h = (1, h_1, h_2, h_2, h_1, 1)$ for every pair (h_1, h_2) satisfying $2 \leq h_1 \leq h_2 \leq \binom{h_1+1}{2}$ (even in the compressed case), see Theorem 4.3.

The main tool of the paper is Macaulay’s inverse system [28] which gives a one-to-one correspondence between ideals $I \subseteq R$ such that R/I is an Artinian local ring and finitely generated R -submodules of a polynomial ring. In Section 2 we gather preliminary results needed for our purpose. We prove Theorems 1 and 2 in Section 3, and Theorem 3 in Section 4.

We have used Singular [11], [13] and CoCoA [9] for various computations and examples.

Acknowledgments We thank Juan Elias for providing us the updated version of INVERSE-SYST.LIB for computations. The authors are also grateful to the referee for several suggestions which greatly improved the presentation of the paper. In particular the discussions concerning socle degree 5 are encouraged by the referee.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Macaulay’s inverse system

In this subsection we recall some results on Macaulay’s inverse system which we will use in the subsequent sections. This theory is well-known in the literature, especially in the graded setting (see for example [28, Chapter IV] and [24]). However, the local case is not so well explored. We refer the reader to [17], [22] for an extended treatment.

It is known that the injective hull of K as an R -module is isomorphic to a divided power ring $P := K_{DP}[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ which has a structure of R -module by means of the following action:

$$\begin{aligned} \circ : \quad R \times P &\longrightarrow P \\ (x^\alpha, X^\beta) &\longrightarrow x^\alpha \circ X^\beta = \begin{cases} X^{\beta-\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha \leq \beta \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \not\leq \beta \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$, $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_r^{\alpha_r}$, $X^\beta = X_1^{\beta_1} \dots X_r^{\beta_r}$, and by $\alpha \leq \beta$ we mean that $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. For the sake of simplicity from now on we will use x_i instead of the capital letters X_i . If $\{f_1, \dots, f_t\} \subseteq P$ is a set of polynomials, we will denote by $\langle f_1, \dots, f_t \rangle_R$ the R -submodule of P generated by f_1, \dots, f_t , i.e., the K -vector space generated by f_1, \dots, f_t and by the corresponding derivatives of all orders. We consider the exact pairing of K -vector spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : R \times P &\longrightarrow K \\ (f, g) &\longrightarrow (f \circ g)(0). \end{aligned}$$

For any ideal $I \subset R$ we define the following R -submodule of P called Macaulay’s inverse system:

$$I^\perp := \{g \in P \mid \langle f, g \rangle = 0 \ \forall f \in I\}.$$

Conversely, for every R -submodule M of P we define

$$\text{Ann}_R(M) := \{g \in R \mid \langle g, f \rangle = 0 \ \forall f \in M\}$$

which is an ideal of R . If M is generated by polynomials $\underline{f} := f_1, \dots, f_t$, with $f_i \in P$, then we will write $\text{Ann}_R(M) = \text{Ann}_R(\underline{f})$ and $A_{\underline{f}} = R/\text{Ann}_R(\underline{f})$.

By using Matlis duality one proves that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between ideals $I \subseteq R$ such that R/I is an Artinian local ring and R -submodules M of P which are finitely generated. More precisely, Emsalem in [17, Proposition 2] and Iarrobino in [22, Lemma 1.2] stated the following result.

Proposition 2.1. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between ideals I such that R/I is an Artinian level local ring of socle degree s and type τ and R -submodules of P generated by τ polynomials of degree s having linearly independent forms of degree s . The correspondence is defined as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} I \subseteq R \text{ such that } R/I \\ \text{is an Artinian level local ring of} \\ \text{socle degree } s \text{ and type } \tau \end{array} \right\} & \xleftrightarrow{1-1} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M \subseteq P \text{ submodule generated by} \\ \tau \text{ polynomials of degree} \\ s \text{ with linearly independent leading forms} \end{array} \right\} \\ I & \longrightarrow & I^\perp \\ \text{Ann}_R(M) & \longleftarrow & M \end{array}$$

The action $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ induces the following isomorphism of K -vector spaces (see [17, Proposition 2(a)]):

$$(R/I)^* \simeq I^\perp, \tag{2.2}$$

(where $(R/I)^*$ denotes the dual with respect to the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ induced on R/I). Hence $\dim_K R/I = \dim_K I^\perp$. As in the graded case, it is possible to compute the Hilbert function of $A = R/I$ via the inverse system. We define the following K -vector space:

$$(I^\perp)_i := \frac{I^\perp \cap P_{\leq i} + P_{< i}}{P_{< i}}.$$

Then, by (2.2), it is known that

$$h_i(R/I) = \dim_K (I^\perp)_i. \tag{2.3}$$

2.2. *Q-decomposition*

It is well-known that the Hilbert function of an Artinian graded Gorenstein K -algebra is symmetric, which is not true in the local case. The problem comes from the fact that, in general, the associated algebra $G := gr_m(A)$ of a Gorenstein local algebra A is no longer Gorenstein. However, in [22] Iarrobino proved that the Hilbert function of a Gorenstein local K -algebra A admits a “symmetric” decomposition. To be more precise, consider a filtration of G by a descending sequence of ideals:

$$G = C(0) \supseteq C(1) \supseteq \dots \supseteq C(s) = 0,$$

where

$$C(a)_i := \frac{(0 : \mathfrak{m}^{s+1-a-i}) \cap \mathfrak{m}^i}{(0 : \mathfrak{m}^{s+1-a-i}) \cap \mathfrak{m}^{i+1}}.$$

Let

$$Q(a) = C(a)/C(a + 1).$$

Then

$$\{Q(a) : a = 0, \dots, s - 1\}$$

is called *Q-decomposition* of the associated graded ring G . We have

$$h_i(A) = \dim_K G_i = \sum_{a=0}^{s-1} \dim_K Q(a)_i.$$

Iarrobino [22, Theorem 1.5] proved that if $A = R/I$ is a Gorenstein local ring then for all $a = 0, \dots, s - 1$, $Q(a)$ is a reflexive graded G -module, up to a shift in degree: $\text{Hom}_K(Q(a)_i, K) \cong Q(a)_{s-a-i}$. Hence the Hilbert function of $Q(a)$ is symmetric about $\frac{s-a}{2}$. Moreover, since each partial sum $\sum_{a=0}^j \dim_K Q(a)$ is the Hilbert function of

$G/C(j+1)$, $\sum_{a=0}^j \dim_K Q(a)$ is also an O-sequence (see [22, Page 69]). Iarrobino also showed that $Q(0) = G/C(1)$ is the unique (up to isomorphism) socle degree s graded Gorenstein quotient of G . Let $f = f[s] + \dots$ lower degree terms be a polynomial in P of degree s where $f[s]$ is the homogeneous part of degree s of f and consider A_f the corresponding Gorenstein local K -algebra. Then, $Q(0) \cong R/\text{Ann}_R(f[s])$ (see [17, Proposition 7] and [22, Lemma 1.10]).

Therefore a necessary condition for an O-sequence to be Gorenstein is that it admits a symmetric Q-decomposition by which we mean that (cf. [3]):

Definition 2.4. An O-sequence h is said to *admit a symmetric Q-decomposition* if there exist numerical sequences $h(a) = (h(a)_0, h(a)_1, \dots, h(a)_s)$ for $a = 0, \dots, s-1$ such that

- (1) each $h(a)$ is symmetric about $\frac{s-a}{2}$;
- (2) $h = \sum_{a=0}^{s-1} h(a)$;
- (3) each partial sum $\sum_{a=0}^j h(a)$ for $j = 0, \dots, s-1$ is an O-sequence.

If this is the case we also say that $\{h(a) : a = 0, \dots, s-1\}$ is an “admissible symmetric Q-decomposition” for h .

3. Characterization of level O-sequences

In this section we characterize Gorenstein and level O-sequences of socle degree 4 and embedding dimension 3. First if $h = (1, h_1, \dots, h_s)$ is a Gorenstein O-sequence (in any embedding dimension), we obtain an upper bound on h_{s-2} in terms of h_{s-1} . This result (the first part of the following theorem) can be obtained as a consequence of a more general result proved by Iarrobino in [22, Theorem 3.2A]. For the sake of completeness we include a direct proof here.

Theorem 3.1.

- (a) If $(1, h_1, \dots, h_{s-2}, h_{s-1}, 1)$ is a Gorenstein O-sequence with $s > 3$, then

$$h_{s-1} \leq h_1 \text{ and } h_{s-2} \leq \left(\binom{h_{s-1} + 1}{2} + (h_1 - h_{s-1}) \right). \quad (3.2)$$

- (b) If $h = (1, h_1, h_2, h_3, 1)$ is an O-sequence such that $h_2 \geq h_3$ and it satisfies (3.2), then h is a Gorenstein O-sequence.

Proof. (a): From (1.1) it follows that $h_{s-1} \leq h_1$. Let A be a Gorenstein local K -algebra with the Hilbert function h and $\{Q(a) : a = 0, \dots, s-1\}$ be Q-decomposition of $\text{gr}_m(A)$. Since $Q(i)_{s-1} = 0$ for $i > 0$, $\dim_K Q(0)_{s-1} = h_{s-1}$. Hence $\dim_K Q(0)_1 = \dim_K Q(0)_{s-1} = h_{s-1}$ and $\dim_K Q(0)_{s-2} = \dim_K Q(0)_2 \leq h_{s-1}^{(1)}$. This in turn implies that $\dim_K Q(1)_{s-2} = \dim_K Q(1)_1 \leq h_1 - h_{s-1}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_{s-2} &= \dim_K Q(0)_{s-2} + \dim_K Q(1)_{s-2} \\
 &\leq \binom{h_{s-1} + 1}{2} + (h_1 - h_{s-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

(b): Suppose $h_2 \leq h_1$. Set

$$f = x_1^4 + \dots + x_{h_3}^4 + x_{h_3+1}^3 + \dots + x_{h_2}^3 + x_{h_2+1}^2 + \dots + x_{h_1}^2.$$

Then A_f has the Hilbert function h . Now assume $h_2 > h_1$. Denote $h_3 := n$ and define monomials $g_i \in K_{DP}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ as follows:

$$g_i = \begin{cases} x_i^2 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n \\
 x_{i-n}x_{i-n+1} & \text{if } n + 1 \leq i \leq 2n - 1 \\
 x_nx_1 & \text{if } i = 2n. \end{cases}$$

For $\underline{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, let $x^{\underline{i}} := x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$. Let T be the set of monomials $x^{\underline{i}}$ of degree 2 in $K_{DP}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that

$$x^{\underline{i}} \notin \{g_i : 1 \leq i \leq 2n\}.$$

Then $|T| = \binom{n+1}{2} - 2n$. We write $T = \{g_i : 2n < i \leq \binom{n+1}{2}\}$. Define

$$f = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 g_i + \sum_{i=1}^{h_2-h_1} x_i^2 g_{n+i} + x_{n+1}^3 + \dots + x_{h_1}^3 & \text{if } h_2 - h_1 \leq n \\
 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 g_i + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 g_{n+i} + \sum_{i=2n+1}^{h_2-h_1+n} g_i^2 + x_{n+1}^3 + \dots + x_{h_1}^3 & \text{if } h_2 - h_1 > n. \end{cases}$$

Then $h_3 = \dim_K \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right) = n$ and

$$h_2 = \dim_K (\{g_i : 1 \leq i \leq h_2 - h_1 + n\} \cup \{x_{n+1}^2, \dots, x_{h_1}^2\}).$$

Thus A_f has the Hilbert function $(1, h_1, h_2, h_3, 1)$. \square

Remark 3.3. If $h_3 = h_1$, then f in the proof of Theorem 3.1(b) is homogeneous and hence A_f is a graded Gorenstein K -algebra.

If the socle degree is 4 and $h_1 \leq 12$, then the converse holds in 3.1(a).

Corollary 3.4. An O -sequence $h = (1, h_1, h_2, h_3, 1)$ with $h_1 \leq 12$ is a Gorenstein O -sequence if and only if h satisfies (3.2).

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show that $h_2 \geq h_3$ if $h_1 \leq 12$. Let A be a local Gorenstein K -algebra with the Hilbert function h . Considering the symmetric Q -decomposition of $gr_m(A)$, we observe that in this case $Q(0)$ has the Hilbert function $(1, h_3, h_2 - k, h_3, 1)$ for some non-negative integer k . Since $h_1 \leq 12$, by (3.2) $h_3 \leq 12$. As $Q(0)$ is a graded Gorenstein K -algebra, by [30, Theorem 3.2] we conclude that $h_2 - k \geq h_3$ since $Q(0)$ has unimodal Hilbert function, hence $h_2 \geq h_3$. \square

Remark 3.5. A Gorenstein O-sequence $(1, h_1, h_2, h_3, 1)$ does not necessarily satisfy $h_2 \geq h_3$. For example, consider the sequence $h = (1, 13, 12, 13, 1)$. By [36, Example 4.3] there exists a graded Gorenstein K -algebra with h as h -vector.

It would be interesting to know which Gorenstein sequences appearing in Theorem 3.1(b) are admissible for complete intersections. In [26], jointly with J. Jelisiejew, we discuss this problem in codimension 3 for any socle degree.

Remark 3.6. We do not know a characterization of the Gorenstein O-sequences of socle degree 5, even if h is unimodal.

Clearly, (3.2) is not sufficient for an O-sequence to be Gorenstein when $s = 5$. For instance, $h = (1, 3, 3, 4, 3, 1)$ satisfies (3.2), but it is not admissible for a Gorenstein K -algebra because it does not admit symmetric Q -decomposition (see Definition 2.4). Hence an extension of Theorem 3.1(b) to $s = 5$ is not straightforward. As the referee suggests, the information given by [22, Theorem 3.2A] could be useful. But we feel that Q -decomposition is not enough to characterize Gorenstein sequences of higher socle degree and new ideas will be needed.

Discussion 3.7. Let $h = (1, h_1, h_2, h_3, 1)$ be an O-sequence as in Theorem 3.1(b). It can be verified that following is a complete list of admissible symmetric Q -decompositions (see Definition 2.4) for h :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Q(0) & = & (1, \quad h_3, \quad \alpha, \quad h_3, \quad 1) \\ Q(1) & = & (0, \quad h_2 - \alpha, \quad h_2 - \alpha, \quad 0, \quad 0) \\ Q(2) & = & (0, \quad h_1 - h_3 - h_2 + \alpha, \quad 0, \quad 0, \quad 0) \\ \hline h & = & (1, \quad h_1, \quad h_2, \quad h_3, \quad 1) \end{array}$$

where $h_2 + (h_3 - h_1) \leq \alpha \leq \min\{h_2, \binom{h_3+1}{2}\}$. We claim that if $Q(0)$ is an admissible graded Gorenstein algebra, then each Q -decomposition is realizable. Indeed, suppose that $Q(0)$ is admissible for graded Gorenstein algebra. Then there exists a homogeneous polynomial $F \in K_{DP}[x_1, \dots, x_{h_3}]$ of degree 4 such that A_F has the Hilbert function $(1, h_3, \alpha, h_3, 1)$. Define

$$f = F + x_{h_3+1}^3 + \cdots + x_{h_3+h_2-\alpha}^3 + x_{h_3+h_2-\alpha+1}^2 + \cdots + x_{h_1}^2.$$

Then A_f has the Hilbert function h and Q-decomposition as above (see [22, Section 4C]). Notice that f in the proof of Theorem 3.1(b) corresponds to the Q-decomposition where

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} h_3 & \text{if } h_2 \leq h_1 \\ h_2 + (h_3 - h_1) & \text{if } h_2 > h_1. \end{cases}$$

However, there are admissible symmetric Q-decompositions that are not realizable. For instance, consider $h = (1, 16, 14, 13, 1)$. Then

$$\begin{array}{r} Q(0) = (1, 13, 11, 13, 1) \\ Q(1) = (0, 3, 3, 0, 0) \\ \hline h = (1, 16, 14, 13, 1) \end{array}$$

is an admissible symmetric Q-decomposition for h . But this Q-decomposition is not realizable since $(1, 13, 11, 13, 1)$ does not occur as a graded Gorenstein O-sequence by [30, Theorem 3.2]. However, since $(1, 13, 12, 13, 1)$ is a graded Gorenstein O-sequence by [36, Example 4.3], the above argument shows that the following Q-decomposition is realizable for $h = (1, 16, 14, 13, 1)$:

$$\begin{array}{r} Q(0) = (1, 13, 12, 13, 1) \\ Q(1) = (0, 2, 2, 0, 0) \\ Q(2) = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0) \\ \hline h = (1, 16, 14, 13, 1) \end{array}$$

□

In the following theorem we characterize the h -vector of local level algebras of socle degree 4 and embedding dimension 3. We remark that the first part of the following theorem was already known due to [22, Page 91].

Theorem 3.8. *Let $h = (1, 3, h_2, h_3, h_4)$ be an O-sequence.*

- (a) *Let $h_4 = 1$. Then h is a Gorenstein O-sequence if and only if $h_3 \leq 3$ and $h_2 \leq \binom{h_3+1}{2} + (3 - h_3)$.*
- (b) *Let $h_4 \geq 2$. Then h is a level O-sequence if and only if $h_3 \leq 3h_4$.*

Proof. (a): Follows from Corollary 3.4.

(b): The “only if” part follows from (1.1). The converse is constructive and we prove it by induction on h_4 . First we consider the cases $h_4 = 2, 3, 4$ and then $h_4 \geq 5$. In each case we define the polynomials $\underline{f} := f_1, \dots, f_{h_4} \in P = K_{DP}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ of degree 4 such that $A_{\underline{f}}$ has the Hilbert function h . We set $g'_1 = x_3^3, g'_2 = x_2^2x_3, g'_3 = x_1^2x_2, g'_4 = x_1x_3^2$.

For short, in this proof we use the following notation: $m := h_2$ and $n := h_3$.

Case 1: $h_4 = 2$.

In this case $n \leq 6$ as $h_3 \leq 3h_4$ by assumption. Suppose $m = 2$. Then h is an O-sequence implies that $n = 2$. In this case, let $f_1 = x_1^4 + x_3^2$ and $f_2 = x_2^4$. Then $A_{\underline{f}}$ has the Hilbert function $(1, 3, 2, 2, 2)$. Now assume that $m \geq 3$.

Subcase 1: $m \geq n$. We set $g_i = \begin{cases} x_{4-i}g'_i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n - 2 \\ g'_i & \text{if } n - 1 \leq i \leq m - 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } m - 1 \leq i \leq 4. \end{cases}$

(Here $x_0 = x_3$). Define

$$f_1 = x_1^4 + g_1 + g_2 \text{ and } f_2 = x_2^4 + g_3 + g_4.$$

Then

$$h_3 = \dim_K \{x_1^3, x_2^3, g'_1, \dots, g'_{n-2}\} = n \text{ and}$$

$$h_2 = \dim_K \{x_1^2, x_2^2, \frac{g'_i}{x_{4-i}} : 1 \leq i \leq m - 2\} = m$$

and hence $A_{\underline{f}}$ has the required Hilbert function h .

Subcase 2: $m < n$. The only possible ordered tuples (m, n) with $m < n \leq 6$ such that h is an O-sequence are $\{(3, 4), (4, 5), (5, 6)\}$. For each 2-tuple (m, n) we define f_1, f_2 as:

- a. $(m, n) = (3, 4)$: $f_1 = x_1^4 + x_1^2x_2^2 + x_3^2$; $f_2 = x_2^4 + x_1^2x_2^2$.
- b. $(m, n) = (4, 5)$: $f_1 = x_1^4 + x_1^2x_2^2 + x_3^4$; $f_2 = x_2^4 + x_1^2x_2^2$.
- c. $(m, n) = (5, 6)$: $f_1 = x_1^4 + x_1^2x_2^2 + x_3^4$; $f_2 = x_2^4 + x_1^2x_2^2 + x_3^3x_3$.

Case 2: $h_4 = 3$.

In this case $n \leq 9$. We consider the following subcases:

Subcase 1: $n \leq 6$. Let $\underline{f}' = f_1, f_2$ be polynomials defined as in Case 1 such that $A_{\underline{f}'}$ has the Hilbert function $(1, 3, m, n, 2)$. Now define $f_3 = \begin{cases} x_3^4 & \text{if } m \geq n \\ x_1^2x_2^2 & \text{if } m < n. \end{cases}$

Then $A_{\underline{f}}$ has the required Hilbert function h .

Subcase 2: $7 \leq n \leq 9$. Let $\underline{f}' = f_1, f_2$ be polynomials defined as in Case 1 such that $A_{\underline{f}'}$ has the Hilbert function $(1, 3, m, 6, 2)$. We set $p_1 = x_2^2x_3^2, p_2 = x_1^2x_2^2$ and $p_3 = x_1^2x_3^2$. Since h is an O-sequence and $n \geq 7$, we get $m \geq 5$. Now define $f_3 = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{n-6} p_i & \text{if } m = 6 \\ x_2^2x_3^2 & \text{if } m = 5. \end{cases}$

Then $A_{\underline{f}}$ has the required Hilbert function h .

Case 3: $h_4 = 4$.

Since h is an O-sequence, $n \leq 10$. We consider the following subcases:

Subcase 1: $n \leq 9$. Let $\underline{f}' = f_1, f_2, f_3$ be polynomials defined as in Case 2 such that $A_{\underline{f}'}$ has the Hilbert function $(1, 3, m, n, 3)$. Define

$$f_4 = \begin{cases} x_2^3 x_3 & \text{if } \{m \geq n \text{ and } n \leq 6\} \text{ OR } \{n \geq 7 \text{ and } m = 6\} \\ x_1^3 x_2 & \text{if } \{m < n \leq 6\} \text{ OR } \{(m, n) = (5, 7)\}. \end{cases}$$

Then $A_{\underline{f}}$ has the Hilbert function $(1, 3, m, n, 4)$.

Subcase 2: $n = 10$. As h is an O-sequence, we conclude that $m = 6$. Let $\underline{f}' = f_1, f_2, f_3$ be polynomials defined as in Case 2 such that $A_{\underline{f}'}$ has the Hilbert function $(1, 3, 6, 9, 3)$. Define $f_4 = x_1^2 x_2 x_3$. Then $A_{\underline{f}}$ has the Hilbert function $(1, 3, 6, 10, 4)$.

Case 4: $h_4 \geq 5$.

Since h is an O-sequence, $n \leq 10$ and $h_4 \leq 15$.

Subcase 1: $n \geq h_4$ OR $h_4 \geq 11$. Let $\underline{f}' = f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4$ be defined as in Case 3 such that $A_{\underline{f}'}$ has the Hilbert function $(1, 3, m, n, 4)$. For $5 \leq i \leq 15$, define f_i as follows:

$$f_5 = \begin{cases} x_1^3 x_2 & \text{if } \{m \geq n \text{ and } n \leq 6\} \text{ OR } \{n \geq 7 \text{ and } m = 6\} \\ x_1 x_2^3 & \text{if } \{m < n \leq 6\} \text{ OR } \{(m, n) = (5, 7)\}, \end{cases}$$

$$f_6 = \begin{cases} x_1 x_3^3 & \text{if } \{m \geq n \text{ and } n \leq 6\} \text{ OR } \{n \geq 7 \text{ and } m = 6\} \\ x_3^4 & \text{if } \{m < n \leq 6\} \text{ OR } \{(m, n) = (5, 7)\}, \end{cases}$$

$$f_7 = \begin{cases} x_3^4 & \text{if } n \geq 7 \text{ and } m = 6 \\ x_2^3 x_3 & \text{if } \{(m, n) = (5, 7)\}. \end{cases}$$

(Note that in the last case, $h_4 \geq 7$ implies that $n \geq 7$). If $h_4 \geq 8$, then $n \geq 8$ which implies that $m = 6$. We set

$$f_8 = x_1^2 x_2^2, f_9 = x_1^2 x_3^2, f_{10} = x_2 x_3^3, f_{11} = x_1 x_2^3, f_{12} = x_1^3 x_3, f_{13} = x_2^3 x_3, \\ f_{14} = x_1 x_2^2 x_3, f_{15} = x_1 x_2 x_3^2.$$

Now $A_{\underline{f}}$ has the Hilbert function $(1, 3, m, n, h_4)$.

Subcase 2: $n < h_4 \leq 10$. The smallest ordered tuple (n, h_4) such that h is an O-sequence and $n < h_4$ is $(4, 5)$. (Here smallest ordered tuple means smallest with respect to the order \leq defined as: $(n_1, n_2) \leq (m_1, m_2)$ if and only if $n_1 \leq m_1$ and $n_2 \leq m_2$). Let

$$q_1 = \begin{cases} x_3^2 & \text{if } m = 3 \\ x_3^3 & \text{if } m \geq 4, \end{cases} \quad q_2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m < 5 \\ x_2^2 x_3 & \text{if } m \geq 5 \end{cases} \quad \text{and } q_3 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m < 6 \\ x_1 x_3^2 & \text{if } m = 6. \end{cases}$$

We define

$$f_1 = x_1^4 + q_1 + q_2, f_2 = x_2^4 + q_3, f_3 = x_1^3 x_2, f_4 = x_1 x_2^3, f_5 = x_1^2 x_2^2.$$

Then $A_{\underline{f}}$ has the Hilbert function $(1, 3, m, 4, 5)$.

Let $h_4 \geq 6$. We set

$$f_6 = x_3^4, f_7 = x_2^3 x_3, f_8 = x_2 x_3^3, f_9 = \begin{cases} x_2^2 x_3^2 & \text{if } n = 7 \\ x_1 x_3^3 & \text{if } n \geq 8, \end{cases} f_{10} = \begin{cases} x_2^2 x_3^2 & \text{if } n = 8 \\ x_1^3 x_3 & \text{if } n = 9. \end{cases}$$

Then $A_{\mathbf{f}}$ has the Hilbert function $(1, 3, m, n, h_4)$. \square

Using [18, Appendix D] and Theorem 3.8(b) we list in Table 1 all the O-sequences with $h_1 = 3$, $s = 4$ and $h_4 \geq 2$ which are realizable for local level K -algebras, but not for graded level K -algebras.

Table 1
Non-graded level O-sequences with $s = 4$ and $h_1 = 3$.

(1, 3, 2, 2, 2)	(1, 3, 3, 2, 2)	(1, 3, 4, 2, 2)	(1, 3, 5, 2, 2)	(1, 3, 6, 2, 2)	(1, 3, 5, 3, 2)
(1, 3, 6, 3, 2)	(1, 3, 3, 4, 2)	(1, 3, 4, 3, 3)	(1, 3, 5, 3, 3)	(1, 3, 6, 3, 3)	(1, 3, 3, 4, 3)
(1, 3, 6, 4, 3)	(1, 3, 3, 4, 4)	(1, 3, 5, 4, 4)	(1, 3, 6, 4, 4)	(1, 3, 3, 4, 5)	(1, 3, 4, 4, 5)
(1, 3, 5, 4, 5)	(1, 3, 6, 4, 5)	(1, 3, 6, 5, 5)	(1, 3, 5, 5, 6)	(1, 3, 6, 5, 6)	(1, 3, 6, 6, 7)
(1, 3, 6, 7, 9)					

Analogously, by Theorem 3.8(a), the following are all the Gorenstein O-sequences with $h_1 = 3$ and $s = 4$ that are not graded Gorenstein sequences since they are not symmetric. This list agrees with the list [22, 5F.i.b. and 5F.i.c in Page 91]. If an O-sequence h is symmetric with $h_1 = 3$ then h is also a graded Gorenstein O-sequence by Corollary 3.4.

Table 2
Non-graded Gorenstein O-sequences with $s = 4$ and $h_1 = 3$.

(1, 3, 1, 1, 1)	(1, 3, 2, 1, 1)	(1, 3, 3, 1, 1)	(1, 3, 2, 2, 1)	(1, 3, 3, 2, 1)	(1, 3, 4, 2, 1)
-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------

We remark that in Table 2 $(1, 3, 3, 2, 1)$ is the only sequence with two admissible symmetric decompositions. By Discussion 3.7 we know that each Q-decomposition is realizable. Among the Gorenstein sequences appearing in Table 2 it is easy to see that $(1, 3, 1, 1, 1)$, $(1, 3, 2, 1, 1)$, $(1, 3, 2, 2, 1)$ are not admissible for complete intersections. It is not difficult to show that even the sequences $(1, 3, 4, 2, 1)$, $(1, 3, 5, 3, 1)$, $(1, 3, 6, 3, 1)$ are not admissible for complete intersections and the sequence $(1, 3, 4, 3, 1)$ is admissible for a complete intersection (for instance, consider R/I where $I = (x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^3)$). In [26] we show that any O-sequence of the form $(1, 3, 3, h_3 \dots \dots)$ with $h_3 \leq 3$ is admissible for local complete intersection and hence in particular, the sequences $(1, 3, 3, 1, 1)$, $(1, 3, 3, 2, 1)$, $(1, 3, 3, 3, 1)$ are admissible for complete intersections.

The following example shows that Theorem 3.8(b) can not be extended to $h_1 \geq 4$ because the necessary condition $h_3 \leq h_1 h_s$ is no longer sufficient for characterizing level O-sequences of socle degree 4.

Example 3.9. The O-sequence $h = (1, 4, 9, 2, 2)$ is not a level O-sequence.

Proof. Let $A = R/I$ be a local level K -algebra with the Hilbert function h . The lex-ideal $L \in S := K[x_1, \dots, x_4]$ with the Hilbert function h is

$$L = (x_1^2, x_1x_2^2, x_1x_2x_3, x_1x_2x_4, x_1x_3^2, x_1x_3x_4, x_1x_4^2, x_2^3, x_2^2x_3, x_2^2x_4, x_2x_3^2, x_2x_3x_4, x_2x_4^2, x_3^3, x_3^2x_4, x_3x_4^4, x_4^5).$$

A minimal graded S -free resolution of S/L is:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow S(-6)^7 \oplus S(-8)^2 \longrightarrow S(-5)^{26} \oplus S(-7)^6 \longrightarrow S(-4)^{33} \oplus S(-6)^6 \\ \longrightarrow S(-2) \oplus S(-3)^{14} \oplus S(-5)^2 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By [34, Theorem 4.1] the Betti numbers of A can be obtained from the Betti numbers of S/L by a sequence of negative and zero consecutive cancellations. This implies that $\beta_4(A) \geq 3$ and hence A has type at least 3, which leads to a contradiction. \square

4. Canonically graded algebras

It is clear that a necessary condition for a Gorenstein local K -algebra A being canonically graded is that the Hilbert function of A must be symmetric. Hence we investigate whether a Gorenstein K -algebra A with the Hilbert function $(1, h_1, h_2, h_1, 1)$ is necessarily canonically graded. If $h_2 = \binom{h_1+1}{2}$ (equiv. A is compressed), then by [15, Theorem 3.1] A is canonically graded. In this section we prove that if $h = (1, h_1, h_2, h_1, 1)$ is an O -sequence with $h_1 \leq h_2 < \binom{h_1+1}{2}$, then there exists a polynomial F of degree 4 such that A_F has the Hilbert function h and it is *not* canonically graded (Theorem 4.1). We prove that an analogue of this result is no longer true for socle degree 5. In fact, in Theorem 4.3 we construct a non-canonically graded Gorenstein K -algebra of socle degree 5 with unimodal and symmetric Hilbert function whenever $h_1 > 1$ (even in the compressed case).

Theorem 4.1. *Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and let $h = (1, h_1, h_2, h_3, 1)$ be an O -sequence with $h_2 \geq h_3$. Then every local Gorenstein K -algebra with Hilbert function h is necessarily canonically graded if and only if $h_1 = h_3$ and $h_2 = \binom{h_1+1}{2}$.*

Proof. The assertion is clear for $h_1 = 1$. Hence we assume $h_1 > 1$. The “if” part of the theorem follows from [15, Theorem 3.1]. We prove the converse, that is we show that if $h_3 < h_1$ or $h_2 < \binom{h_1+1}{2}$, then there exists a polynomial G of degree 4 such that A_G has the Hilbert function h and it is not canonically graded. If $h_3 < h_1$, then the result is clear by Theorem 3.1(b). Hence we assume that $h_3 = h_1$. For simplicity in the notation we put $h_1 := n$ and $h_2 := m$.

First we prove the assertion for $n \leq 3$. We define

$$F = \begin{cases} x_1^3 x_2 & \text{if } n = m = 2 \\ x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_2^3 x_3 & \text{if } n = 3 \text{ and } m = 3 \\ x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_2^3 x_3 + x_1^3 x_2 & \text{if } n = 3 \text{ and } m = 4 \\ x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_2^3 x_3 + x_1^3 x_2 + x_1 x_2^2 x_3 & \text{if } n = 3 \text{ and } m = 5. \end{cases}$$

Let $G = F + x_n^3$. It is easy to check that A_G has the Hilbert function h . We claim that A_G is not canonically graded. Suppose that A_G is canonically graded. Then $A_F \cong gr_m(A) \cong A_G$. Let $\varphi : A_F \rightarrow A_G$ be a K -algebra automorphism. Since $x_n^2 \circ F = 0$, $x_n^2 \in \text{Ann}_R(F)$. This implies that $\varphi(x_n)^2 \in \text{Ann}_R(G)$ and hence $\varphi(x_n)^2 \circ G = 0$. For $\underline{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, let $|\underline{i}| = i_1 + \dots + i_n$. Suppose

$$\varphi(x_n) = u_1 x_1 + \dots + u_n x_n + \sum_{\substack{\underline{i} \in \mathbb{N}^n, \\ |\underline{i}| \geq 2}} a_{\underline{i}} x^{\underline{i}}$$

where u_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $a_{\underline{i}} \in K$ for all $\underline{i} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\underline{i}| \geq 2$. Comparing the coefficients of the monomials of degree ≤ 2 in $\varphi(x_n)^2 \circ G = 0$, it is easy to verify that $u_1 = \dots = u_n = 0$. This implies that $\varphi(x_n)$ has no linear terms and thus φ is not an automorphism, a contradiction.

Suppose $n > 3$. First we define a homogeneous polynomial $F \in P$ of degree 4 such that A_F has the Hilbert function h and x_n^2 does not divide any monomial in F (in other words, if $x^{\underline{i}}$ is a monomial that occurs in F with nonzero coefficient, then $i_n \leq 1$).

Let T be a monomial basis of P_2 . We split the set $T \setminus \{x_n^2\}$ into a disjoint union of monomials as follows. We set

$$p_i = \begin{cases} x_i^2 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ x_2 x_n & \text{for } i = n \\ x_{i-n} x_{i+1-n} & \text{for } n+1 \leq i < 2n \\ x_1 x_n & \text{for } i = 2n. \end{cases}$$

Let $E = \{p_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, $B = \{p_i : n+1 \leq i \leq 2n\}$, $C = \{x_i x_j : 1 \leq i < j < n \text{ such that } j - i > 1\}$ and $D := \{x_i x_n : 3 \leq i \leq n-2\}$. Then

$$T \setminus \{x_n^2\} = E \cup B \cup C \cup D.$$

Denote by $|\cdot|$ the cardinality, then $|C| = \binom{n+1}{2} - 2n - (n-4) - 1$ and $|D| = n-4$. Hence we write $C = \{p_i : 2n < i \leq \binom{n+1}{2} - (n-4) - 1\}$ and $D = \{p_i : \binom{n+1}{2} - (n-4) - 1 < i \leq \binom{n+1}{2} - 1\}$. We set

$$g_i = \begin{cases} x_i^4 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ x_2^3 x_n & \text{for } i = n \\ x_{i-n}^2 p_i & \text{for } n+1 \leq i < 2n \text{ and } i \neq n+2 \\ x_2^2 x_3^2 & \text{for } i = n+2 \\ x_1 x_2^2 x_n & \text{for } i = 2n \\ p_i^2 & \text{for } 2n < i \leq \binom{n+1}{2} - (n-4) - 1 \\ \frac{x_2}{x_n} p_i^2 & \text{for } \binom{n+1}{2} - (n-4) - 1 < i < \binom{n+1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i.$$

Since $m \geq n$, $\dim_K(\langle F \rangle_R)_i = n$ for $i = 1, 3$. Also, $\dim_K(\langle F \rangle_R)_2 = \dim_K\{p_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\} = m$. Hence A_F has the Hilbert function h .

Let $G = F + x_n^3$. We prove that A_G is not canonically graded. Suppose that A_G is canonically graded. Then, as before, $A_G \cong A_F$. Let $\varphi : A_F \rightarrow A_G$ be a K -algebra automorphism. Since F does not contain a monomial that is multiple of x_n^2 , $x_n^2 \circ F = 0$ and hence $x_n^2 \in \text{Ann}_R(F)$ which implies that $\varphi(x_n)^2 \in \text{Ann}_R(G)$. Let

$$\varphi(x_n) = u_1 x_1 + \dots + u_n x_n + \sum_{\substack{\underline{i} \in \mathbb{N}^n, \\ |\underline{i}| \geq 2}} a_{\underline{i}} x^{\underline{i}}$$

where u_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $a_{\underline{i}} \in K$ for all $\underline{i} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\underline{i}| \geq 2$. We claim that $u_1 = \dots = u_{n-1} = 0$.

Case 1: $m = n$. Comparing the coefficients of $x_1^2, x_2 x_n, x_3^2, \dots, x_{n-1}^2$ in $\varphi(x_n)^2 \circ G = 0$, we get $u_1 = \dots = u_{n-1} = 0$.

Case 2: $m = n + 1$ OR $m = n + 2$. Comparing the coefficients of $x_1 x_2, x_2 x_n, x_3^2, \dots, x_{n-1}^2$ in $\varphi(x_n)^2 \circ G = 0$, to get $u_1 = \dots = u_{n-1} = 0$.

Case 3: $n + 2 < m < 2n$. Comparing the coefficients of $x_1 x_2, x_2 x_n, x_3 x_4, \dots, x_{m-n} x_{m-n+1}, x_{m-n+1}^2, x_{m-n+2}^2 \dots, x_{n-1}^2$ in $\varphi(x_n)^2 \circ G = 0$, we get $u_1 = \dots = u_{n-1} = 0$.

Case 4: $m \geq 2n$. Comparing the coefficients of $x_1 x_2, x_1 x_n, x_3 x_4, \dots, x_{n-1} x_n$ in $\varphi(x_n)^2 \circ G = 0$, we get $u_1 = \dots = u_{n-1} = 0$.

This proves the claim. Now, comparing the coefficients of x_n in $\varphi(x_n)^2 \circ G = 0$, we get $u_n = 0$ (since F does not contain a monomial divisible by x_n^2). This implies that $\varphi(x_n)$ has no linear terms and hence φ is not an automorphism, a contradiction. \square

We expect that the Theorem 4.1 holds true without the assumption $h_2 \geq h_3$. The problem is that, as far as we know, the admissible Gorenstein non-unimodal h -vectors are not classified even if $s = 4$. However, starting from an example by Stanley, we are able to construct a non-canonically graded Gorenstein K -algebra with (non-unimodal) h -vector $(1, 13, 12, 13, 1)$.

Corollary 4.2. *Assume that K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $h = (1, h_1, h_2, h_3, 1)$ where $h_3 = h_1 \leq 13$ be a Gorenstein O-sequence. Then every Gorenstein K -algebra with the Hilbert function h is necessarily canonically graded if and only if $h_2 = \binom{h_1+1}{2}$.*

Proof. If a local Gorenstein K -algebra A has Hilbert function $h = (1, h_1, h_2, h_1, 1)$, then by considering Q-decomposition of $gr_m(A)$ we conclude that $gr_m(A) \cong Q(0)$. This implies that h is also the Hilbert function of a graded Gorenstein K -algebra. By [30, Theorem 3.2] if $h_1 \leq 12$, then the Hilbert function of a graded Gorenstein K -algebra is unimodal. Hence by Theorem 4.1 the result follows.

If $h_1 = 13$ and h is unimodal, then the assertion follows from Theorem 4.1. Now, by [30, Theorem 3.2] the only non-unimodal graded Gorenstein O-sequence with $h_1 = 13$ is $h = (1, 13, 12, 13, 1)$. In this case we write $P = [x_1, \dots, x_{10}, x, y, z]$. Let

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i \mu_i,$$

where $\mu = \{x^3, x^2y, x^2z, xy^2, xyz, xz^2, y^3, y^2z, yz^2, z^3\} = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{10}\}$. Let $G = F + x_1^3 + \dots + x_{10}^3$. Then A_G has the Hilbert function h . We claim that A_G is not canonically graded. Suppose A_G is canonically graded. Then $A_G \cong A_F$. Let $\varphi : A_F \rightarrow A_G$ be a K -algebra automorphism. Since $x_1^2 \in \text{Ann}_R(F)$, $\varphi(x_1)^2 \in \text{Ann}_R(G)$. Let

$$\varphi(x_1) = u_1x_1 + \dots + u_{10}x_{10} + u_{11}x + u_{12}y + u_{13}z + \text{non-linear terms in } x_1, \dots, x_{10}, x, y, z$$

where $u_i \in K$ for $i = 1, \dots, 13$. Comparing the coefficients of $x_1x, x_7y, x_{10}z$ in $\varphi(x_1)^2 \circ G = 0$, we get $u_{11} = u_{12} = u_{13} = 0$. Now, comparing the coefficients of x_1, \dots, x_{10} in $\varphi(x_1)^2 \circ G = 0$, we get $u_1 = \dots = u_{10} = 0$. This implies that $\varphi(x_1)$ has no linear terms and thus φ is not an automorphism, a contradiction. \square

We remark that the “only if” part of Theorem 4.1 holds for any arbitrary field K . An analogue of Theorem 4.1 is no longer true for $s = 5$. Notice that in [15, Example 3.4] the authors gave an example of a non-canonically graded Gorenstein compressed algebra of socle degree 5 and codimension 2. However, by a slight modification of the dual polynomial F in the proof of Theorem 4.1 we can show that for a restricted set of local Gorenstein sequences of socle degree five, there exist non-canonically graded Gorenstein algebras.

Theorem 4.3. *For every $1 < h_1 \leq h_2 \leq \binom{h_1+1}{2}$ there exists a Gorenstein K -algebra with Hilbert function $h = (1, h_1, h_2, h_2, h_1, 1)$ which is not canonically graded.*

Proof. For simplicity in the notation we put $h_1 := n$ and $h_2 := m$. We define

$$F = \begin{cases} x_1^4 x_2 & \text{if } n = m = 2 \\ x_1^3 x_2^2 & \text{if } n = 2 \text{ and } m = 3 \\ x_1^5 + x_2^5 + x_2^4 x_3 & \text{if } n = 3 \text{ and } m = 3 \\ x_1^5 + x_2^5 + x_2^4 x_3 + x_1^4 x_2 & \text{if } n = 3 \text{ and } m = 4 \\ x_1^5 + x_2^5 + x_2^4 x_3 + x_1^4 x_2 + x_1 x_2^3 x_3 & \text{if } n = 3 \text{ and } m = 5 \\ x_1^5 + x_2^5 + x_2^4 x_3 + x_1^4 x_2 + x_1 x_2^3 x_3 + x_1^2 x_2 x_3^2 & \text{if } n = 3 \text{ and } m = 6. \end{cases}$$

Then A_F has the Hilbert function h . Let

$$G = \begin{cases} F + x_n^3 & \text{if } A_F \text{ is not compressed} \\ F + x_n^4 & \text{if } A_F \text{ is compressed.} \end{cases}$$

Then A_G also has the Hilbert function h . By a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 it can be verified that A_G is not canonically graded.

Let $n > 3$ and p_i be as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. We modify g_i as

$$g_i = \begin{cases} x_i^5 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \\ x_2^4 x_n & \text{for } i = n \\ x_{i-n}^3 p_i & \text{for } n + 1 \leq i < 2n \text{ and } i \neq n + 2 \\ x_2^3 x_3^2 & \text{for } i = n + 2 \\ x_1 x_2^3 x_n & \text{for } i = 2n \\ x_j^3 x_k^2 & \text{for } 2n < i \leq \binom{n+1}{2} - (n - 4) - 1 \text{ where } p_i = x_j x_k \text{ with } j < k \\ \frac{x_2^2}{x_n} p_i^2 & \text{for } \binom{n+1}{2} - (n - 4) - 1 < i < \binom{n+1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$F = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^m g_i & \text{if } m < \binom{n+1}{2} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\binom{n+1}{2}-1} g_i + x_1 x_2 x_3 x_n^2 & \text{if } m = \binom{n+1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Then A_F has the Hilbert function h . We define

$$G = \begin{cases} F + x_n^3 & \text{if } m < \binom{n+1}{2} \\ F + x_n^4 & \text{if } m = \binom{n+1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

We claim that A_G is not canonically graded. Suppose that A_G is canonically graded. Then, as before, $A_G \cong A_F$. Let $\varphi : A_F \rightarrow A_G$ be a K -algebra automorphism. Let

$$\varphi(x_n) = u_1 x_1 + \dots + u_n x_n + \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}^n, \\ |\mathbf{i}| \geq 2}} a_{\mathbf{i}} x^{\mathbf{i}}$$

where u_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $a_{\underline{i}} \in K$ for all $\underline{i} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\underline{i}| \geq 2$. First assume that $m < \binom{n+1}{2}$. Then $x_n^2 \circ F = 0$ and hence $\varphi(x_n)^2 \circ G = 0$. We first show that $u_1 = \dots = u_{n-1} = 0$.

Case 1: $m = n$. Comparing the coefficients of $x_1^3, x_2^2x_n, x_3^3, \dots, x_{n-1}^3$ in $\varphi(x_n)^2 \circ G = 0$, we get $u_1 = \dots = u_{n-1} = 0$.

Case 2: $m = n + 1$ OR $m = n + 2$. Comparing the coefficients of $x_1^2x_2, x_2^2x_n, x_3^3, \dots, x_{n-1}^3$ in $\varphi(x_n)^2 \circ G = 0$, to get $u_1 = \dots = u_{n-1} = 0$.

Case 3: $n + 2 < m < 2n$. Comparing the coefficients of $x_1^2x_2, x_2^2x_n, x_3^2x_4, \dots, x_{m-n}^2x_{m-n+1}, x_{m-n+1}^3, x_{m-n+2}^3, \dots, x_{n-1}^3$ in $\varphi(x_n)^2 \circ G = 0$, we get $u_1 = \dots = u_{n-1} = 0$.

Case 4: $2n \leq m < \binom{n+1}{2}$. Comparing the coefficients of $x_1^2x_2, x_1x_2x_n, x_3^2x_4, \dots, x_{n-1}^2x_n$ in $\varphi(x_n)^2 \circ G = 0$, we get $u_1 = \dots = u_{n-1} = 0$.

Thus

$$\varphi(x_n) = u_n x_n + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{i,j} x_i x_j + \sum_{\underline{i} \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\underline{i}| \geq 3} a_{\underline{i}} x^{\underline{i}}.$$

Now to show that $u_n = 0$ we argue as follows:

Case 1: $n \leq m < 2n - 1$. Comparing the coefficients of x_2^2 and x_n in $\varphi(x_n)^2 \circ G = 0$, we get $u_n a_{2,2} = u_n^2 + (a_{2,2})^2 = 0$. Hence $u_n = 0$.

Case 2: $m = 2n - 1$. Comparing the coefficients of x_2^2, x_{n-1}^2 and x_n in $\varphi(x_n)^2 \circ G = 0$, we get $u_n a_{2,2} = u_n a_{n-1, n-1} = u_n^2 + (a_{2,2})^2 + (a_{n-1, n-1})^2 = 0$. Hence $u_n = 0$.

Case 3: $2n \leq m < \binom{n+1}{2} - (n - 4) - 1$. Comparing the coefficients of x_1x_2, x_{n-1}^2 and x_n in $\varphi(x_n)^2 \circ G = 0$, we get $u_n a_{2,2} = u_n a_{n-1, n-1} = u_n^2 + (a_{2,2})^2 + (a_{n-1, n-1})^2 + 2a_{1,2}a_{2,2} = 0$. Hence $u_n = 0$.

Case 4: $\binom{n+1}{2} - (n - 4) \leq m < \binom{n+1}{2}$. Comparing the coefficients of $x_1x_2, x_{n-1}^2, x_2x_j$ ($3 \leq j \leq m - \binom{n+1}{2} + (n - 4) + 3$), we get $u_n a_{2,2} = u_n a_{n-1, n-1} = u_n a_{2,j} = 0$. Suppose $u_n \neq 0$. Then $a_{2,2} = a_{n-1, n-1} = \dots = a_{2,j} = 0$. Now by comparing the coefficient of x_n we conclude that $u_n = 0$.

This implies that $\varphi(x_n)$ has no linear terms and hence φ is not an automorphism, a contradiction.

Suppose $m = \binom{n+1}{2}$ and $n > 4$. Then $x_n^3 \circ F = 0$. Hence $\varphi(x_n)^3 \circ G = 0$. Therefore comparing the coefficients of $x_3x_4, x_4x_5, \dots, x_{n-1}x_n$ in $\varphi(x_n)^3 \circ G = 0$, we get $u_3 = \dots = u_{n-1} = 0$. Now, comparing the coefficient of x_1x_n , we get $u_2 = 0$. Hence comparing the coefficient of x_1x_2 we conclude that $u_1 = 0$ which on comparing the coefficient of x_n gives that $u_n = 0$. Thus A_G is not canonically graded. By a similar argument it can be verified that A_G is not canonically graded also for $n = 4$. \square

References

- [1] L.L. Avramov, I.B. Henriques, L.M. Şega, Quasi-complete intersection homomorphisms, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 9 (4) (2013) 579–612.
- [2] L.L. Avramov, S.B. Iyengar, L.M. Şega, Free resolutions over short local rings, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 78 (2008) 459–476.

- [3] A. Bernardi, J. Jelisiejew, P.M. Marques, K. Ranestad, On polynomials with given Hilbert function and applications, *Collect. Math.* 69 (2018) 39–64.
- [4] V. Bertella, Hilbert function of local Artinian level rings in codimension two, *J. Algebra* 321 (2009) 1429–1442.
- [5] J. Briançon, Description de $\text{Hilb}^n \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$, *Invent. Math.* 41 (1) (1977) 45–89.
- [6] D.A. Buchsbaum, D. Eisenbud, Algebra structures for finite free resolutions, and some structure theorems for ideals of codimension 3, *Amer. J. Math.* 99 (3) (1977) 447–485.
- [7] D.A. Cartwright, D. Erman, M. Velasco, B. Viray, Hilbert schemes of 8 points, *Algebra Number Theory* 3 (2009) 763–795.
- [8] G. Casnati, J. Elias, R. Notari, M.E. Rossi, Poincaré series and deformations of Gorenstein local algebras, *Comm. Algebra* 41 (2013) 1049–1059.
- [9] CoCoATeam, CoCoA: a system for doing computations in commutative algebra, available at <http://cocoa.dima.unige.it>.
- [10] A. Conca, M.E. Rossi, G. Valla, Gröbner flags and Gorenstein algebras, *Compos. Math.* 129 (2001) 95–121.
- [11] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, H. Schönemann, SINGULAR 4-1-0 — a computer algebra system for polynomial computations, available at <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>, 2016.
- [12] A. De Stefani, Artinian level algebras of low socle degree, *Comm. Algebra* 42 (2014) 729–754.
- [13] J. Elias, INVERSE-SYST.LIB—singular library for computing Macaulay’s inverse systems, <http://www.ub.edu/C3A/elias/inverse-syst-v.5.2.lib>, 2015.
- [14] J. Elias, M.E. Rossi, Isomorphism classes of short Gorenstein local rings via Macaulay’s inverse system, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 364 (2012) 4589–4604.
- [15] J. Elias, M.E. Rossi, Analytic isomorphisms of compressed local algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 143 (2015) 973–987.
- [16] J. Elias, G. Valla, Structure theorems for certain Gorenstein ideals, Special volume in honor of Melvin Hochster, *Michigan Math. J.* 57 (2008) 269–292.
- [17] J. Emsalem, Géométrie des points épais, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 106 (4) (1978) 399–416.
- [18] A.V. Geramita, T. Harima, J.C. Migliore, Y.S. Shin, The Hilbert function of a level algebra, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 186 (872) (2007), vi+139.
- [19] T. Harima, A note on Artinian Gorenstein algebras of codimension three, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 135 (1) (1999) 45–56.
- [20] I.B. Henriques, L.M. Şega, Free resolutions over short Gorenstein local rings, *Math. Z.* 267 (2011) 645–663.
- [21] A. Iarrobino, Compressed algebras: Artin algebras having given socle degrees and maximal length, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 285 (1984) 337–378.
- [22] A. Iarrobino, Associated graded algebra of a Gorenstein Artin algebra, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 107 (514) (1994), viii+115.
- [23] A. Iarrobino, Ancestor ideals of vector spaces of forms, and level algebras, *J. Algebra* 272 (2004) 530–580.
- [24] A. Iarrobino, V. Kanev, Power Sums, Gorenstein Algebras, and Determinantal Loci, Appendix C by Iarrobino and Steven L. Kleiman, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1721, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [25] J. Jelisiejew, Classifying local Artinian Gorenstein algebras, *Collect. Math.* 68 (1) (2017) 101–127.
- [26] J. Jelisiejew, S.K. Masuti, M.E. Rossi, On the Hilbert function of Artinian local complete intersections, preprint.
- [27] F.S. Macaulay, On a method of dealing with the intersections of plane curves, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 5 (4) (1904) 385–410.
- [28] F.S. Macaulay, *The Algebraic Theory of Modular Systems*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994, Revised reprint of the 1916 original; With an introduction by Paul Roberts.
- [29] F.S. Macaulay, Some properties of enumeration in the theory of modular systems, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 26 (1927) 531–555.
- [30] J. Migliore, F. Zanello, Stanley’s nonunimodal Gorenstein h-vector is optimal, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 145 (2017) 1–9.
- [31] B. Poonen, Isomorphism types of commutative algebras of finite rank over an algebraically closed field, in: *Computational Arithmetic Geometry*, in: *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 463, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 111–120.
- [32] J.-E. Roos, Good and bad Koszul algebras and their Hochschild homology, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 201 (2005) 295–327.

- [33] M.E. Rossi, L.M. Şega, The Poincaré series of modules over compressed Gorenstein local rings, *Adv. Math.* 259 (2014) 421–447.
- [34] M.E. Rossi, L. Sharifan, Consecutive cancellations in Betti numbers of local rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 138 (2010) 61–73.
- [35] G. Sjödin, The Poincaré Series of Modules over a Local Gorenstein Ring with $\mathfrak{m}^3 = 0$, *Mathematiska Institutionen, Stockholms Universitet*, 1979.
- [36] R. Stanley, Hilbert functions of graded algebras, *Adv. Math.* 28 (1978) 57–83.