Dual graphs of projective schemes

Matteo Varbaro (University of Genova)

August 26th, Haeundae, Busan, KOREA

< **₩** ► < **⇒** ►

∃ >

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective scheme over $K = \overline{K}$.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○

æ

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective scheme over $K = \overline{K}$.

The main motivation for this talk comes from the desire of understanding how global properties of $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ influence the combinatorial configuration of its irreducible components.

伺い イヨト イヨト

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective scheme over $K = \overline{K}$.

The main motivation for this talk comes from the desire of understanding how global properties of $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ influence the combinatorial configuration of its irreducible components.

One way to make precise the concept of "combinatorial configuration of its irreducible components" is by meaning of the dual graph of $X \dots$

・ 母 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

• The vertex set of G(X) is $\{1, \ldots, s\}$.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

2

- The vertex set of G(X) is $\{1, \ldots, s\}$.
- Two vertices $i \neq j$ are connected by an edge if and only if:

 $\dim(X_i \cap X_j) = \dim(X) - 1.$

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

- The vertex set of G(X) is $\{1, \ldots, s\}$.
- Two vertices $i \neq j$ are connected by an edge if and only if:

$$\dim(X_i \cap X_j) = \dim(X) - 1.$$

From now on we will consider only equidimensional schemes.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- The vertex set of G(X) is $\{1, \ldots, s\}$.
- Two vertices $i \neq j$ are connected by an edge if and only if:

$$\dim(X_i \cap X_j) = \dim(X) - 1.$$

From now on we will consider only equidimensional schemes.

NOTE: If X is a projective curve, then $\{i, j\}$ is an edge if and only if $X_i \cap X_j \neq \emptyset$ (the empty set has dimension -1).

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

- The vertex set of G(X) is $\{1, \ldots, s\}$.
- Two vertices $i \neq j$ are connected by an edge if and only if:

$$\dim(X_i \cap X_j) = \dim(X) - 1.$$

From now on we will consider only equidimensional schemes.

NOTE: If X is a projective curve, then $\{i, j\}$ is an edge if and only if $X_i \cap X_j \neq \emptyset$ (the empty set has dimension -1). If dim(X) > 1, by intersecting $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ with a generic hyperplane, we get a projective scheme in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} of dimension one less, and same dual graph!

・ロン ・回 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と

- The vertex set of G(X) is $\{1, \ldots, s\}$.
- Two vertices $i \neq j$ are connected by an edge if and only if:

$$\dim(X_i \cap X_j) = \dim(X) - 1.$$

From now on we will consider only equidimensional schemes.

NOTE: If X is a projective curve, then $\{i, j\}$ is an edge if and only if $X_i \cap X_j \neq \emptyset$ (the empty set has dimension -1). If dim(X) > 1, by intersecting $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ with a generic hyperplane, we get a projective scheme in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} of dimension one less, and same dual graph! Iterating this trick we can often reduce questions to curves.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Given $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ and the unique saturated homogeneous ideal $I_X \subseteq S = K[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ s.t. $X = \operatorname{Proj}(S/I_X)$, let us recall that $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (resp. arithmetically Gorenstein) if S/I_X is Cohen-Macaulay (resp. Gorenstein).

Given $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ and the unique saturated homogeneous ideal $I_X \subseteq S = K[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ s.t. $X = \operatorname{Proj}(S/I_X)$, let us recall that $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (resp. arithmetically Gorenstein) if S/I_X is Cohen-Macaulay (resp. Gorenstein).

A classical result by Hartshorne is that aCM schemes are connected in codimension one:

Hartshorne's connectedness theorem

If $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is aCM, then G(X) is a connected graph.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Given $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ and the unique saturated homogeneous ideal $I_X \subseteq S = K[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ s.t. $X = \operatorname{Proj}(S/I_X)$, let us recall that $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (resp. arithmetically Gorenstein) if S/I_X is Cohen-Macaulay (resp. Gorenstein).

A classical result by Hartshorne is that aCM schemes are connected in codimension one:

Hartshorne's connectedness theorem

If $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is aCM, then G(X) is a connected graph.

On the other hand

・ロン ・回 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と

From graphs to curves

Matteo Varbaro (University of Genova) Dual graphs of projective schemes

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

For any connected graph G, there exists a reduced aCM curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ such that G(C) = G.

▲ □ ► ▲ □ ►

For any connected graph G, there exists a reduced aCM curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ such that G(C) = G. Furthermore, $\operatorname{reg}(C) = \operatorname{reg}(I_C) = 3$ and the irreducible components of C are rational normal curves no 3 of which meet at one point.

(4月) (日)

For any connected graph G, there exists a reduced aCM curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ such that G(C) = G. Furthermore, $\operatorname{reg}(C) = \operatorname{reg}(I_C) = 3$ and the irreducible components of C are rational normal curves no 3 of which meet at one point.

Moreover:

Benedetti-Bolognese-V. 2015

For a connected graph G, the following are equivalent:

For any connected graph G, there exists a reduced aCM curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ such that G(C) = G. Furthermore, $\operatorname{reg}(C) = \operatorname{reg}(I_C) = 3$ and the irreducible components of C are rational normal curves no 3 of which meet at one point.

Moreover:

Benedetti-Bolognese-V. 2015

For a connected graph G, the following are equivalent:

 There is a curve C ⊆ Pⁿ such that no 3 of its irreducible components meet at one point, reg(C) = 2, and G(C) = G.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

For any connected graph G, there exists a reduced aCM curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ such that G(C) = G. Furthermore, $\operatorname{reg}(C) = \operatorname{reg}(I_C) = 3$ and the irreducible components of C are rational normal curves no 3 of which meet at one point.

Moreover:

Benedetti-Bolognese-V. 2015

For a connected graph G, the following are equivalent:

- There is a curve C ⊆ Pⁿ such that no 3 of its irreducible components meet at one point, reg(C) = 2, and G(C) = G.
- G is a tree.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

From graphs to curves

Matteo Varbaro (University of Genova) Dual graphs of projective schemes

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

Notice that not any graph can be obtained as the dual graph of a line arrangement C, that is a union of lines $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} L_i$.

▲圖▶ ▲屋▶ ▲屋▶

- $\{1, \ldots, 6\}$ as vertices;
- $\{\{i, j\} : 1 \le i < j \le 6\} \setminus \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$ as edges

- $\{1, \ldots, 6\}$ as vertices;
- $\{\{i, j\} : 1 \le i < j \le 6\} \setminus \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$ as edges

is not the dual graph of any line arrangement.

•
$$\{1, \ldots, 6\}$$
 as vertices;

•
$$\{\{i, j\} : 1 \le i < j \le 6\} \setminus \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$$
 as edges

is not the dual graph of any line arrangement.

However, by taking 6 generic lines $L_i \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ and blowing up \mathbb{P}^2 along the points $P_{1,2} = L_1 \cap L_2$ and $P_{3,4} = L_3 \cap L_4$,

•
$$\{1, \ldots, 6\}$$
 as vertices;

•
$$\{\{i, j\} : 1 \le i < j \le 6\} \setminus \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$$
 as edges

is not the dual graph of any line arrangement.

However, by taking 6 generic lines $L_i \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ and blowing up \mathbb{P}^2 along the points $P_{1,2} = L_1 \cap L_2$ and $P_{3,4} = L_3 \cap L_4$, the strict transform of $\bigcup_{i=1}^6 L_i$ will have G as dual graph!

The connectedness theorem of Hartshorne says that G(X) is connected whenever $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is aCM.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

æ

The connectedness theorem of Hartshorne says that G(X) is connected whenever $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is aCM. We would like to infer something more than connectedness by assuming that $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is arithmetically Gorenstein (e.g. a complete intersection).

A (1) > A (1) > A

The connectedness theorem of Hartshorne says that G(X) is connected whenever $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is aCM. We would like to infer something more than connectedness by assuming that $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is arithmetically Gorenstein (e.g. a complete intersection). To this purpose we need to quantify the connectedness of a graph.

A (1) > A (1) > A

The connectedness theorem of Hartshorne says that G(X) is connected whenever $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is aCM. We would like to infer something more than connectedness by assuming that $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is arithmetically Gorenstein (e.g. a complete intersection). To this purpose we need to quantify the connectedness of a graph.

A graph is *d*-connected if it has > d vertices, and the deletion of < d vertices, however chosen, leaves it connected.

▲ □ ► ▲ □ ►

The connectedness theorem of Hartshorne says that G(X) is connected whenever $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is aCM. We would like to infer something more than connectedness by assuming that $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is arithmetically Gorenstein (e.g. a complete intersection). To this purpose we need to quantify the connectedness of a graph.

A graph is *d*-connected if it has > d vertices, and the deletion of < d vertices, however chosen, leaves it connected.

Menger theorem (Max-flow-min-cut).

A graph is *d*-connected iff between any 2 vertices one can find *d* vertex-disjoint paths.

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

From schemes to graphs

Matteo Varbaro (University of Genova) Dual graphs of projective schemes

・ロ・ ・ 日・ ・ 日・ ・ 日・

æ

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be an arithmetically Gorenstein projective scheme such that $\operatorname{reg}(X) = \operatorname{reg}(I_X) = r + 1$. If $\operatorname{reg}(\mathfrak{q}) \leq \delta$ for all primary components \mathfrak{q} of I_X , then G(X) is $|(r + \delta - 1)/\delta|$ -connected.

同 ト イヨ ト イヨト

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be an arithmetically Gorenstein projective scheme such that $\operatorname{reg}(X) = \operatorname{reg}(I_X) = r + 1$. If $\operatorname{reg}(\mathfrak{q}) \leq \delta$ for all primary components \mathfrak{q} of I_X , then G(X) is $\lfloor (r + \delta - 1)/\delta \rfloor$ -connected.

When δ can be chosen to be 1, i.e. when X is a (reduced) union of linear spaces (a subspace arrangement), we recover the following:

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be an arithmetically Gorenstein projective scheme such that $\operatorname{reg}(X) = \operatorname{reg}(I_X) = r + 1$. If $\operatorname{reg}(\mathfrak{q}) \leq \delta$ for all primary components \mathfrak{q} of I_X , then G(X) is $\lfloor (r + \delta - 1)/\delta \rfloor$ -connected.

When δ can be chosen to be 1, i.e. when X is a (reduced) union of linear spaces (a subspace arrangement), we recover the following:

Benedetti-V. 2014

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be an arithmetically Gorenstein subspace arrangement such that $\operatorname{reg}(X) = \operatorname{reg}(I_X) = r + 1$. Then G(X) is *r*-connected.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

As we know, on a smooth cubic $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ there are exactly 27 lines, which can be read from the fact that X is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 along 6 generic points.
The important things to know are that:

The important things to know are that:

1 G(C) is 10-connected.

The important things to know are that:

- G(C) is 10-connected.
- 2 The diameter of G(C) is 2.

The important things to know are that:

- G(C) is 10-connected.
- 2 The diameter of G(C) is 2.
- There is a partition V_1, \ldots, V_9 of the nodes of G(C) such that the induced subgraph of G(C) on each V_i is a triangle.

(4月) (1日) (日)

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

$$C \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{9} H_i\right) \bigcap X$$
 and $(f,g) \subseteq I_C$,

where f is the cubic polynomial defining X and $g = \prod_{i=1}^{9} \ell_i$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

$$C \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{9} H_i\right) \bigcap X$$
 and $(f,g) \subseteq I_C$,

where f is the cubic polynomial defining X and $g = \prod_{i=1}^{9} \ell_i$. But the degree of $K[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]/(f, g)$ is $3 \cdot 9 = 27$, like the degree of C.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

$$C \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{9} H_i\right) \bigcap X$$
 and $(f,g) \subseteq I_C$,

where f is the cubic polynomial defining X and $g = \prod_{i=1}^{9} \ell_i$.

But the degree of $K[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]/(f, g)$ is $3 \cdot 9 = 27$, like the degree of C. So $I_C = (f, g)$ is a complete intersection.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

$$C \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{9} H_i\right) \bigcap X$$
 and $(f,g) \subseteq I_C$,

where f is the cubic polynomial defining X and $g = \prod_{i=1}^{9} \ell_i$.

But the degree of $K[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]/(f, g)$ is $3 \cdot 9 = 27$, like the degree of C. So $I_C = (f, g)$ is a complete intersection.

In particular $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ is an an arithmetically Gorenstein subspace arrangement of regularity $\deg(f) + \deg(g) - 1 = 3 + 9 - 1 = \mathbf{11}$.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

$$C \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{9} H_i\right) \bigcap X$$
 and $(f,g) \subseteq I_C$,

where f is the cubic polynomial defining X and $g = \prod_{i=1}^{9} \ell_i$.

But the degree of $K[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]/(f, g)$ is $3 \cdot 9 = 27$, like the degree of C. So $I_C = (f, g)$ is a complete intersection.

In particular $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ is an an arithmetically Gorenstein subspace arrangement of regularity $\deg(f) + \deg(g) - 1 = 3 + 9 - 1 = \mathbf{11}$. Thus our result confirms that G(C) is **10**-connected.

Line arrangements in \mathbb{P}^3

As I pointed out, the diameter of the previous graph G(C) is 2.

- 4 回 2 - 4 回 2 - 4 回 2 - 4

æ

Line arrangements in \mathbb{P}^3

As I pointed out, the diameter of the previous graph G(C) is 2. In fact, I do not know any example of aCM line arrangements $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $\operatorname{diam}(G(C)) > 2$.

(本間) (本語) (本語)

Line arrangements in \mathbb{P}^3

As I pointed out, the diameter of the previous graph G(C) is 2. In fact, I do not know any example of aCM line arrangements $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $\operatorname{diam}(G(C)) > 2$.

If $I \subseteq S = K[x_0, ..., x_n]$ is a height 2 monomial ideal, it is easy to show that, if S/I is Cohen-Macaulay, then $\operatorname{diam}(G(X)) \leq 2$.

・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

As I pointed out, the diameter of the previous graph G(C) is 2. In fact, I do not know any example of aCM line arrangements $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $\operatorname{diam}(G(C)) > 2$.

If $I \subseteq S = K[x_0, ..., x_n]$ is a height 2 monomial ideal, it is easy to show that, if S/I is Cohen-Macaulay, then $\operatorname{diam}(G(X)) \leq 2$. So if $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ is obtained by taking n - 3 hyperplane sections of such an X we have $\operatorname{diam}(G(C)) \leq 2$.

・ 回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

As I pointed out, the diameter of the previous graph G(C) is 2. In fact, I do not know any example of aCM line arrangements $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $\operatorname{diam}(G(C)) > 2$.

If $I \subseteq S = K[x_0, ..., x_n]$ is a height 2 monomial ideal, it is easy to show that, if S/I is Cohen-Macaulay, then $\operatorname{diam}(G(X)) \leq 2$. So if $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ is obtained by taking n - 3 hyperplane sections of such an X we have $\operatorname{diam}(G(C)) \leq 2$.

We know many aCM line arrangements in \mathbb{P}^3 not arising like this (e.g. the previous 27 lines), but still their dual graph has diameter ≤ 2 (many experiments by Michela Di Marca).

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

As I pointed out, the diameter of the previous graph G(C) is 2. In fact, I do not know any example of aCM line arrangements $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $\operatorname{diam}(G(C)) > 2$.

If $I \subseteq S = K[x_0, ..., x_n]$ is a height 2 monomial ideal, it is easy to show that, if S/I is Cohen-Macaulay, then $\operatorname{diam}(G(X)) \leq 2$. So if $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ is obtained by taking n - 3 hyperplane sections of such an X we have $\operatorname{diam}(G(C)) \leq 2$.

We know many aCM line arrangements in \mathbb{P}^3 not arising like this (e.g. the previous 27 lines), but still their dual graph has diameter ≤ 2 (many experiments by Michela Di Marca).

Question

Is $\operatorname{diam}(G(C)) \leq 2$ for any aCM line arrangement $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$?

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Hirsch embeddings

We say that a projective scheme $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is **Hirsch** if

 $\operatorname{diam}(G(X)) \leq \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^n} X.$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

3

Hirsch embeddings

We say that a projective scheme $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is **Hirsch** if

 $\operatorname{diam}(G(X)) \leq \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^n} X.$

The previous question, thus, can be rephrased as:

Question

Is any aCM line arrangement $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ Hirsch?

(本間) (本語) (本語)

Hirsch embeddings

We say that a projective scheme $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is **Hirsch** if

 $\operatorname{diam}(G(X)) \leq \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^n} X.$

The previous question, thus, can be rephrased as:



Be careful:

- There exist nonreduced complete intersections $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $C_{\text{red}} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ is a line arrangement and $\operatorname{diam}(G(C))$ is arbitrarily large.
- For large *n*, there are arithmetically Gorenstein line arrangements that are not Hirsch (Santos).

→ 同 → → 目 → → 目 →

Many projective embeddings, however, are Hirsch:

Adiprasito-Benedetti 2014

If $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is aCM and I_X is a monomial ideal generated by quadrics, then $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is Hirsch.

Many projective embeddings, however, are Hirsch:

Adiprasito-Benedetti 2014

If $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is aCM and I_X is a monomial ideal generated by quadrics, then $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is Hirsch.

Benedetti-V. 2014

If X is an arrangement of lines, no 3 of which meet in the same point, canonically embedded in \mathbb{P}^n , then $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is Hirsch.

(D) (A) (A) (A) (A)

Many projective embeddings, however, are Hirsch:

Adiprasito-Benedetti 2014

If $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is aCM and I_X is a monomial ideal generated by quadrics, then $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is Hirsch.

Benedetti-V. 2014

If X is an arrangement of lines, no 3 of which meet in the same point, canonically embedded in \mathbb{P}^n , then $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is Hirsch.

Conjecture: Benedetti-V. 2014

If $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is a (reduced) aCM scheme and I_X is generated by quadrics, then $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is Hirsch.

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Matteo Varbaro (University of Genova) Dual graphs of projective schemes

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

æ

By taking generic hyperplane sections, we can reduce ourselves to consider dim X = 1.

A (1) > (1) > (1)

< ∃ >

æ

By taking generic hyperplane sections, we can reduce ourselves to consider dim X = 1.

Caviglia 2007

If $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} q_i$ is a primary decomposition of a homogeneous ideal $I \subseteq S = K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ and Proj(S/I) has dimension 1, then:

$$\operatorname{reg}(I) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{reg}(\mathfrak{q}_i).$$

< **₩** ► < **⇒** ►

By taking generic hyperplane sections, we can reduce ourselves to consider dim X = 1.

Caviglia 2007

If $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{q}_i$ is a primary decomposition of a homogeneous ideal $I \subseteq S = K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ and $\operatorname{Proj}(S/I)$ has dimension 1, then:

$$\operatorname{reg}(I) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{reg}(\mathfrak{q}_i).$$

Let $I_X = \bigcap_{i=1}^s q_i$ be the primary decomposition of I_X , choose $A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, s\}$ of cardinality less than $\lfloor (r + \delta - 1)/\delta \rfloor$ and let $B = \{1, \ldots, s\} \setminus A$.

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

By taking generic hyperplane sections, we can reduce ourselves to consider dim X = 1.

Caviglia 2007

If $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} q_i$ is a primary decomposition of a homogeneous ideal $I \subseteq S = K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ and Proj(S/I) has dimension 1, then:

$$\operatorname{reg}(I) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{reg}(\mathfrak{q}_i).$$

Let $I_X = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{q}_i$ be the primary decomposition of I_X , choose $A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, s\}$ of cardinality less than $\lfloor (r + \delta - 1)/\delta \rfloor$ and let $B = \{1, \ldots, s\} \setminus A$. Let $I_A = \bigcap_{i \in A} \mathfrak{q}_i$, $I_B = \bigcap_{i \in B} \mathfrak{q}_i$ and

$$X_A = \operatorname{Proj}(S/I_A)$$
 $X_B = \operatorname{Proj}(S/I_B).$

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

$$H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_B) \cong H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_A)^{\vee}(2-r).$$

$$H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_B) \cong H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_A)^{\vee}(2-r).$$

3 By Caviglia's result, $\operatorname{reg}(I_A) \leq |A| \delta \leq r - 1$.

向下 イヨト イヨト

3

$$H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_B) \cong H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_A)^{\vee}(2-r).$$

- 3 By Caviglia's result, $\operatorname{reg}(I_A) \leq |A| \delta \leq r 1$.
- So $\operatorname{reg}(S/I_A) \leq r 2$, which implies that $H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_A)_{r-2} = 0$.

・吊り ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

$$H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_B) \cong H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_A)^{\vee}(2-r).$$

- 3 By Caviglia's result, $\operatorname{reg}(I_A) \leq |A| \delta \leq r 1$.
- So $\operatorname{reg}(S/I_A) \leq r 2$, which implies that $H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_A)_{r-2} = 0$.
- So $H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_B)_0 = H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_A)_{r-2} = 0$, that is $H^0(X_B, \mathcal{O}_{X_B}) \cong \mathbb{K}$, which implies that X_B is a connected curve.

・吊り ・ヨン ・ヨン ・ヨ

$$H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_B) \cong H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_A)^{\vee}(2-r).$$

- 3 By Caviglia's result, $\operatorname{reg}(I_A) \leq |A| \delta \leq r 1$.
- So $\operatorname{reg}(S/I_A) \leq r 2$, which implies that $H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_A)_{r-2} = 0$.
- So $H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_B)_0 = H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_A)_{r-2} = 0$, that is $H^0(X_B, \mathcal{O}_{X_B}) \cong \mathbb{K}$, which implies that X_B is a connected curve.
- But then the dual graph of X_B, which is the same as the dual graph of X with the vertices of A removed, is connected.

- 本部 ト イヨ ト - - ヨ

An 'Eisenbud-Goto style' question

Matteo Varbaro (University of Genova) Dual graphs of projective schemes

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

Eisenbud-Goto conjecture (1984)

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a nondegenerate reduced projective scheme with connected dual graph. Then

 $\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq \operatorname{deg}(X) - \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^n} X + 1.$

・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Eisenbud-Goto conjecture (1984)

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a nondegenerate reduced projective scheme with connected dual graph. Then

 $\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq \operatorname{deg}(X) - \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^n} X + 1.$

The conjecture is known to be true in its full generality in dimension 1 by Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine and Giaimo; in dimension 2, it is true for smooth surfaces by Lazarsfeld; for smooth threefolds and fourfolds, it is 'almost' true by Kwak.

<回と < 回と < 回と
Eisenbud-Goto conjecture (1984)

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a nondegenerate reduced projective scheme with connected dual graph. Then

 $\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq \operatorname{deg}(X) - \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^n} X + 1.$

The conjecture is known to be true in its full generality in dimension 1 by Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine and Giaimo; in dimension 2, it is true for smooth surfaces by Lazarsfeld; for smooth threefolds and fourfolds, it is 'almost' true by Kwak. By the subadditivity result of Caviglia, the EG for curves yields:

Theorem

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be an equidimensional reduced projective curve. Then

$$\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq \operatorname{deg}(X)$$

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

æ

Eisenbud-Goto conjecture (1984)

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a nondegenerate reduced projective scheme with connected dual graph. Then

 $\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq \operatorname{deg}(X) - \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^n} X + 1.$

The conjecture is known to be true in its full generality in dimension 1 by Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine and Giaimo; in dimension 2, it is true for smooth surfaces by Lazarsfeld; for smooth threefolds and fourfolds, it is 'almost' true by Kwak. By the subadditivity result of Caviglia, the EG for curves yields:

Theorem

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be an equidimensional reduced projective curve. Then

$$\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq \operatorname{deg}(X)$$

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

æ

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be an equidimensional reduced projective scheme. Is it true that:

 $\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq \operatorname{deg}(X)$?

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be an equidimensional reduced projective scheme. Is it true that:

 $\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq \operatorname{deg}(X)$?

If dim X = 2, the subadditivity result of Caviglia is not true.

(本間) (本語) (本語) (語)

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be an equidimensional reduced projective scheme. Is it true that:

 $\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq \operatorname{deg}(X)$?

If dim X = 2, the subadditivity result of Caviglia is not true. However, it is still true that, if X_1 and X_2 are projective schemes intersecting in dimension 0, then reg $X_1 \cap X_2 \leq \text{reg } X_1 + \text{reg } X_2$.

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be an equidimensional reduced projective scheme. Is it true that:

 $\operatorname{reg}(X) \leq \operatorname{deg}(X)$?

If dim X = 2, the subadditivity result of Caviglia is not true. However, it is still true that, if X_1 and X_2 are projective schemes intersecting in dimension 0, then reg $X_1 \cap X_2 \leq \text{reg } X_1 + \text{reg } X_2$.

This implies that the question above would admit a positive answer in dimension 2 if the EG conjecture was true in dimension 2 in its full generality (not only for irreducible surfaces).

- K. Adiprasito, B. Benedetti, The Hirsch conjecture holds for normal flag complexes. Math. of Oper. Res. 39, 2014.
- B. Benedetti, M. Varbaro, *On the dual graph of a Cohen-Macaulay algebra*. To appear in IMRN, 2014.
- B. Benedetti, B. Bolognese, M. Varbaro, *Regulating Hartshorne's connectedness theorem*. Available at arXiv:1506.06277, 2015.
- G. Caviglia, Bounds on the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of tensor products, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135, 2007.
- D. Eisenbud, S. Goto, *Linear free resolutions and minimal multiplicity*. J. Alg. 88, 1984.
- D. Giaimo, On the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of connected curves, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358, 2006.
- L. Gruson, C. Peskine, R. Lazarsfeld, *On a Theorem of Castelnuovo, and the Equations Defining Space Curves.* Invent. Math. 72, 1983.
- R. Hartshorne, Complete intersections and connectedness. Amer. J. Math. 84, 1962.
- S. Kwak, Castelnuovo regularity for smooth subvarieties of dimension 3 and 4. J. Alg. Geom. 7, 1998.
- R. Lazarsfeld, A sharp Castelnuovo bound for smooth surfaces. Duke Math. J. 55, 1987.
- F. Santos, A counterexample to the Hirsch conjecture. Ann. Math. 176, 2012.