

AN INTRODUCTION TO \mathcal{D} -MODULES
WITH APPLICATIONS TO LOCAL COHOMOLOGY

Motivations

Craig Huneke, in a *Research Notes in Mathematics* of 1992, raised the following question:

R commutative noetherian ring, $I \subseteq R$ an ideal. If M is a finitely generated (f.g.) R -module, is $\text{Ass}(H_i^I(M))$ a finite set for all i ?

Motivations

The point of the question above is that $H_i^i(M)$ may be not finitely generated. In some cases however the answer is clearly positive:

- (i) M f.g. $\Rightarrow H_i^0(M)$ f.g.; in particular, $\text{Ass}(H_i^0(M))$ is finite.
- (ii) If $I = \mathfrak{m}$ is maximal, then $\text{Ass}(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ for all i .

Motivations

A bit of history of the problem...

- (i) **Huneke-Sharp**, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1993: If R is regular and $\text{char}(R) > 0$, then for any ideal $| \text{Ass}(H_i^j(R)) | < \infty$.
- (ii) **Lyubeznik**, *Invent. Math.* 1993: If R is a regular *local* ring containing \mathbb{Q} , then for any ideal $| \text{Ass}(H_i^j(R)) | < \infty$.
- (iii) **Lyubeznik**, *Comm. Algebra* 2000: If R is an unramified regular *local* ring of mixed characteristic, then for any ideal $| \text{Ass}(H_i^j(R)) | < \infty$.
- (iv) **Bhatt-Blickle-Lyubeznik-Singh-Zhang**, 2012: If $R = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then for any ideal $| \text{Ass}(H_i^j(R)) | < \infty$.

Motivations

- (iv) **Brodmann-Lashgari**, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 2000: If g is $\text{grade}(I, M)$, then $\text{Ass}(H_I^1(M))$ and $\text{Ass}(H_I^g(M))$ are finite.
- (v) **Singh**, *Math. Res. Lett.* 2000: If $R = \frac{\mathbb{Z}[u, v, w, x, y, z]}{(ux + vy + wz)}$ and $I = (x, y, z) \subseteq R$, then $H_I^3(R)$ has p -torsion elements for infinitely many primes. Consequently $\text{Ass}(H_I^3(R))$ is infinite.
- (vi) **Katzman**, *J. Algebra* 2002: For any field K , if R is the ring $\frac{K[s, t, u, v, x, y]}{(su^2x^2 + (s+t)uvxy + tv^2y^2)}$, then $|\text{Ass}(H_{(x,y)}^2(R))| = \infty$.
- (vii) **Takagi-Takahashi**, *Math. Res. Lett.* 2007: If R has positive characteristic, is Gorenstein and has F -finite representation type, then for any ideal $|\text{Ass}(H_I^i(R))| < \infty$.

Motivations

In the proof of Huneke-Sharp (R regular of positive characteristic), it is crucial that the iterated Frobenius maps $F^e : R \rightarrow R$, sending r to r^{p^e} , are **flat** and that $\{F^e(I)R\}_{e \in \mathbb{N}}$ is **cofinal** with $\{I^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

A family of ring homomorphisms with these two properties does not exist for $R = \mathbb{Q}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. In these lectures we will explore the machinery used by Lyubeznik to prove his first theorem:

If R is a regular local ring containing \mathbb{Q} and $I \subseteq R$ is an ideal, then $\text{Ass}(H_i^j(R))$ is finite for every i .

To this aim, we need to introduce the theory of \mathcal{D} -modules.

In these seminars I'll follow some lectures given by Anurag Singh at the Local Cohomology Workshop held in Mumbai (India) in 2011.

Some almost commutative algebra

Let A be a (possibly noncommutative) ring. A left A -module M is *noetherian* if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- (i) All submodules are finitely generated.
- (ii) ACC holds on submodules.
- (iii) ACC holds on f.g. submodules.

EXAMPLE: The free K -algebra $A = K\langle x, y \rangle$ on x and y is not noetherian: In fact the left ideal $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} Axy^i$ is not f.g..

Some almost commutative algebra

Let K be a field in the center of A .

A *filtration* on A is a family $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i \geq -1}$ such that:

- (i) \mathcal{F}_i are finite-dimensional K -vector spaces;
- (ii) $\mathcal{F}_{-1} = \{0\}$ and $1 \in \mathcal{F}_0$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{F}_{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq A$ and $\bigcup_{i \geq -1} \mathcal{F}_i = A$.
- (iv) $\mathcal{F}_i \cdot \mathcal{F}_j \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{i+j}$.

From now on we will fix the filtration \mathcal{F} and assume that $\text{gr}^{\mathcal{F}} A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_i / \mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ is a commutative noetherian ring.

Such rings are called *almost commutative*.

Some almost commutative algebra

Let M be a left A -module.

A *filtration* on M is a family $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{G}_i\}_{i \geq m}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that:

- (i) \mathcal{G}_i are finite \mathcal{F}_0 -modules;
- (ii) $\mathcal{G}_m = \{0\}$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{G}_m \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{m+1} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{m+2} \subseteq \dots \subseteq M$ and $\bigcup_{i \geq m} \mathcal{G}_i = M$.
- (iv) $\mathcal{F}_i \cdot \mathcal{G}_j \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{i+j}$.

We will denote by $\text{gr}^{\mathcal{G}} M$ the $\text{gr}^{\mathcal{F}} A$ -module $\bigoplus_{i \geq m+1} \mathcal{G}_i / \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$. \mathcal{G} is called a *good filtration* if $\text{gr}^{\mathcal{G}} M$ is finitely generated over $\text{gr}^{\mathcal{F}} A$.

REMARK: If M is finitely generated as a left A -module by m_1, \dots, m_k , then $\mathcal{G}_s = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{F}_s m_i$ is a good filtration on M .

Some almost commutative algebra

LEMMA: Let M be a left A -module, and \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} filtrations on it.

(i) \mathcal{G} is good if and only if there is a $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that:

$$\mathcal{F}_i \cdot \mathcal{G}_j = \mathcal{G}_{i+j} \quad \forall i \geq 0, j \geq j_0.$$

(ii) If \mathcal{G} is good, then M is finitely generated over A .

(iii) If \mathcal{G} is good, then there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that:

$$\mathcal{G}_t \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{t+r} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If also \mathcal{H} is good, then there exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that:

$$\mathcal{H}_{t-s} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_t \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{t+s} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Some almost commutative algebra

Proof. (i). Put $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_j = \bigoplus_{i \leq j} \mathcal{F}_i / \mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_j = \bigoplus_{i \leq j} \mathcal{G}_i / \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$. If \mathcal{G} is good, then there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_i \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_j = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{i+j} \quad \forall i \geq 0, j \geq j_0$$

This means that, for every $i \geq 0, j \geq j_0$, $\mathcal{F}_i \cdot \mathcal{G}_j + \mathcal{G}_{i+j-1} = \mathcal{G}_{i+j}$. By inducting on i we can assume $\mathcal{G}_{i+j-1} = \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \cdot \mathcal{G}_j$ ($1 \in \mathcal{F}_0$). This yields $\mathcal{F}_i \cdot \mathcal{G}_j = \mathcal{G}_{i+j}$ since $\mathcal{F}_{i-1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_i$. The converse is trivial.

(ii) follows immediately from (i).

(iii) Let $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be as in (i). Since \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} are filtrations, there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathcal{G}_{j_0} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_r$. So

$$\mathcal{G}_t \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{t+j_0} = \mathcal{F}_t \cdot \mathcal{G}_{j_0} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t \cdot \mathcal{H}_r \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{t+r}. \quad \square$$

Some almost commutative algebra

Assume that $\mathcal{F}_0 = K$ and $\text{gr}^{\mathcal{F}} A$ is generated in degree 1 over K . If \mathcal{G} is a good filtration on a left A -module M , then $\dim_K \mathcal{G}_i / \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$ is a polynomial in i for $i \gg 0$. This implies that $\dim_K \mathcal{G}_i$ is also a polynomial in i for $i \gg 0$. Let us call such a polynomial $P_{\mathcal{G}}$.

The polynomial $P_{\mathcal{G}}$ is not an invariant of M , depending on the good filtration \mathcal{G} . However, given two good filtrations \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} on M , the degrees and the leading coefficients of $P_{\mathcal{G}}$ and of $P_{\mathcal{H}}$ are the same! This follows immediately from point (iii) of the lemma.

DEFINITION: Let M be a finitely generated left A -module. By considering a good filtration \mathcal{G} on it, we define:

- ▶ $\dim(M) = \text{degree of } P_{\mathcal{G}}$.
- ▶ $e(M) = \dim(M)! \cdot (\text{leading coefficient of } P_{\mathcal{G}})$.

Some almost commutative algebra

LEMMA: Let M be a left A -module with a good filtration \mathcal{G} . Given a short exact sequence of left A -modules

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0,$$

set $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G} \cap M'$ and $\mathcal{G}'' = \text{Im}(\mathcal{G})$. Then

$$0 \rightarrow \text{gr}^{\mathcal{G}'} M' \rightarrow \text{gr}^{\mathcal{G}} M \rightarrow \text{gr}^{\mathcal{G}''} M'' \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of $\text{gr}^{\mathcal{F}} A$ -modules, \mathcal{G}' and \mathcal{G}'' are good filtrations and:

- ▶ $\dim(M) = \max\{\dim(M'), \dim(M'')\}$.
- ▶ If $\dim(M') = \dim(M'')$, then $e(M) = e(M') + e(M'')$.

In particular, if M is a f.g. left A -module, then it is noetherian.

The Weyl algebra

Let K be a field of characteristic 0 and \mathcal{D} be the *Weil algebra*, namely the free K -algebra $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle$ mod out by

$$\begin{cases} [x_i, x_j] = x_i x_j - x_j x_i = 0 \\ [\partial_i, \partial_j] = \partial_i \partial_j - \partial_j \partial_i = 0 \\ [\partial_i, x_j] = \partial_i x_j - x_i \partial_j = \delta_{ij} \end{cases}$$

Given two vectors $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $\underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ in \mathbb{N}^n , we write $\underline{x}^{\underline{\alpha}} \underline{\partial}^{\underline{\beta}}$ for $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \partial_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial_n^{\beta_n}$. It is easy to see that:

$$\{\underline{x}^{\underline{\alpha}} \underline{\partial}^{\underline{\beta}} : \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta} \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$$

is a K -basis of \mathcal{D} , known as the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt basis.

The Weyl algebra

The Bernstein filtration \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{D} is defined as $\mathcal{F}_{-1} = 0$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_s = \langle \underline{x}^\alpha \underline{\partial}^\beta : |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq s \rangle \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since the only nonzero bracket decreases the “degrees”, $\text{gr}^{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{D}$ is **commutative**. More precisely:

$$\text{gr}^{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{D} = K[x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n].$$

In particular, $\dim(\mathcal{D}) = 2n$ and $e(\mathcal{D}) = 1$.

The Weyl algebra

An astonishing property

THEOREM (Bernstein) Let $M \neq 0$ be a finitely generated left \mathcal{D} -module. Then $n \leq \dim(M) \leq 2n$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} be a good filtration on M . We want to show that

$$\mathcal{F}_s \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{G}_s, \mathcal{G}_{2s})$$

mapping f to $m \mapsto fm$ is injective for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$. If $s = 0$ it is OK.

Suppose t is the least positive integer such that exists $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{F}_t$ with $f \cdot \mathcal{G}_t = 0$. For sure there exists i such that either x_i or ∂_i occurs in f . If ∂_i occurs in f , then $[x_i, f]$ is a nonzero element of \mathcal{F}_{t-1} . Then there is $m \in \mathcal{G}_{t-1} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_t$ such that $[x_i, f] \cdot m \neq 0$. However $[x_i, f] \cdot m = x_i fm - fx_i m \in x_i(f \cdot \mathcal{G}_t) - f \cdot \mathcal{G}_t = 0$. If x_i occurs in f ... So the maps above are injective. In particular:

$$\text{pol. of degree } 2n \sim \dim_K(\mathcal{F}_s) \leq (\dim_K(\mathcal{G}_s)) \cdot (\dim_K(\mathcal{G}_{2s})) \sim \text{pol. of degree } 2 \dim(M).$$



Holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules

A finitely generated left \mathcal{D} -module M is called *holonomic* if either $M = 0$ or $\dim(M) = n$.

EXAMPLES: (i) $S = K[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ is a left \mathcal{D} -module by putting:

$$x_i \cdot f = z_i f, \quad \partial_i \cdot f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i} \quad \forall f \in S.$$

Obviously $\mathcal{G}_s = \langle f \in S : \deg(f) \leq s \rangle$ defines a good filtration on S . So S is holonomic.

(ii) Let $\mathfrak{m} = (z_1, \dots, z_m) \subseteq S$. $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(S)$ is a left \mathcal{D} -module by:

$$\partial_i^k \cdot \frac{1}{z_1 \cdots z_n} = \frac{(-1)^k k!}{z_1 \cdots z_{i-1} z_i^{k+1} z_{i+1} \cdots z_n}.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{G}_s = \left\langle \frac{1}{z_1 \cdots z_n \cdot u} : u \text{ monomial of } S, \deg(u) \leq s \right\rangle$ defines a good filtration on $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(S)$. In particular $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(S)$ is holonomic.

Holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules

Let $S = K[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables over K . Clearly there is a K -algebra homomorphism (indeed an inclusion):

$$\begin{aligned} S &\xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{D} \\ z_i &\mapsto x_i \end{aligned}$$

From this, we can (and will) view any left \mathcal{D} -module M as an S -module via restriction by ι . In particular, we are allowed to define the set of associated primes over S of any \mathcal{D} -module M :

$$\text{Ass}_S(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(S) : \mathfrak{p} = 0 :_S m \text{ for some } 0 \neq m \in M\}$$

The first goal of today is to show the following:

THEOREM: If M is holonomic, then $|\text{Ass}_S(M)| \leq e(M) < \infty$.

Let $f \in S$ and keep on denoting f its image $\iota(f) \in \mathcal{D}$. By using the definition of the multiplication in the Weyl algebra:

$$f \cdot \partial_i = \partial_i \cdot f - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Doing an induction on s , we get

$$f^s \cdot \partial_i = \partial_i \cdot f^s - s f^{s-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}.$$

This shows the following:

REMARK: Let M be a left \mathcal{D} -module and I an ideal of S . By considering M as an S -module, we can form the S -module

$$H_I^0(M) = \{m \in M : I^s m = 0 \text{ for some } s \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then $H_I^0(M)$ is a \mathcal{D} -submodule of M . \square

Holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules

Given a short exact sequence of left \mathcal{D} -modules

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

we have that:

- (i) M is holonomic if and only if M' and M'' are holonomic.
- (ii) If (i) holds, then $e(M) = e(M') + e(M'')$.

PROPOSITION: If M is holonomic, then $\text{length}_{\mathcal{D}}(M) \leq e(M)$.

Proof. Take a chain of \mathcal{D} -modules:

$$0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq M_\ell = M.$$

From the exact sequences $0 \rightarrow M_{i-1} \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow M_i/M_{i-1} \rightarrow 0$, we infer that M_i and M_i/M_{i-1} are nonzero holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules for all $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ and that

$$0 < e(M_1) < e(M_2) < \dots < e(M_\ell) = e(M).$$

□

Holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules

We are now ready to show the following for left \mathcal{D} -modules M :

THEOREM: If M is holonomic, then $|\text{Ass}_S(M)| \leq e(M) < \infty$.

Proof. We want to induct on $\ell = \text{length}_{\mathcal{D}}(M) (\leq e(M))$. If $\ell = 1$, take $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_S(M)$. Then $H_{\mathfrak{p}}^0(M)$ is a nonzero \mathcal{D} -submodule of M . Because $\ell = 1$, then we have $H_{\mathfrak{p}}^0(M) \cong M$. If $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}_S(M) = \text{Ass}_S(H_{\mathfrak{p}}^0(M))$ one has $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$, so by symmetry we deduce $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}$.

If $\ell > 1$, take a (nonzero) simple \mathcal{D} -submodule $N \subseteq M$. Of course $\text{length}_S(M/N) < \ell$, and by the short exact sequence of \mathcal{D} -modules

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$$

we get that N and M/N are holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules. However that above is also an exact sequence of S -modules, therefore we have:

$$\text{Ass}_S(M) \subseteq \text{Ass}_S(N) \cup \text{Ass}_S(M/N)$$

□

Local cohomology modules

Let $I = (f_1, \dots, f_k)$ be an ideal of $S = K[z_1, \dots, z_n]$, and M an S -module. The i th local cohomology module $H_i^j(M)$ is the $(k - i)$ th homology of the Čech complex:

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \bigoplus_i M_{f_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i < j} M_{f_i f_j} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M_{f_1 \dots f_k} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the maps are the natural ones multiplied by a suitable sign. If each module of the above complex were a holonomic \mathcal{D} -module, then also the cohomology would be holonomic, since holonomicity is closed under short exact sequences. So, in this case, $H_i^j(M)$ would have a finite number of associated primes.

Our goal now is to show that S_f is a holonomic \mathcal{D} -module $\forall f \in S$.

Holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules

Recall that, if \mathcal{G} is a good filtration on a left \mathcal{D} -module, then for any other filtration \mathcal{H} on M , there is $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that, for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{G}_t \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{t+r}$. In particular, $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists t_0$ such that:

$$(*) \quad \dim_K(\mathcal{H}_t) \geq \frac{e(M) - \epsilon}{\dim(M)!} t^{\dim(M)} \quad \forall t \geq t_0$$

LEMMA: Let M be a left \mathcal{D} -module with a filtration \mathcal{G} . If there is $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $\dim_K(\mathcal{G}_t) \leq ct^n$ for $t \gg 0$, then M is holonomic. In particular, it is finitely generated!

Proof. Let M_0 be a finitely generated \mathcal{D} -submodule of M and set $\mathcal{G}'_t = \mathcal{G}_t \cap M_0 \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$, which defines a filtration on M_0 . Then $\dim(M_0) \leq n$ and $e(M_0) \leq n!c$ by $(*)$. Therefore M has ACC for finitely generated submodules. So it is finitely generated, and holonomic again by $(*)$. \square

Holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules

THEOREM: Let M be a holonomic \mathcal{D} -module and $f \in S$. Then M_f is holonomic.

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} be a good filtration on M , and δ the maximum degree of a monomial in the support of f . Define $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_t\}_t$ on M_f as:

$$\mathcal{H}_t = \left\langle \frac{m}{f^t} : m \in \mathcal{G}_{t(\delta+1)} \right\rangle.$$

That $\cup_t \mathcal{H}_t = M_f$ and $\mathcal{H}_t \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{t+1}$ is easy. If $m/f^t \in \mathcal{H}_t$, then obviously $x_i \cdot m/f^t \in \mathcal{H}_{t+1}$. Furthermore:

$$\partial_i \cdot \frac{m}{f^t} = \frac{f^t \cdot (\partial_i \cdot m) - t f^{t-1} \partial f / \partial z_i \cdot m}{f^{2t}} = \frac{f \cdot (\partial_i \cdot m) - t \partial f / \partial z_i \cdot m}{f^{t+1}} \in \mathcal{H}_{t+1}.$$

So \mathcal{H} is a filtration of M_f such that:

$$\dim_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{H}_t) \leq \dim_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{G}_{t(1+\delta)}) \sim \frac{e(M)(1+\delta)^n}{n!} t^n$$

By the previous lemma M_f is holonomic. \square

Finiteness properties of $H_j^i(S)$

We saw last week that S has a structure of holonomic \mathcal{D} -module. So by the above theorem S_f is holonomic for all $f \in S$. If I is an ideal of S generated by f_1, \dots, f_k then, by meaning of the Čech complex, the local cohomology module $H_j^i(S)$ is a subquotient of:

$$\bigoplus_{1 \leq \ell_1 < \dots < \ell_i \leq k} S_{f_{\ell_1} \dots f_{\ell_i}}.$$

In particular $H_j^i(S)$ is a holonomic \mathcal{D} -module. As a consequence:

THEOREM: For any ideal $I \subseteq S$ the set $\text{Ass}_S(H_j^i(S))$ is finite. More generally, $\text{Ass}_S(H_j^i(M))$ is finite \forall holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules M .

Finiteness properties of $H_i^n(S)$

THEOREM: Let $\mathfrak{m} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \subseteq S = K[z_1, \dots, z_n]$.

- (i) Then $H_m^n(S) \cong \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{m}$ as left \mathcal{D} -modules. In particular, $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{m}$ is isomorphic to the injective hull $E_S(K)$ of $K = S/\mathfrak{m}$.
- (ii) If M is an \mathfrak{m} -torsion \mathcal{D} -module, then $M \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{m}$ as left \mathcal{D} -modules. In particular M is an injective S -module.

Proof. (i) The map of \mathcal{D} -modules $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow H_m^n(S)$ sending 1 to $[1/z_1 \cdots z_n]$ is surjective, and one can check that its kernel is $\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{m}$.

(ii) Consider $\text{soc}(M) \subseteq M$ and a K -basis $\{m_\lambda\}_\Lambda$ of $\text{soc}(M)$. This gives rise to the following diagram of S -modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{m} & \xrightarrow{f} & M \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{soc}(M) \end{array}$$

The inclusion on the left is essential, so f is injective. Therefore $M \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{m} \oplus C$. Since $\text{soc}(C) = 0$, we infer that $C = 0$. \square

Finiteness properties of $H_i^j(S)$

The above result implies that, if $H_i^j(S)$ is \mathfrak{m} -torsion, then $\exists s \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$H_i^j(S) \cong E_S(K)^s.$$

There are several interesting situations in which $H_i^j(S)$ is \mathfrak{m} -torsion, for example if $i > \text{ht}(I)$ and I defines a smooth projective scheme. So s is an interesting number. Quite surprisingly, it is an invariant of S/I , we will soon discuss this aspect in more generality. First, let me say that, with not much more effort, we could prove:

THEOREM: $\text{injdim}(H_i^j(S)) \leq \dim(\text{Supp}(H_i^j(S))) (\leq i)$.

Finiteness properties of $H_j^i(S)$

Recall that the *Bass numbers* of an S -module M are defined as:

$$\mu_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})}(\mathrm{Ext}_{S_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(\kappa(\mathfrak{p}), M_{\mathfrak{p}})),$$

where \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of S . Another way to think at them is the following: Every S -module M admits a minimal injective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow E^2 \dots$$

Then $E^i \cong \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(S)} E_S(S/\mathfrak{p})^{\mu_i(\mathfrak{p}, M)}$.

THEOREM: A holonomic \mathcal{D} -module has finite Bass numbers. In particular, $\mu_i(\mathfrak{p}, H_j^i(S))$ is a finite number for all triples \mathfrak{p}, i, j .

The Lyubeznik numbers of a local ring containing a field

All the results stated for S hold true for any regular local ring R containing K . The point is that $\widehat{R} \cong K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. The algebra of differentials $D(K[[\underline{x}]], K)$ of \widehat{R} is left-Noetherian and well described, so one can play a similar game to the previous one replacing \mathcal{D} by $D(K[[\underline{x}]], K)$. Finally one can descend everything to R , essentially because $K[[\underline{x}]]$ is a faithfully flat R -algebra. Besides all the previous beautiful results, Lyubeznik supplied us new invariants to play with:

DEFINITION-THEOREM: Let A be a local ring containing K . By Cohen-structure theorem we have a surjection $K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \xrightarrow{\pi} \widehat{A}$. Denoting by $I = \text{Ker}(\pi)$ and \mathfrak{m} the maximal ideal of $K[[\underline{x}]]$, the finite numbers $\mu_p(\mathfrak{m}, H_i^{n-i}(K[[\underline{x}]])$ depend only on A , p and i . These invariants of A are usually denoted by $\lambda_{p,i}(A)$ and called the **Lyubeznik's numbers of A** .

Open problems

- (i) The conjecture of Lyubeznik is still unsolved: $Ass_R(H_i^j(R))$ are finite sets for any regular ring R .
- (ii) A question of Lyubeznik: If A is a standard graded K -algebra with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , are $\lambda_{p,i}(A_{\mathfrak{m}})$ invariants of $\text{Proj}(A)$? (Zhang, *Adv. Math.* 2011: Yes in positive characteristic).
- (iii) One can show that $\lambda_{p,i} = 0$ if $i > d = \dim(A)$, $p > i$, or $p \geq i - 1$ and $i < \text{depth}(A)$. Is $\lambda_{i-2,i}(A) = 0$ for all $i < \text{depth}(A)$?
- (iv) Compute the entire table $\lambda_{p,i}(A_{\mathfrak{m}})$ for determinantal rings A .