## A SPECIAL FEATURE OF QUADRATIC MONOMIAL IDEALS

## MATTEO VARBARO

The aim of the talk has been to show a property peculiar of quadratic monomial ideals, namely the Main Lemma below, proved in collaboration with Giulio Caviglia and Alexandru Constantinescu in [CCV]. The original motivation for looking up such property was to use it in the study of a conjecture by Gil Kalai, for which indeed it has been extremely helpful. During the talk, I mentioned how this lemma could be exploited in other situations. First of all let us recall it:

**Main Lemma.** Let k be an infinite field, and  $I \subseteq k[x_1, \ldots, x_n] =: S$  an ideal of height c. If I is monomial and generated in degree 2, then there exist linear forms  $\ell_{i,j}$  for  $i \in \{1,2\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$  such that  $\ell_{1,1}\ell_{2,1}, \ldots, \ell_{1,c}\ell_{2,c}$  is an S-regular sequence contained in I.

In general, if R is a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay k-algebra, where k is an infinite field, and  $J \subseteq R$  is a height c homogeneous ideal generated in a single degree d, then we can always find an R-regular sequence  $g_1, \ldots, g_c$  of degree d elements inside J. So, with the notation of the Main Lemma, since the polynomial ring S is Cohen-Macaulay, we already know that there is an S-regular sequence  $f_1, \ldots, f_c$  consisting of quadratic polynomials inside I. The point of the result is that we can choose each  $f_i$  being a product of two linear forms.

Before sketching the (elementary) proof of the Main Lemma, let us see that the analog property fails for nonquadratic monomial ideals.

**Example.** Let J be the height 2 monomial ideal  $(x^2y, y^2z, xz^2) \subseteq k[x, y, z]$ . It is straightforward to check that the only products of linear forms  $\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3$  in J are (scalar multiples of) the monomials  $x^2y, y^2z$  and  $z^2x$ . Clearly, no combination of 2 such monomials form a k[x, y, z]-regular sequence.

Proof of the Main Lemma. The proof goes like follows: Take a height c minimal prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of I

$$\mathfrak{p} = (x_1, \ldots, x_c)$$

(this of course can be done after relabeling the variables). We decompose the k-vector space generated by the quadratic monomials of I as:

$$I_2 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^c x_i V_i,$$

where  $V_i = \langle x_j : x_i x_j \in I \text{ and } j \geq i \rangle$ . We aim to find  $\ell_i \in V_i$  such that

$$\dim_k(\langle x_i : i \in A \rangle + \langle \ell_i : i \in [c] \setminus A \rangle) = c \quad \forall A \subseteq [c] = \{1, \dots, c\}.$$

Indeed, one can easily check that the condition above characterizes the fact that  $x_1\ell_1, \ldots, x_c\ell_c$  is an S-regular sequence. The trick to find such linear forms  $\ell_i$  is to construct a family of bipartite graphs  $G_A$ , for all  $A \subseteq [c]$ , in the following way:

(i)  $V(G_A) = [c] \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_n\};$ (ii)  $E(G_A) = \{\{i, x_i\} : i \in [c] \setminus A\} \cup \{\{i, x_j\} : i \in A \text{ and } x_j \in V_i\}.$ 

Claim: There is a matching of  $G_A$  containing all the vertices of [c]. To show this, we will appeal to the Marriage Theorem, by showing that, for a subset  $B \subseteq [c]$ , the set N(B) of vertices adjacent to some vertex in B has cardinality not smaller than that of B. To this purpose, note

that:

$$|N(B)| = \dim_k \left( \sum_{i \in A \cap B} V_i + \sum_{i \in ([c] \setminus A) \cap B} \langle x_i \rangle \right) = \dim_k \left( \sum_{i \in A \cap B} V_i + \sum_{i \in [c] \setminus (A \cap B)} \langle x_i \rangle \right) - \dim_k \left( \sum_{i \in [c] \setminus B} \langle x_i \rangle \right) \ge |B|,$$

where the inequality follows from the fact that the ideal  $\sum_{i \in A \cap B} (V_i) + (x_i : i \in [c] \setminus (A \cap B))$ , containing I, must have height at least c. Therefore, we get by the Marriage Theorem the existence of

$$j(1, A), \ j(2, A), \ldots, \ j(c, A)$$

such that  $\{1, x_{j(1,A)}\}, \ldots, \{c, x_{j(c,A)}\}$  is a matching of  $G_A$ , which implies that

$$\dim_k \langle x_{j(1,A)}, \dots, x_{j(c,A)} \rangle = c.$$

Now it is enough to put

$$\ell_i = \sum_{A \subseteq [c]} \lambda(A) x_{j(i,A)}$$

where  $\lambda(A)$  are general elements of k.  $\Box$ 

As already mentioned, we were motivated in proving the Main Lemma to study the following conjecture of Kalai:

**Conjecture.** (Kalai): The f-vector of a Cohen-Macaulay flag simplicial complex is the f-vector of a Cohen-Macaulay balanced simplicial complex.

It is convenient to recall here that the f-vector  $(f_{-1}, \ldots, f_{d-1})$  of a (d-1)-dimensional simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is defined as:

$$f_j = |\{j \text{-dimensional faces of } \Delta\}|$$

As a consequence of the Main Lemma, we get the following:

**Theorem.** The h-vector of a Cohen-Macaulay flag simplicial complex is the h-vector of a Cohen-Macaulay balanced simplicial complex.

To show how the theorem above follows form the Main Lemma, we remind that, if  $(h_0, \ldots, h_d)$  and  $(f_{-1}, \ldots, f_{d-1})$  are, respectively, the *h*- and *f*-vector of a given (d-1)-dimensional simplicial complex, then the following equations hold true:

$$h_j = \sum_{i=0}^{j} (-1)^{j-i} {d-i \choose j-i} f_{i-1}$$
 and  $f_{j-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{j} {d-i \choose j-i} h_i$ 

From these formulas one sees that the theorem above is very close to answering positively the conjecture of Kalai: The only defect is that h- and f-vector determine each other only if the dimension of the simplicial complex is known, and the h-vector does not provide such an information (in fact it may happen that  $h_d = 0$ ).

For the convenience of the reader, let us recall the definitions of the objects occurring in the conjecture of Kalai:

- (i) A simplicial complex Δ is said to be Cohen-Macaulay (over k) if its Stanley-Reisner ring k[Δ] is Cohen-Macaulay;
- (ii) A simplicial complex is said to be flag if all its minimal nonfaces have cardinality 2;
- (iii) A (d-1)-dimensional simplicial complex is said to be balanced if its 1-skeleton is a d-colorable graph.

Why were we able to prove the "*h*-version" of the conjecture of Kalai and not the original one? The point is that the entries of the *h*-vector of a simplicial complex  $\Delta$  are the coefficients of the *h*-polynomial of  $k[\Delta]$ . So, by combining the Main Lemma with the main result obtained by Abedelfatah in [Ab1], we get the following: **Theorem. A1**. The h-vector of a (d-1)-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay flag simplicial complex on n + d vertices equals to the Hilbert function of S/J where J is an ideal of S containing  $(x_1^2, \ldots, x_n^2)$ .

Notice that the ideal J of Theorem A1 can be chosen monomial (just passing to the initial ideal). In this case, the Hilbert function of S/J is just the f-vector of the simplicial complex  $\Gamma$  on n vertices whose faces are  $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$  where  $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r} \notin J$ . Therefore Theorem A1 can be re-stated as:

**Theorem. A2**. The h-vector of a (d-1)-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay flag simplicial complex  $\Delta$  on n + d vertices is the f-vector of some simplicial complex  $\Gamma$  on n vertices.

On the other hand, Theorem A2 is in turn equivalent to:

**Theorem. A3**. The h-vector of a (d-1)-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay flag simplicial complex  $\Delta$  on n+d vertices is the h-vector of an (n-1)-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay balanced simplicial complex  $\Omega$  on 2n vertices.

*Proof.* By Theorem A2 we know that there is a simplicial complex  $\Gamma$  on n vertices with f-vector equal to the h-vector of  $\Delta$ . Set:

$$J = I_{\Gamma} + (x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2) \subseteq S$$

and consider the polarization J' of J in  $S[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ . Then  $J' = I_{\Omega}$  where, since the polarization preserves the minimal graded free resolution, the *h*-vector of  $\Omega$  is the Hilbert function of S/J(which is the *f*-vector of  $\Gamma$ ) and  $\Omega$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

Now, notice that  $\Omega$  is (n-1)-dimensional, and the coloring  $\operatorname{col}(x_i) = \operatorname{col}(y_i) = i$  provides an *n*-coloring of the 1-skeleton of  $\Omega$ , so that  $\Omega$  is balanced.

**Remark.** To show that Theorem A3  $\implies$  Theorem A1, one has to use that the Stanley-Reisner ring of a pure (d-1)-dimensional balanced simplicial complex  $\Omega$  has a special system of parameters, namely:

$$\ell_i = \sum_{\operatorname{col}(x_j)=i} x_j \quad \forall \ i = 1, \dots, d.$$

If  $\Omega$  is on *m* vertices, then  $k[\Omega]/(\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_d) \cong k[x_1, \ldots, x_{m-d}]/J$ , where *J* is an ideal containing the squares of the variables. Furthermore, if  $\Omega$  is Cohen-Macaulay, then  $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_d$  is a  $k[\Omega]$ -regular sequence, so the *h*-polynomial of  $k[\Omega]/(\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_d)$  is the same as the *h*-polynomial of  $k[\Omega]$ .

**Remark.** Theorem A1 can be seen as the solution of a particular case of a general conjecture of Eisenbud-Green-Harris, namely the *quadratic monomial case*. For the precise statement of the conjecture see [EGH1, EGH2]. During the conference in Cortona, Abedelfatah posted on the arxiv a solution of the EGH conjecture in the *monomial case* (any degree), see [Ab2]. His proof is not an obvious extension of ours, essentially because the Example given in the first page.

At the end of the talk, I discussed another aspect in which the Main Lemma might be helpful: The dual graph  $G(\Delta)$  of a pure (d-1)-dimensional simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is defined as:

(i) 
$$V(G(\Delta)) = \{ \text{facets of } \Delta \};$$

(ii)  $E(G(\Delta)) = \{\{F, G\} : |F \cap G| = d - 1\}.$ 

Recently, Adiprasito and Benedetti proved in [AB] that, if  $\Delta$  is a (d-1)-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay flag simplicial complex on n vertices, then

$$\operatorname{diam}(G(\Delta)) \le n - d.$$

The Main Lemma might be helpful to get further understanding of  $G(\Delta)$  for  $\Delta$  flag as follows: Recall that

$$I_{\Delta} = \bigcap_{F \text{ facet of } \Delta} (x_i : i \notin F),$$

so the graph  $G(\Delta)$  may be thought also as the graph of minimal primes of  $I_{\Delta}$ ; i.e. the graph whose vertices are the minimal primes of  $I_{\Delta}$ , and two minimal primes are connected by an edge if and only if the height of their sum is one more than the height of  $I_{\Delta}$ . The Main Lemma says that there exist linear forms  $\ell_{i,j}$  such that  $\ell_{1,1}\ell_{2,1}, \ldots, \ell_{1,n-d}\ell_{2,n-d}$  is an S-regular sequence contained in  $I_{\Delta}$ . This implies that the graph  $G(\Delta)$  is an induced subgraph of the graph of minimal primes of the ideal

$$(\ell_{1,1}\ell_{2,1},\ldots,\ell_{1,n-d}\ell_{2,n-d})$$

Such a graph is quite simple to describe: It is obtained by contracting some edges (which ones depends on the geometry of the matroid given by  $\ell_{1,1}, \ell_{2,1}, \ldots, \ell_{1,n-d}, \ell_{2,n-d}$ ) of the graph  $\mathbb{G}$  defined as:

- $V(\mathbb{G}) = 2^{\{1,\dots,n-d\}};$
- $\{A, B\} \in E(\mathbb{G})$  if and only if  $|A \cup B| |A \cap B| = 1$ .

This should give strong restrictions on the structure of  $G(\Delta)$  for  $\Delta$  flag.

## References

[Ab1] A. Abedelfatah, On the Eisenbud-Green-Harris conjecture, arXiv:1212.2653.

[Ab2] A. Abedelfatah, Hilbert functions of monomial ideals containing a regular sequence, arXiv:1309.2776.

[AB] K. Adiprasito, B. Benedetti, The Hirsch conjecture holds for normal flag complexes, arXiv:1303.3598.

[CCV] G. Caviglia, A. Constantinescu, M. Varbaro, On a conjecture by Kalai, arXiv:1212.3726, to appear in Israel J. Math.

[CV] A. Constantinescu, M. Varbaro, On the h-vectors of Cohen-Macaulay Flag Complexes, Math. Scand. 112, 86-111, 2013.

[EGH1] D. Eisenbud, M. Green and J. Harris, *Higher Castelnuovo Theory*, Asterisque 218, 187-202, 1993.

[EGH2] D. Eisenbud, M. Green and J. Harris, Cayley-Bacharach theorems and conjectures, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 33, no. 3, 295-324, 1996.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI GENOVA, VIA DODECANESO 35, 16146, ITALY *E-mail address*: varbaro@dima.unige.it