# COMBINATORIAL SECANT VARIETIES PART II

Bernd Sturmfels and Seth Sullivant

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  a  $n \times m$  matrix of indeterminates over a field K, and  $I \subseteq K[X]$  the ideal generated by the 2-minors of X.

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  a  $n \times m$  matrix of indeterminates over a field K, and  $I \subseteq K[X]$  the ideal generated by the 2-minors of X.

Let  $\prec$  be a term order which favours the diagonals of each minor, for instance the lexicographic order induced by  $X_{11} \succ X_{12} \succ \ldots \succ X_{1m} \succ X_{21} \succ \ldots \succ X_{2m} \succ \ldots \succ X_{n1} \succ \ldots \succ X_{nm}$ .

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  a  $n \times m$  matrix of indeterminates over a field K, and  $I \subseteq K[X]$  the ideal generated by the 2-minors of X.

Let  $\prec$  be a term order which favours the diagonals of each minor, for instance the lexicographic order induced by  $X_{11} \succ X_{12} \succ \ldots \succ X_{1m} \succ X_{21} \succ \ldots \succ X_{2m} \succ \ldots \succ X_{n1} \succ \ldots \succ X_{nm}$ .

Therefore  $LT_{\prec}(X_{ij}X_{hk} - X_{ik}X_{hj}) = X_{ij}X_{hk}$  if i < h and j < k.

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  a  $n \times m$  matrix of indeterminates over a field K, and  $I \subseteq K[X]$  the ideal generated by the 2-minors of X.

Let  $\prec$  be a term order which favours the diagonals of each minor, for instance the lexicographic order induced by  $X_{11} \succ X_{12} \succ \ldots \succ X_{1m} \succ X_{21} \succ \ldots \succ X_{2m} \succ \ldots \succ X_{n1} \succ \ldots \succ X_{nm}$ .

Therefore  $LT_{\prec}(X_{ij}X_{hk} - X_{ik}X_{hj}) = X_{ij}X_{hk}$  if i < h and j < k.

Using the Buchberger criterion, it is quite easy to see that the 2-minors of X form a Gröbner basis with respect to  $\prec$ .

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  a  $n \times m$  matrix of indeterminates over a field K, and  $I \subseteq K[X]$  the ideal generated by the 2-minors of X.

Let  $\prec$  be a term order which favours the diagonals of each minor, for instance the lexicographic order induced by  $X_{11} \succ X_{12} \succ \ldots \succ X_{1m} \succ X_{21} \succ \ldots \succ X_{2m} \succ \ldots \succ X_{n1} \succ \ldots \succ X_{nm}$ .

Therefore  $LT_{\prec}(X_{ij}X_{hk} - X_{ik}X_{hj}) = X_{ij}X_{hk}$  if i < h and j < k.

Using the Buchberger criterion, it is quite easy to see that the 2-minors of X form a Gröbner basis with respect to  $\prec$ .

In particular,  $LT_{\prec}(I) = (X_{ij}X_{hk} : i < h, j < k)$ .

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  a  $n \times m$  matrix of indeterminates over a field K, and  $I \subseteq K[X]$  the ideal generated by the 2-minors of X.

Let  $\prec$  be a term order which favours the diagonals of each minor, for instance the lexicographic order induced by  $X_{11} \succ X_{12} \succ \ldots \succ X_{1m} \succ X_{21} \succ \ldots \succ X_{2m} \succ \ldots \succ X_{n1} \succ \ldots \succ X_{nm}$ .

Therefore  $LT_{\prec}(X_{ij}X_{hk} - X_{ik}X_{hj}) = X_{ij}X_{hk}$  if i < h and j < k.

Using the Buchberger criterion, it is quite easy to see that the 2-minors of X form a Gröbner basis with respect to  $\prec$ .

In particular, 
$$LT_{\prec}(I) = (X_{ij}X_{hk} : i < h, j < k)$$
.

We will see that the above facts are true for *t*-minors, too.

K will be an algebraically closed field.

K will be an algebraically closed field.

 $\mathbf{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n, \, \mathbf{y} := y_1, \dots, y_n, \, \mathbf{z} := z_1, \dots, z_n$  are indeterminates

K will be an algebraically closed field.

 $\mathbf{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n, \, \mathbf{y} := y_1, \dots, y_n, \, \mathbf{z} := z_1, \dots, z_n$  are indeterminates

If *I* is an ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}]$ , by  $I(\mathbf{y})$  (resp.  $I(\mathbf{z})$ ) we denote the ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$  generated by the image of *I* under the homomorphism  $x_i \mapsto y_i$  (resp.  $x_i \mapsto z_i$ )

K will be an algebraically closed field.

 $\mathbf{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n, \, \mathbf{y} := y_1, \dots, y_n, \, \mathbf{z} := z_1, \dots, z_n$  are indeterminates

If *I* is an ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}]$ , by  $I(\mathbf{y})$  (resp.  $I(\mathbf{z})$ ) we denote the ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$  generated by the image of *I* under the homomorphism  $x_i \mapsto y_i$  (resp.  $x_i \mapsto z_i$ )

Given two ideals  $I, J \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ , their join is

K will be an algebraically closed field.

 $\mathbf{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n, \, \mathbf{y} := y_1, \dots, y_n, \, \mathbf{z} := z_1, \dots, z_n$  are indeterminates

If *I* is an ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}]$ , by  $I(\mathbf{y})$  (resp.  $I(\mathbf{z})$ ) we denote the ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$  generated by the image of *I* under the homomorphism  $x_i \mapsto y_i$  (resp.  $x_i \mapsto z_i$ )

Given two ideals  $I, J \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ , their join is

 $I * J := (I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z}) + (y_i + z_i - x_i : i = 1, \dots, n)) \cap K[\mathbf{x}]$ 

K will be an algebraically closed field.

 $\mathbf{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n, \, \mathbf{y} := y_1, \dots, y_n, \, \mathbf{z} := z_1, \dots, z_n$  are indeterminates

If *I* is an ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}]$ , by  $I(\mathbf{y})$  (resp.  $I(\mathbf{z})$ ) we denote the ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$  generated by the image of *I* under the homomorphism  $x_i \mapsto y_i$  (resp.  $x_i \mapsto z_i$ )

Given two ideals  $I, J \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ , their join is

 $I * J := (I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z}) + (y_i + z_i - x_i : i = 1, \dots, n)) \cap K[\mathbf{x}]$ 

Recall that the join is associative, commutative and distributive with respect to the intersection.

K will be an algebraically closed field.

 $\mathbf{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n, \, \mathbf{y} := y_1, \dots, y_n, \, \mathbf{z} := z_1, \dots, z_n$  are indeterminates

If *I* is an ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}]$ , by  $I(\mathbf{y})$  (resp.  $I(\mathbf{z})$ ) we denote the ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$  generated by the image of *I* under the homomorphism  $x_i \mapsto y_i$  (resp.  $x_i \mapsto z_i$ )

Given two ideals  $I, J \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ , their join is

 $I * J := (I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z}) + (y_i + z_i - x_i : i = 1, \dots, n)) \cap K[\mathbf{x}]$ 

Recall that the join is associative, commutative and distributive with respect to the intersection. In particular, it makes sense write  $l_1 * l_2 * \cdots * l_r$  for r ideals of  $K[\mathbf{x}]$ .

K will be an algebraically closed field.

 $\mathbf{x} := x_1, \dots, x_n, \, \mathbf{y} := y_1, \dots, y_n, \, \mathbf{z} := z_1, \dots, z_n$  are indeterminates

If *I* is an ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}]$ , by  $I(\mathbf{y})$  (resp.  $I(\mathbf{z})$ ) we denote the ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$  generated by the image of *I* under the homomorphism  $x_i \mapsto y_i$  (resp.  $x_i \mapsto z_i$ )

Given two ideals  $I, J \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ , their join is

 $I * J := (I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z}) + (y_i + z_i - x_i : i = 1, \dots, n)) \cap K[\mathbf{x}]$ 

Recall that the join is associative, commutative and distributive with respect to the intersection. In particular, it makes sense write  $l_1 * l_2 * \cdots * l_r$  for r ideals of  $K[\mathbf{x}]$ .

Notice:  $f \in I * J \Leftrightarrow f(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}) \in I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z})$ 

If *I* is an ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}]$  we denote the join  $\underbrace{I * I * \cdots * I}_{r}$  by  $I^{\{r\}}$ :

This is called the rth secant of I.

If *I* is an ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}]$  we denote the join  $\underbrace{I * I * \cdots * I}_{r}$  by  $I^{\{r\}}$ : This is called the *r*th secant of *I*.

In the graded case,  $\mathcal{V}(I^{\{r\}})$  is the *r*th secant variety of  $\mathcal{V}(I)$ :

If *I* is an ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}]$  we denote the join  $\underbrace{I * I * \cdots * I}_{r}$  by  $I^{\{r\}}$ : This is called the *r*th secant of *I*.

In the graded case,  $\mathcal{V}(I^{\{r\}})$  is the *r*th secant variety of  $\mathcal{V}(I)$ : i.e. the Zariski closure of the set of points of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ lying in a linear space spanned by r-1 points of  $\mathcal{V}(I)$ .

If *I* is an ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}]$  we denote the join  $\underbrace{I * I * \cdots * I}_{r}$  by  $I^{\{r\}}$ : This is called the *r*th secant of *I*.

In the graded case,  $\mathcal{V}(I^{\{r\}})$  is the *r*th secant variety of  $\mathcal{V}(I)$ : i.e. the Zariski closure of the set of points of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ lying in a linear space spanned by r-1 points of  $\mathcal{V}(I)$ .

If I and J are prime, radical, primary also I \* J is so.

If *I* is an ideal of  $K[\mathbf{x}]$  we denote the join  $\underbrace{I * I * \cdots * I}_{r}$  by  $I^{\{r\}}$ : This is called the *r*th secant of *I*.

In the graded case,  $\mathcal{V}(I^{\{r\}})$  is the *r*th secant variety of  $\mathcal{V}(I)$ : i.e. the Zariski closure of the set of points of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ lying in a linear space spanned by r-1 points of  $\mathcal{V}(I)$ .

If I and J are prime, radical, primary also I \* J is so.

These and other properties about the join are proved in A. Simis, B. Ulrich, *On the ideal of embedded join*, J. Alg. 226, 2000.

The join operation is well understood when the ideals are monomial.

The join operation is well understood when the ideals are monomial.

First of all, the join of monomial ideals is monomial as well.

The join operation is well understood when the ideals are monomial.

First of all, the join of monomial ideals is monomial as well.

Of particular interest is the case of  $I(G)^{\{r\}}$  where G is a graph and  $I(G) = (x_i x_j : \{i, j\} \text{ is an edge of } G).$ 

The join operation is well understood when the ideals are monomial.

First of all, the join of monomial ideals is monomial as well.

Of particular interest is the case of  $I(G)^{\{r\}}$  where G is a graph and  $I(G) = (x_i x_j : \{i, j\} \text{ is an edge of } G).$ 

chromatic properties of  $G \leftrightarrow$  algebraic properties of  $I(G)^{\{r\}}$ 

The join operation is well understood when the ideals are monomial.

First of all, the join of monomial ideals is monomial as well.

Of particular interest is the case of  $I(G)^{\{r\}}$  where G is a graph and  $I(G) = (x_i x_j : \{i, j\} \text{ is an edge of } G).$ 

chromatic properties of  $G \longleftrightarrow$  algebraic properties of  $I(G)^{\{r\}}$ 

When P is a poset on [n] then G(P) is the graph on [n] whose edges are  $\{i, j\}$  where i and j are incomparable.

The join operation is well understood when the ideals are monomial.

First of all, the join of monomial ideals is monomial as well.

Of particular interest is the case of  $I(G)^{\{r\}}$  where G is a graph and  $I(G) = (x_i x_j : \{i, j\} \text{ is an edge of } G).$ 

chromatic properties of  $G \longleftrightarrow$  algebraic properties of  $I(G)^{\{r\}}$ 

When P is a poset on [n] then G(P) is the graph on [n] whose edges are  $\{i, j\}$  where i and j are incomparable. In this case

 $I(G(P))^{\{r\}} = (x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{r+1}} : \{i_1, \dots, i_{r+1}\}$  is an antichain of P)

The join operation is well understood when the ideals are monomial.

First of all, the join of monomial ideals is monomial as well.

Of particular interest is the case of  $I(G)^{\{r\}}$  where G is a graph and  $I(G) = (x_i x_j : \{i, j\} \text{ is an edge of } G).$ 

chromatic properties of  $G \longleftrightarrow$  algebraic properties of  $I(G)^{\{r\}}$ 

When P is a poset on [n] then G(P) is the graph on [n] whose edges are  $\{i, j\}$  where i and j are incomparable. In this case

 $I(G(P))^{\{r\}} = (x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{r+1}} : \{i_1, \dots, i_{r+1}\}$  is an antichain of P)

where a subset of a poset is an antichain if it consists in incomparable elements.

Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ 

Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ 

 $f \in K[\mathbf{x}], \operatorname{in}_{\omega}(f) \in K[\mathbf{x}]$  is the leading coefficient of  $f(x_1 t^{\omega_1}, \dots, x_n t^{\omega_n}) \in K[\mathbf{x}][t]$ 

Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ 

 $f \in K[\mathbf{x}], \text{ in}_{\omega}(f) \in K[\mathbf{x}]$  is the leading coefficient of  $f(x_1 t^{\omega_1}, \dots, x_n t^{\omega_n}) \in K[\mathbf{x}][t]$ 

E. g.,  $f = 2x_1x_2^3 + x_1x_3^3 + 3x_2^4x_3 \in K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$  and  $\omega = (3, 2, 1)$ ;

Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ 

 $f \in K[\mathbf{x}], \text{ in}_{\omega}(f) \in K[\mathbf{x}] \text{ is the leading coefficient of}$  $f(x_{1}t^{\omega_{1}}, \dots, x_{n}t^{\omega_{n}}) \in K[\mathbf{x}][t]$ E. g.,  $f = 2x_{1}x_{2}^{3} + x_{1}x_{3}^{3} + 3x_{2}^{4}x_{3} \in K[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}] \text{ and } \omega = (3, 2, 1);$ then  $f(x_{1}t^{3}, x_{2}t^{2}, x_{3}t) = 2x_{1}x_{2}^{3}t^{9} + x_{1}x_{3}^{3}t^{6} + 3x_{2}^{4}x_{3}t^{9}$
Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ 

 $f \in K[\mathbf{x}], \text{ in}_{\omega}(f) \in K[\mathbf{x}] \text{ is the leading coefficient of}$  $f(x_1 t^{\omega_1}, \dots, x_n t^{\omega_n}) \in K[\mathbf{x}][t]$  $E. g., f = 2x_1 x_2^3 + x_1 x_3^3 + 3x_2^4 x_3 \in K[x_1, x_2, x_3] \text{ and } \omega = (3, 2, 1);$  $then f(x_1 t^3, x_2 t^2, x_3 t) = 2x_1 x_2^3 t^9 + x_1 x_3^3 t^6 + 3x_2^4 x_3 t^9, \text{ so}$  $in_{\omega}(f) = 2x_1 x_2^3 + 3x_2^4 x_3$ 

Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ 

 $f \in K[\mathbf{x}], \operatorname{in}_{\omega}(f) \in K[\mathbf{x}]$  is the leading coefficient of  $f(x_1 t^{\omega_1}, \dots, x_n t^{\omega_n}) \in K[\mathbf{x}][t]$ 

 $\operatorname{in}_{\omega}(I) := (\operatorname{in}_{\omega}(f) : f \in I) \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ 

Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ 

 $f \in K[\mathbf{x}], \text{ in}_{\omega}(f) \in K[\mathbf{x}]$  is the leading coefficient of  $f(x_1 t^{\omega_1}, \dots, x_n t^{\omega_n}) \in K[\mathbf{x}][t]$ 

 $\operatorname{in}_{\omega}(I) := (\operatorname{in}_{\omega}(f) : f \in I) \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ 

for any  $l_1, \ldots, l_r$ ,  $\prec$  there exists  $\omega$  s. t.  $LT_{\prec}(l_j) = \mathrm{in}_{\omega}(l_j)$ 

Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ 

 $f \in K[\mathbf{x}], \operatorname{in}_{\omega}(f) \in K[\mathbf{x}]$  is the leading coefficient of  $f(x_1 t^{\omega_1}, \dots, x_n t^{\omega_n}) \in K[\mathbf{x}][t]$ 

 $\operatorname{in}_{\omega}(I) := (\operatorname{in}_{\omega}(f) : f \in I) \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}]$ 

for any  $l_1, \ldots, l_r$ ,  $\prec$  there exists  $\omega$  s. t.  $LT_{\prec}(l_j) = \mathrm{in}_{\omega}(l_j)$ 

If  $in_{\omega}(I) = LT_{\prec}(I)$  we say that  $\omega$  represents  $\prec$  for I.

What is the relation between  $LT_{\prec}(I * J)$  and  $LT_{\prec}(I) * LT_{\prec}(J)$ ?

What is the relation between  $LT_{\prec}(I * J)$  and  $LT_{\prec}(I) * LT_{\prec}(J)$ ?

$$\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1 * I_2 * \cdots * I_r) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1) * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2) * \cdots * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_r)$$

What is the relation between  $LT_{\prec}(I * J)$  and  $LT_{\prec}(I) * LT_{\prec}(J)$ ?

$$\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1 * I_2 * \cdots * I_r) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1) * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2) * \cdots * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_r)$$

We can suppose r = 2: the general case will follow by a trivial induction.

What is the relation between  $LT_{\prec}(I * J)$  and  $LT_{\prec}(I) * LT_{\prec}(J)$ ?

$$\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1 * I_2 * \cdots * I_r) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1) * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2) * \cdots * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_r)$$

We can suppose r = 2: the general case will follow by a trivial induction.

So we must prove  $LT_{\prec}(I * J) \subseteq LT_{\prec}(I) * LT_{\prec}(J)$ 

What is the relation between  $LT_{\prec}(I * J)$  and  $LT_{\prec}(I) * LT_{\prec}(J)$ ?

$$\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1 * I_2 * \cdots * I_r) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1) * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2) * \cdots * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_r)$$

Pick  $f \in I * J$ , and set  $m := LT_{\prec}(f)$ .

What is the relation between  $LT_{\prec}(I * J)$  and  $LT_{\prec}(I) * LT_{\prec}(J)$ ?

$$\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1 * I_2 * \cdots * I_r) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1) * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2) * \cdots * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_r)$$

Pick  $f \in I * J$ , and set  $m := LT_{\prec}(f)$ .

Take a vector  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  representing  $\prec$  for I, J and f.

What is the relation between  $LT_{\prec}(I * J)$  and  $LT_{\prec}(I) * LT_{\prec}(J)$ ?

$$\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1 * I_2 * \cdots * I_r) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1) * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2) * \cdots * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_r)$$

Pick  $f \in I * J$ , and set  $m := LT_{\prec}(f)$ .

Take a vector  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  representing  $\prec$  for I, J and f.

Consider the ideal  $I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z}) \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$ .

What is the relation between  $LT_{\prec}(I * J)$  and  $LT_{\prec}(I) * LT_{\prec}(J)$ ?

$$\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1 * I_2 * \cdots * I_r) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1) * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2) * \cdots * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_r)$$

Pick  $f \in I * J$ , and set  $m := LT_{\prec}(f)$ .

Take a vector  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  representing  $\prec$  for I, J and f.

Consider the ideal  $I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z}) \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$ . We have

 $\operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z})) = \operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(I(\mathbf{y})) + \operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(J(\mathbf{z}))$ 

What is the relation between  $LT_{\prec}(I * J)$  and  $LT_{\prec}(I) * LT_{\prec}(J)$ ?

$$\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1 * I_2 * \cdots * I_r) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1) * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2) * \cdots * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_r)$$

Pick  $f \in I * J$ , and set  $m := LT_{\prec}(f)$ .

Take a vector  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  representing  $\prec$  for I, J and f.

Consider the ideal  $I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z}) \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$ . We have

$$\begin{split} &\operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z})) = \operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(I(\mathbf{y})) + \operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(J(\mathbf{z})) \\ &= \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I)(\mathbf{y}) + \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(J)(\mathbf{z}). \end{split}$$

What is the relation between  $LT_{\prec}(I * J)$  and  $LT_{\prec}(I) * LT_{\prec}(J)$ ?

$$\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1 * I_2 * \cdots * I_r) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1) * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2) * \cdots * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_r)$$

Pick  $f \in I * J$ , and set  $m := LT_{\prec}(f)$ .

Take a vector  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  representing  $\prec$  for I, J and f.

Consider the ideal  $I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z}) \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$ . We have

$$\begin{split} &\operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(I(\mathbf{y})+J(\mathbf{z})) = \operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(I(\mathbf{y})) + \operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(J(\mathbf{z})) \\ &= \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I)(\mathbf{y}) + \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(J)(\mathbf{z}). \end{split}$$

Since  $in_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(f(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z})) = m(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z})$ ,

What is the relation between  $LT_{\prec}(I * J)$  and  $LT_{\prec}(I) * LT_{\prec}(J)$ ?

$$\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1 * I_2 * \cdots * I_r) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1) * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2) * \cdots * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_r)$$

Pick  $f \in I * J$ , and set  $m := LT_{\prec}(f)$ .

Take a vector  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  representing  $\prec$  for I, J and f.

Consider the ideal  $I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z}) \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$ . We have

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(I(\mathbf{y})+J(\mathbf{z})) &= \operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(I(\mathbf{y})) + \operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(J(\mathbf{z})) \\ &= \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I)(\mathbf{y}) + \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(J)(\mathbf{z}). \end{split}$$

Since  $in_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(f(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z})) = m(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}), \ f \in I * J \Rightarrow f(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}) \in I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z})$ 

What is the relation between  $LT_{\prec}(I * J)$  and  $LT_{\prec}(I) * LT_{\prec}(J)$ ?

$$\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1 * I_2 * \cdots * I_r) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1) * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2) * \cdots * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_r)$$

Pick  $f \in I * J$ , and set  $m := LT_{\prec}(f)$ .

Take a vector  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  representing  $\prec$  for I, J and f.

Consider the ideal  $I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z}) \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$ . We have

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(I(\mathbf{y})+J(\mathbf{z})) &= \operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(I(\mathbf{y})) + \operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(J(\mathbf{z})) \\ &= \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I)(\mathbf{y}) + \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(J)(\mathbf{z}). \end{split}$$

Since  $in_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(f(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z})) = m(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}), f \in I * J \Rightarrow f(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}) \in I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z})$  $\Rightarrow m(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}) \in LT_{\prec}(I)(\mathbf{y}) + LT_{\prec}(J)(\mathbf{z})$ 

What is the relation between  $LT_{\prec}(I * J)$  and  $LT_{\prec}(I) * LT_{\prec}(J)$ ?

$$\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1 * I_2 * \cdots * I_r) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_1) * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2) * \cdots * \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_r)$$

Pick  $f \in I * J$ , and set  $m := LT_{\prec}(f)$ .

Take a vector  $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  representing  $\prec$  for I, J and f.

Consider the ideal  $I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z}) \subseteq K[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$ . We have

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(I(\mathbf{y})+J(\mathbf{z})) &= \operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(I(\mathbf{y})) + \operatorname{in}_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(J(\mathbf{z})) \\ &= \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I)(\mathbf{y}) + \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(J)(\mathbf{z}). \end{split}$$

Since  $in_{(\omega,\omega,\omega)}(f(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z})) = m(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}), \ f \in I * J \Rightarrow f(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}) \in I(\mathbf{y}) + J(\mathbf{z})$  $\Rightarrow m(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}) \in LT_{\prec}(I)(\mathbf{y}) + LT_{\prec}(J)(\mathbf{z}) \Rightarrow m \in LT_{\prec}(I) * LT_{\prec}(J). \square$ 

# Consequences





(2) dim  $\mathcal{V}(\mathrm{LT}_{\prec}(I)^{\{r\}}) \leq \dim \mathcal{V}(I^{\{r\}})$ 



(2) dim  $\mathcal{V}(\mathrm{LT}_{\prec}(I)^{\{r\}}) \leq \dim \mathcal{V}(I^{\{r\}})$ 

If equality holds in (2), then

(3) deg  $\mathcal{V}(\mathrm{LT}_{\prec}(I)^{\{r\}}) \leq \deg \mathcal{V}(I^{\{r\}})$ 



(2) dim  $\mathcal{V}(\mathrm{LT}_{\prec}(I)^{\{r\}}) \leq \dim \mathcal{V}(I^{\{r\}})$ 

If equality holds in (2), then (3) deg  $\mathcal{V}(LT_{\prec}(I)^{\{r\}}) \leq \deg \mathcal{V}(I^{\{r\}})$ 

We say that  $\prec$  is *r*-delightful for *I* if equality holds in (1).



(2) dim  $\mathcal{V}(\mathrm{LT}_{\prec}(I)^{\{r\}}) \leq \dim \mathcal{V}(I^{\{r\}})$ 

If equality holds in (2), then

(3) deg  $\mathcal{V}(\mathrm{LT}_{\prec}(I)^{\{r\}}) \leq \deg \mathcal{V}(I^{\{r\}})$ 

We say that  $\prec$  is *r*-delightful for *I* if equality holds in (1). We say that  $\prec$  is delightful if it is *r*-delightful for any *r*.



Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times m$  matrix of indeterminates and denote by  $I_r$  the ideal of K[X] generated by the *r*-minors of X.

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times m$  matrix of indeterminates and denote by  $I_r$  the ideal of K[X] generated by the *r*-minors of X.

The variety  $\mathcal{V}(I_r)$  is the set of all the matrices of  $M_{n,m}(K)$  whose rank is less than or equal to r-1.

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times m$  matrix of indeterminates and denote by  $I_r$  the ideal of K[X] generated by the *r*-minors of X.

The variety  $\mathcal{V}(I_r)$  is the set of all the matrices of  $M_{n,m}(K)$  whose rank is less than or equal to r-1.

Clearly the sum of r-1 matrices of rank  $\leq 1$ is a matrix of rank  $\leq r-1$ .

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times m$  matrix of indeterminates and denote by  $I_r$  the ideal of K[X] generated by the *r*-minors of X.

The variety  $\mathcal{V}(I_r)$  is the set of all the matrices of  $M_{n,m}(K)$  whose rank is less than or equal to r-1.

Clearly the sum of r-1 matrices of rank  $\leq 1$ is a matrix of rank  $\leq r-1$ .

Therefore the elements of  $I_r$  vanish on  $\mathcal{V}(I_2^{\{r-1\}})$ .

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times m$  matrix of indeterminates and denote by  $I_r$  the ideal of K[X] generated by the *r*-minors of X.

The variety  $\mathcal{V}(I_r)$  is the set of all the matrices of  $M_{n,m}(K)$  whose rank is less than or equal to r-1.

Clearly the sum of r-1 matrices of rank  $\leq 1$ is a matrix of rank  $\leq r-1$ .

Therefore the elements of  $I_r$  vanish on  $\mathcal{V}(I_2^{\{r-1\}})$ .

Since  $I_2$  is radical  $I_2^{\{r-1\}}$  is radical as well.

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times m$  matrix of indeterminates and denote by  $I_r$  the ideal of K[X] generated by the *r*-minors of X.

The variety  $\mathcal{V}(I_r)$  is the set of all the matrices of  $M_{n,m}(K)$  whose rank is less than or equal to r-1.

Clearly the sum of r - 1 matrices of rank  $\leq 1$  is a matrix of rank  $\leq r - 1$ .

Therefore the elements of  $I_r$  vanish on  $\mathcal{V}(I_2^{\{r-1\}})$ .

Since  $I_2$  is radical  $I_2^{\{r-1\}}$  is radical as well. So

$$I_r \subseteq I_2^{\{r-1\}}$$

We can get a poset structure on  $P = \{\{i, j\} : i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, ..., m\}$ 

We can get a poset structure on  $P = \{\{i, j\} : i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m\}$  $(i, j) \leq (h, k) \Leftrightarrow i \leq h \text{ and } j \geq k$ 

We can get a poset structure on  $P = \{\{i, j\} : i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, ..., m\}$  $(i, j) \le (h, k) \Leftrightarrow i \le h \text{ and } j \ge k$ 

At the beginning we said that if  $\prec$  favours the diagonals then  $LT_{\prec}(I_2) = (X_{ij}X_{hk} : i < h, j < k).$
We can get a poset structure on  $P = \{\{i, j\} : i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, ..., m\}$  $(i, j) \le (h, k) \Leftrightarrow i \le h \text{ and } j \ge k$ 

At the beginning we said that if  $\prec$  favours the diagonals then

 $LT_{\prec}(I_2) = (X_{ij}X_{hk} : i < h, j < k).$ 

But then  $LT_{\prec}(I_2) = I(G(P))$ ,

We can get a poset structure on  $P = \{\{i, j\} : i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, ..., m\}$  $(i, j) \le (h, k) \Leftrightarrow i \le h \text{ and } j \ge k$ 

At the beginning we said that if  $\prec$  favours the diagonals then

$$LT_{\prec}(I_2) = (X_{ij}X_{hk} : i < h, j < k).$$

But then  $\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2) = I(G(P))$ , and therefore  $\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_r) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2^{\{r-1\}}) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2)^{\{r-1\}} =$  $= (X_{i_1j_1}X_{i_2j_2}\cdots X_{i_rj_r}: i_1 < \ldots < i_r, \ j_1 < \ldots < j_r) =: J_r$ 

We can get a poset structure on  $P = \{\{i, j\} : i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m\}$  $(i, j) \leq (h, k) \Leftrightarrow i \leq h \text{ and } j \geq k$ 

At the beginning we said that if  $\prec$  favours the diagonals then

$$LT_{\prec}(I_2) = (X_{ij}X_{hk} : i < h, j < k).$$

But then  $\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2) = I(G(P))$ , and therefore  $\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_r) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2^{\{r-1\}}) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2)^{\{r-1\}} =$  $= (X_{i_1j_1}X_{i_2j_2}\cdots X_{i_rj_r}: i_1 < \ldots < i_r, j_1 < \ldots < j_r) =: J_r$ 

The monomials generating  $J_r$  are the leading terms of the minors  $[i_1, \ldots, i_r \mid j_1, \ldots, j_r]$ ,

We can get a poset structure on  $P = \{\{i, j\} : i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, ..., m\}$  $(i, j) \le (h, k) \Leftrightarrow i \le h \text{ and } j \ge k$ 

At the beginning we said that if  $\prec$  favours the diagonals then

$$\mathrm{LT}_{\prec}(I_2) = (X_{ij}X_{hk} : i < h, j < k).$$

But then  $\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2) = I(G(P))$ , and therefore  $\operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_r) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2^{\{r-1\}}) \subseteq \operatorname{LT}_{\prec}(I_2)^{\{r-1\}} =$  $= (X_{i_1j_1}X_{i_2j_2}\cdots X_{i_rj_r}: i_1 < \ldots < i_r, j_1 < \ldots < j_r) =: J_r$ 

The monomials generating  $J_r$  are the leading terms of the minors  $[i_1, \ldots, i_r \mid j_1, \ldots, j_r]$ ,

so  $LT_{\prec}(I_r) = J_r$ , and the *r*-minors of X are a Gröbener basis

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix of indeterminates and denote by  $J_r$  the ideal of K[X] generated by the *r*-minors of X.

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix of indeterminates and denote by  $J_r$  the ideal of K[X] generated by the *r*-minors of *X*.

Since the sum of r-1 symmetric matrices of rank  $\leq 1$ 

is a symmetric matrix of rank  $\leq r - 1$ ,

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix of indeterminates and denote by  $J_r$  the ideal of K[X] generated by the *r*-minors of X.

Since the sum of r-1 symmetric matrices of rank  $\leq 1$ 

is a symmetric matrix of rank  $\leq r-1$ , arguing as above we get

 $J_r \subseteq J_2^{\{r-1\}}$ 

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix of indeterminates and denote by  $J_r$  the ideal of K[X] generated by the *r*-minors of X.

Since the sum of r-1 symmetric matrices of rank  $\leq 1$ 

is a symmetric matrix of rank  $\leq r-1$ , arguing as above we get

 $J_r \subseteq J_2^{\{r-1\}}$ 

Moreover, as in the above case, one can show by hands that the 2-minors of X are a Gröbner basis of  $J_r$  with respect to a term order  $\prec$  that favours the diagonals,

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix of indeterminates and denote by  $J_r$  the ideal of K[X] generated by the *r*-minors of X.

Since the sum of r-1 symmetric matrices of rank  $\leq 1$ 

is a symmetric matrix of rank  $\leq r-1$ , arguing as above we get

 $J_r \subseteq J_2^{\{r-1\}}$ 

Moreover, as in the above case, one can show by hands that the 2-minors of X are a Gröbner basis of  $J_r$  with respect to a term order  $\prec$  that favours the diagonals, and that there is a suitable poset P such that  $LT_{\prec}(J_2) = I(G(P))$ .

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix of indeterminates and denote by  $J_r$  the ideal of K[X] generated by the *r*-minors of X.

Since the sum of r-1 symmetric matrices of rank  $\leq 1$ 

is a symmetric matrix of rank  $\leq r-1$ , arguing as above we get

 $J_r \subseteq J_2^{\{r-1\}}$ 

Moreover, as in the above case, one can show by hands that the 2-minors of X are a Gröbner basis of  $J_r$  with respect to a term order  $\prec$  that favours the diagonals, and that there is a suitable poset P such that  $LT_{\prec}(J_2) = I(G(P))$ .

In short, copying the proof above we can show that  $\prec$  is delightful for  $J_2$  and that the *r*-minors of X form a Gröbner basis for  $J_r$ .

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrix of indeterminates.

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrix of indeterminates. Recall that the principal minors of order 2k of X

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrix of indeterminates. Recall that the principal minors of order 2k of X(i.e the minors  $[i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2k} | i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2k}]$ )

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrix of indeterminates.

Recall that the principal minors of order 2k of X are squares of homogeneous polynomials of degree k.

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrix of indeterminates.

Recall that the principal minors of order 2k of X are squares of homogeneous polynomials of degree k.

These polynomials are called the 2k-subpfaffians of X, and the ideal  $Pf_{2k}(X) \subseteq K[X]$  they generate is the 2k-pfaffians ideal of X.

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrix of indeterminates.

Recall that the principal minors of order 2k of X are squares of homogeneous polynomials of degree k.

These polynomials are called the 2k-subpfaffians of X, and the ideal  $Pf_{2k}(X) \subseteq K[X]$  they generate is the 2k-pfaffians ideal of X.

For example, the 4-subfaffian associated to the minor  $[i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \mid i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4]$  is the polynomial

 $X_{i_1i_4}X_{i_2i_3}-X_{i_1i_3}X_{i_2i_4}+X_{i_1i_2}X_{i_3i_4}.$ 

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrix of indeterminates.

Recall that the principal minors of order 2k of X are squares of homogeneous polynomials of degree k.

These polynomials are called the 2k-subpfaffians of X, and the ideal  $Pf_{2k}(X) \subseteq K[X]$  they generate is the 2k-pfaffians ideal of X.

For example, the 4-subfaffian associated to the minor  $[i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \mid i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4]$  is the polynomial

 $X_{i_1i_4}X_{i_2i_3}-X_{i_1i_3}X_{i_2i_4}+X_{i_1i_2}X_{i_3i_4}.$ 

As  $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4 \le n$  vary, these polynomials generate  $Pf_4(X)$ .

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrix of indeterminates.

Recall that the principal minors of order 2k of X are squares of homogeneous polynomials of degree k.

These polynomials are called the 2k-subpfaffians of X, and the ideal  $Pf_{2k}(X) \subseteq K[X]$  they generate is the 2k-pfaffians ideal of X.

For example, the 4-subfaffian associated to the minor  $[i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \mid i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4]$  is the polynomial

$$X_{i_1i_4}X_{i_2i_3}-X_{i_1i_3}X_{i_2i_4}+X_{i_1i_2}X_{i_3i_4}.$$

As  $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4 \le n$  vary, these polynomials generate  $Pf_4(I)$ . Notice that the above polynomials are the Plücker relations, and that  $Pf_4(X)$  is the defining ideal of the Grassmannian Grass(2, n).

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrix of indeterminates.

Recall that the principal minors of order 2k of X are squares of homogeneous polynomials of degree k.

These polynomials are called the 2k-subpfaffians of X, and the ideal  $Pf_{2k}(X) \subseteq K[X]$  they generate is the 2k-pfaffians ideal of X.

The rank of a skew-symmetric matrix is the maximum size of a non-vanishing subfaffian.

Let  $X = (X_{ij})$  be a  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrix of indeterminates.

Recall that the principal minors of order 2k of X are squares of homogeneous polynomials of degree k.

These polynomials are called the 2k-subpfaffians of X, and the ideal  $Pf_{2k}(X) \subseteq K[X]$  they generate is the 2k-pfaffians ideal of X.

The rank of a skew-symmetric matrix is the maximum size of a non-vanishing subfaffian.

So, since the sum of k-1 skew-symmetric matrices of rank  $\leq 2$  is a skew-simmetric matrix of rank  $\leq 2k-2$ , we deduce that  $Pf_{2k}(X) \subseteq Pf_4^{\{k-1\}}(X)$ .

We define a poset P on the variables  $X_{ij}$  by the rule  $X_{ij} \leq X_{hk} \iff i \leq h \text{ and } j \leq k$ 

We define a poset P on the variables  $X_{ij}$  by the rule  $X_{ij} \leq X_{hk} \iff i \leq h \text{ and } j \leq k$ 

Let  $\prec$  be the revlex on a linear extension of *P*.

We define a poset P on the variables  $X_{ij}$  by the rule  $X_{ij} \leq X_{hk} \iff i \leq h \text{ and } j \leq k$ 

Let  $\prec$  be the revlex on a linear extension of *P*.

Once again,  $LT_{\prec}(Pf_4(X)) = I(G(P))$ .

We define a poset P on the variables  $X_{ij}$  by the rule  $X_{ij} \leq X_{hk} \iff i \leq h \text{ and } j \leq k$ 

Let  $\prec$  be the revlex on a linear extension of *P*.

Once again,  $LT_{\prec}(Pf_4(X)) = I(G(P))$ .

Moreover one can show that every antichain of size k in P correspondes to the leading term of a 2k-subpfaffian of X,

We define a poset P on the variables  $X_{ij}$  by the rule  $X_{ij} \leq X_{hk} \iff i \leq h \text{ and } j \leq k$ 

Let  $\prec$  be the revlex on a linear extension of *P*.

Once again,  $LT_{\prec}(Pf_4(X)) = I(G(P))$ .

Moreover one can show that every antichain of size k in P correspondes to the leading term of a 2k-subpfaffian of X, so

 $\prec$  is delightful for  $Pf_4(X)$  and the 2k-subpfaffians of X are a Gröbner basis of  $Pf_{2k}(X)$ .

We define a poset P on the variables  $X_{ij}$  by the rule  $X_{ij} \leq X_{hk} \iff i \leq h \text{ and } j \leq k$ 

Let  $\prec$  be the revlex on a linear extension of *P*.

Once again,  $LT_{\prec}(Pf_4(X)) = I(G(P))$ .

Moreover one can show that every antichain of size k in P correspondes to the leading term of a 2k-subpfaffian of X, so

 $\prec$  is delightful for  $Pf_4(X)$  and the 2k-subpfaffians of X are a Gröbner basis of  $Pf_{2k}(X)$ .

It is not known wether higer Grassmannians, Grass(r, n) with  $r \ge 3$ , admit a delightful term order.

Given a distributive lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  on [n], the Hibi ring on  $\mathcal{L}$  over K is the ring  $K[\mathbf{x}]/I(\mathcal{L})$ ,

Given a distributive lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  on [n], the Hibi ring on  $\mathcal{L}$  over K is the ring  $K[\mathbf{x}]/I(\mathcal{L})$ ,

where  $I(\mathcal{L}) = (f_{ij} = x_i x_j - x_{i \lor j} x_{i \land j} : i, j \text{ are incomparable}).$ 

Given a distributive lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  on [n], the Hibi ring on  $\mathcal{L}$  over K is the ring  $K[\mathbf{x}]/I(\mathcal{L})$ ,

where  $I(\mathcal{L}) = (f_{ij} = x_i x_j - x_{i \lor j} x_{i \land j} : i, j \text{ are incomparable}).$ 

It is known that, if  $\prec$  is the revlex on a linear extension of  $\mathcal{L}$ , the polynomials  $f_{ij}$  are a Gröbner basis for  $I(\mathcal{L})$ .

Given a distributive lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  on [n], the Hibi ring on  $\mathcal{L}$  over K is the ring  $K[\mathbf{x}]/I(\mathcal{L})$ ,

where  $I(\mathcal{L}) = (f_{ij} = x_i x_j - x_{i \lor j} x_{i \land j} : i, j \text{ are incomparable}).$ 

It is known that, if  $\prec$  is the revlex on a linear extension of  $\mathcal{L}$ , the polynomials  $f_{ij}$  are a Gröbner basis for  $I(\mathcal{L})$ .

So  $LT_{\prec}(I(\mathcal{L})) = (x_i x_j : i, j \text{ are incomparable}) = I(G(\mathcal{L}))$ 

Given a distributive lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  on [n], the Hibi ring on  $\mathcal{L}$  over K is the ring  $K[\mathbf{x}]/I(\mathcal{L})$ ,

where  $I(\mathcal{L}) = (f_{ij} = x_i x_j - x_{i \lor j} x_{i \land j} : i, j \text{ are incomparable}).$ 

It is known that, if  $\prec$  is the revlex on a linear extension of  $\mathcal{L}$ , the polynomials  $f_{ij}$  are a Gröbner basis for  $I(\mathcal{L})$ .

So  $LT_{\prec}(I(\mathcal{L})) = (x_i x_j : i, j \text{ are incomparable}) = I(G(\mathcal{L}))$ 

The case of 2-minors of a generic matrix, which define the Segre embedding of two projective spaces, corresponds to one of the most simple Hibi rings, and we proved above that  $\prec$  is delightful for it.

Given a distributive lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  on [n], the Hibi ring on  $\mathcal{L}$  over K is the ring  $K[\mathbf{x}]/I(\mathcal{L})$ ,

where  $I(\mathcal{L}) = (f_{ij} = x_i x_j - x_{i \lor j} x_{i \land j} : i, j \text{ are incomparable}).$ 

It is known that, if  $\prec$  is the revlex on a linear extension of  $\mathcal{L}$ , the polynomials  $f_{ij}$  are a Gröbner basis for  $I(\mathcal{L})$ .

So  $LT_{\prec}(I(\mathcal{L})) = (x_i x_j : i, j \text{ are incomparable}) = I(G(\mathcal{L}))$ 

The case of 2-minors of a generic matrix, which define the Segre embedding of two projective spaces, corresponds to one of the most simple Hibi rings, and we proved above that  $\prec$  is delightful for it.

I think that it could be interesting to try to classify the distributive lattices for which  $\prec$  is delightful, or at least to give a class for which it is.