

Devote a Lifetime to Playing Games

Emanuele Di Giandomenico

`e.di.giandomenico@tue.nl`

Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Genova

PhD Seminar
21st December 2023

Outline

- 1 What is a Game?
- 2 Sequence of Games
- 3 Public Key Encryption
- 4 ElGamal Encryption
- 5 Security of ElGamal

Outline

- 1 What is a Game?
- 2 Sequence of Games
- 3 Public Key Encryption
- 4 ElGamal Encryption
- 5 Security of ElGamal

What is a Game?

A tool to prove the security of cryptographic primitives.



VS



The definition of security is tied to some particular event S .
 $\Pr[S]$ has to be very close to some specified target probability.

Outline

- 1 What is a Game?
- 2 Sequence of Games**
- 3 Public Key Encryption
- 4 ElGamal Encryption
- 5 Security of ElGamal

To prove the security we use a sequence of games Game 0, Game 1, \dots , Game n , which are related to the events S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n .

- $\Pr[S_i]$ negligibly close to $\Pr[S_{i+1}]$.
- $\Pr[S_n]$ negligibly close to the target probability.

Transition based on Indistinguishability

A small change, if detected by the adversary, would imply an efficient method of distinguishing two distributions that are indistinguishable.

- P_1, P_2 are computationally indistinguishable distribution related respectively to S_i, S_{i+1} .
- Distinguish algorithm \mathcal{D} s.t.
 $\Pr[\mathcal{D}(x) \Rightarrow 1 \mid x \leftarrow P_1] = \Pr[S_i]$ and
 $\Pr[\mathcal{D}(y) \Rightarrow 1 \mid y \leftarrow P_2] = \Pr[S_{i+1}]$.

The indistinguishability assumption implies that $|\Pr[S_i] - \Pr[S_{i+1}]|$ is negligible.

Transition based on Failure Events

Game i and Game $i + 1$ proceed identically unless a certain failure events F occurs. It is equivalent to saying that $S_i \wedge \neg F \iff S_{i+1} \wedge \neg F$.

Difference Lemma

Let S, S', F be events defined in some probability distribution, and suppose that $S \wedge \neg F \iff S' \wedge \neg F$. Then

$$|\Pr[S] - \Pr[S']| \leq \Pr[F].$$

Bridging Steps

This change is purely conceptual and $\Pr[S_i] = \Pr[S_{i+1}]$. It prepares the ground for one of the previous transition.

Outline

- 1 What is a Game?
- 2 Sequence of Games
- 3 Public Key Encryption**
- 4 ElGamal Encryption
- 5 Security of ElGamal

Public Key Encryption

Syntax

A public key encryption scheme $\text{PKE} := (\text{KeyGen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ consists on three algorithms

- $(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{KeyGen}(1^\lambda)$.
- $c \leftarrow \text{Enc}(pk, m)$.
- $m \leftarrow \text{Dec}(sk, c)$.

Correctness

We say that PKE is ρ -correct if we have

$$\Pr \left[m = m' \mid \begin{array}{l} (pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{KeyGen}(1^\lambda), \\ c \leftarrow \text{Enc}(pk, m), \\ m' \leftarrow \text{Dec}(sk, c) \end{array} \right] \geq \rho$$

Security Game

IND – PKE

00 $(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{KeyGen}(1^\lambda)$

01 $(m_0, m_1) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(pk)$

02 $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$

03 $c \leftarrow \text{Enc}(pk, m_b)$

04 $b' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(pk, c)$

05 **return** b'

The advantage of an adversary \mathcal{A} against the above game is defined as

$$\text{Adv}_{\text{IND-PKE}}(\mathcal{A}) := \left| \Pr[b = b'] - \frac{1}{2} \right|.$$

Outline

- 1 What is a Game?
- 2 Sequence of Games
- 3 Public Key Encryption
- 4 ElGamal Encryption**
- 5 Security of ElGamal

ElGamal Encryption Scheme

Let G be a multiplicative group of prime order p , and let $g \in G$ be a generator.

KeyGen(1^λ)

00 $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$

01 $h := g^x$

02 **return** $(pk, sk) := (h, x)$

Enc($pk, m \in G$)

03 $y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$

04 $j := g^y$

05 $k := h^y$

06 $l := km$

07 $c := (j, l)$

08 **return** c

Dec(sk, c)

09 $m := l(j^x)^{-1}$

10 **return** m

Outline

- 1 What is a Game?
- 2 Sequence of Games
- 3 Public Key Encryption
- 4 ElGamal Encryption
- 5 Security of ElGamal**

Security of ElGamal

Let G be a multiplicative group of prime order p , and let $g \in G$ be a generator.

DDH Assumption

Let \mathcal{D} be an algorithm that takes as input triples of group elements and outputs a bit. We define the advantage of \mathcal{A} against DDH as

$$\text{Adv}_{\text{DDH}}(\mathcal{D}) := \left| \Pr[x, y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p: \mathcal{D}(g^x, g^y, g^{xy}) = 1] - \Pr[x, y, z \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p: \mathcal{D}(g^x, g^y, g^z) = 1] \right|$$

Security Proof

Game 0

```
00  $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$   
01  $h := g^x$   
02  $(pk, sk) := (h, x)$   
03  $(m_0, m_1) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(pk)$   
04  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$   
05  $y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$   
06  $j := g^y$   
07  $k := h^y$   
08  $l := km_b$   
09  $c := (j, l)$   
10  $b' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(pk, c)$   
11 return  $b'$ 
```

Security Proof

Game 1

```
00  $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ 
01  $h := g^x$ 
02  $(pk, sk) := (h, x)$ 
03  $(m_0, m_1) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(pk)$ 
04  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$ 
05  $y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ 
06  $j := g^y$ 
07  $z \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ 
08  $k := g^z$ 
09  $l := km_b$ 
10  $c := (j, l)$ 
11  $b' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(pk, c)$ 
12 return  $b'$ 
```

Security Proof

- $\Pr[S_1] = \frac{1}{2}$: this follows from the fact that b' is independent from b .
- $|\Pr[S_0] - \Pr[S_1]| \leq \text{Adv}_{\text{DDH}}$.
- $\text{Adv}_{\text{IND-PKE}}^{\text{ElGamal}} \leq \text{Adv}_{\text{DDH}}$.

Thanks for your attention!



I still prefer this game.