

# Square-free Gröbner degenerations

Matteo Varbaro (University of Genoa, Italy)

**SIAM Conference on Applied Algebraic Geometry (AG21)**  
**Commutative algebra and its applications**

# Basic notions in Commutative Algebra

Let  $K$  be a field,  $S = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables over  $K$  equipped with a positive graded structure (namely  $\deg(X_i)$  is a positive integer for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).

# Basic notions in Commutative Algebra

Let  $K$  be a field,  $S = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables over  $K$  equipped with a positive graded structure (namely  $\deg(X_i)$  is a positive integer for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).

To study an ideal  $I \subset S$ , it is often useful to consider the initial  $\text{in}(I)$  with respect to some monomial order (that can be computed finding a Gröbner basis of  $I$ ).

# Basic notions in Commutative Algebra

Let  $K$  be a field,  $S = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables over  $K$  equipped with a positive graded structure (namely  $\deg(X_i)$  is a positive integer for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).

To study an ideal  $I \subset S$ , it is often useful to consider the initial  $\text{in}(I)$  with respect to some monomial order (that can be computed finding a Gröbner basis of  $I$ ). Since monomial ideals are simpler to study than polynomial ideals, it is important to relate properties of the ideal  $I$  with properties of the monomial ideal  $\text{in}(I)$ .

# Basic notions in Commutative Algebra

Let  $K$  be a field,  $S = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables over  $K$  equipped with a positive graded structure (namely  $\deg(X_i)$  is a positive integer for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).

To study an ideal  $I \subset S$ , it is often useful to consider the initial  $\text{in}(I)$  with respect to some monomial order (that can be computed finding a Gröbner basis of  $I$ ). Since monomial ideals are simpler to study than polynomial ideals, it is important to relate properties of the ideal  $I$  with properties of the monomial ideal  $\text{in}(I)$ .

## Remark

For any monomial order on  $S$  and ideal  $I \subset S$ , one has  $\dim S/I = \dim S/\text{in}(I)$ .

# Basic notions in Commutative Algebra

Let  $K$  be a field,  $S = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables over  $K$  equipped with a positive graded structure (namely  $\deg(X_i)$  is a positive integer for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).

To study an ideal  $I \subset S$ , it is often useful to consider the initial  $\text{in}(I)$  with respect to some monomial order (that can be computed finding a Gröbner basis of  $I$ ). Since monomial ideals are simpler to study than polynomial ideals, it is important to relate properties of the ideal  $I$  with properties of the monomial ideal  $\text{in}(I)$ .

## Remark

For any monomial order on  $S$  and ideal  $I \subset S$ , one has  $\dim S/I = \dim S/\text{in}(I)$ . If furthermore  $I$  is homogeneous,  $\dim_K(S/I)_j = \dim_K(S/\text{in}(I))_j$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

To describe further relations, it is useful to see the passage from  $I$  to  $\text{in}(I)$  as a deformation, interpretation given in the 80s by many people.

To describe further relations, it is useful to see the passage from  $I$  to  $\text{in}(I)$  as a deformation, interpretation given in the 80s by many people. From this interpretation it easily follows that

$$\text{depth } S/\text{in}(I) \leq \text{depth } S/I$$

for any homogeneous ideal  $I \subset S$ .



To describe further relations, it is useful to see the passage from  $I$  to  $\text{in}(I)$  as a deformation, interpretation given in the 80s by many people. From this interpretation it easily follows that

$$\text{depth } S/\text{in}(I) \leq \text{depth } S/I$$

for any homogeneous ideal  $I \subset S$ . In particular, given a homogeneous ideal  $I \subset S$  and a monomial order on  $S$ , we have:

## Proposition

$S/\text{in}(I)$  is Cohen-Macaulay  $\implies S/I$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

To describe further relations, it is useful to see the passage from  $I$  to  $\text{in}(I)$  as a deformation, interpretation given in the 80s by many people. From this interpretation it easily follows that

$$\text{depth } S/\text{in}(I) \leq \text{depth } S/I$$

for any homogeneous ideal  $I \subset S$ . In particular, given a homogeneous ideal  $I \subset S$  and a monomial order on  $S$ , we have:

## Proposition

$S/\text{in}(I)$  is Cohen-Macaulay  $\implies S/I$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

It is easy to find examples where the converse to the above implication fails...

An important instance in which the previous implication can be reversed is:

**Theorem (Bayer-Stillman, 1987)**

For a degree reverse lexicographic monomial order, if the coordinates are generic (with respect to  $I$ ), then

$S/\text{in}(I)$  is Cohen-Macaulay  $\iff S/I$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

An important instance in which the previous implication can be reversed is:

## Theorem (Bayer-Stillman, 1987)

For a degree reverse lexicographic monomial order, if the coordinates are generic (with respect to  $I$ ), then

$$S/\text{in}(I) \text{ is Cohen-Macaulay} \iff S/I \text{ is Cohen-Macaulay.}$$

On a different perspective, *Algebras with Straightening Law (ASL)* were introduced in the 80s by De Concini, Eisenbud and Procesi.

An important instance in which the previous implication can be reversed is:

## Theorem (Bayer-Stillman, 1987)

For a degree reverse lexicographic monomial order, if the coordinates are generic (with respect to  $I$ ), then

$$S/\text{in}(I) \text{ is Cohen-Macaulay} \iff S/I \text{ is Cohen-Macaulay.}$$

On a different perspective, *Algebras with Straightening Law (ASL)* were introduced in the 80s by De Concini, Eisenbud and Procesi. This notion arose as an axiomatization of the underlying combinatorial structure observed by many authors in classical algebras like coordinate rings of flag varieties, their Schubert subvarieties and various kinds of rings defined by determinantal equations.

Any ASL  $A$  has a discrete counterpart  $A_D$  that is defined by square-free monomials of degree 2,

Any ASL  $A$  has a discrete counterpart  $A_D$  that is defined by square-free monomials of degree 2, and it was proved by DEP that  $A$  is Cohen-Macaulay whenever  $A_D$  is so.

Any ASL  $A$  has a discrete counterpart  $A_D$  that is defined by square-free monomials of degree 2, and it was proved by DEP that  $A$  is Cohen-Macaulay whenever  $A_D$  is so. This can also be seen because  $A$  can be realized as  $S/I$  in such a way that  $A_D \cong S/\text{in}(I)$  with respect to a degrevlex monomial order.



Any ASL  $A$  has a discrete counterpart  $A_D$  that is defined by square-free monomials of degree 2, and it was proved by DEP that  $A$  is Cohen-Macaulay whenever  $A_D$  is so. This can also be seen because  $A$  can be realized as  $S/I$  in such a way that  $A_D \cong S/\text{in}(I)$  with respect to a degrevlex monomial order. In this case, in all the known examples also the converse implication was true.

Any ASL  $A$  has a discrete counterpart  $A_D$  that is defined by square-free monomials of degree 2, and it was proved by DEP that  $A$  is Cohen-Macaulay whenever  $A_D$  is so. This can also be seen because  $A$  can be realized as  $S/I$  in such a way that  $A_D \cong S/\text{in}(I)$  with respect to a degrevlex monomial order. In this case, in all the known examples also the converse implication was true. This lead Herzog to conjecture the following:

## Conjecture (Herzog)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous ideal such that  $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free for some monomial order. Then

$$S/\text{in}(I) \text{ is Cohen-Macaulay} \iff S/I \text{ is Cohen-Macaulay.}$$

A first approach that one could try to prove Herzog's conjecture is to exploit Bayer and Stillman result and to show that:

???

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous ideal such that  $\text{in}(I)$  is a square-free monomial ideal. Then,  $\text{gin}(\text{in}(I)) = \text{gin}(I)$ .

A first approach that one could try to prove Herzog's conjecture is to exploit Bayer and Stillman result and to show that:

???

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous ideal such that  $\text{in}(I)$  is a square-free monomial ideal. Then,  $\text{gin}(\text{in}(I)) = \text{gin}(I)$ .

Unfortunately it was exhibited a counterexample to the above statement by Conca in 2007.

## Theorem ( , 2009)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous ideal such that  $S/I$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Then the simplicial complex associated to  $\sqrt{\text{in}(I)}$  via the Stanley-Reisner correspondence,  $\Delta(\sqrt{\text{in}(I)})$ , is strongly connected.

## Theorem ( , 2009)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous ideal such that  $S/I$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Then the simplicial complex associated to  $\sqrt{\text{in}(I)}$  via the Stanley-Reisner correspondence,  $\Delta(\sqrt{\text{in}(I)})$ , is strongly connected.

Since a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complex is strongly connected, the above statement goes in direction of Herzog's conjecture ( $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free iff  $\sqrt{\text{in}(I)} = \text{in}(I)$ ).

## Theorem ( , 2009)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous ideal such that  $S/I$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Then the simplicial complex associated to  $\sqrt{\text{in}(I)}$  via the Stanley-Reisner correspondence,  $\Delta(\sqrt{\text{in}(I)})$ , is strongly connected.

Since a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complex is strongly connected, the above statement goes in direction of Herzog's conjecture ( $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free iff  $\sqrt{\text{in}(I)} = \text{in}(I)$ ). However, it is not difficult to produce examples of homogeneous ideals  $I \subset S$  such that  $S/I$  is Cohen-Macaulay but  $S/\sqrt{\text{in}(I)}$  is not (for a monomial ideal  $J \subset S$ , it is always true that  $\text{depth } S/J \leq \text{depth } S/\sqrt{J}$ , so there are more chances of being Cohen-Macaulay for  $S/\sqrt{\text{in}(I)}$  than for  $S/\text{in}(I)$ ).

# The main result

Actually the property described just above has been very important to prove the following:



# The main result

Actually the property described just above has been very important to prove the following:

## Theorem (Conca- , 2018)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous ideal such that  $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free for some monomial order. Then  $S/\text{in}(I)$  is Cohen-Macaulay  $\iff S/I$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

# The main result

Actually the property described just above has been very important to prove the following:

## Theorem (Conca- , 2018)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous ideal such that  $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free for some monomial order. Then  $S/\text{in}(I)$  is Cohen-Macaulay  $\iff S/I$  is Cohen-Macaulay. More generally,

$$\dim_K H_m^i(S/I)_j = \dim_K H_m^i(S/\text{in}(I))_j \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

# The main result

Actually the property described just above has been very important to prove the following:

## Theorem (Conca- , 2018)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous ideal such that  $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free for some monomial order. Then  $S/\text{in}(I)$  is Cohen-Macaulay  $\iff S/I$  is Cohen-Macaulay. More generally,

$$\dim_K H_m^i(S/I)_j = \dim_K H_m^i(S/\text{in}(I))_j \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

As a consequence, we get the following:

## Corollary

For any ASL  $A$ , we have that  $A$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if  $A_D$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

# Gröbner degenerations of smooth projective varieties

In view of the above result it is natural to inquire in more details homogeneous ideals admitting a square-free initial ideal.

In view of the above result it is natural to inquire in more details homogeneous ideals admitting a square-free initial ideal.

Conjecture (Constantinescu, De Negri, [\\_](#), 2019)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous prime ideal defining a smooth variety. If  $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free for some monomial order, then  $\Delta(\text{in}(I))$  is contractible.

In view of the above result it is natural to inquire in more details homogeneous ideals admitting a square-free initial ideal.

Conjecture (Constantinescu, De Negri, \_ , 2019)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous prime ideal defining a smooth variety. If  $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free for some monomial order, then  $\Delta(\text{in}(I))$  is contractible.

This conjecture it is mostly open; at least we know that  $\Delta(\text{in}(I))$  has to be connected (indeed, strongly connected) by a result of Kalkbrener and Sturmfels.

In view of the above result it is natural to inquire in more details homogeneous ideals admitting a square-free initial ideal.

Conjecture (Constantinescu, De Negri, \_ , 2019)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous prime ideal defining a smooth variety. If  $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free for some monomial order, then  $\Delta(\text{in}(I))$  is contractible.

This conjecture it is mostly open; at least we know that  $\Delta(\text{in}(I))$  has to be connected (indeed, strongly connected) by a result of Kalkbrener and Sturmfels. Some evidence for the conjecture:

Theorem (Constantinescu, De Negri, \_ , 2019)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous prime ideal defining a smooth variety. If  $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free for a degrevlex monomial order, then  $\Delta(\text{in}(I))$  is contractible.



# Gröbner degenerations of smooth projective varieties

Theorem (Constantinescu, De Negri, \_ , 2019)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous prime ideal defining a smooth variety. If  $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free for a degrevlex monomial order, then  $\Delta(\text{in}(I))$  is contractible.

If  $\dim S/I = 2$ , that is  $C = \text{Proj } S/I$  is a projective curve, we can say something also for monomial orders different from degrevlex:

Theorem (Constantinescu, De Negri, \_ , 2019)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous prime ideal defining a smooth curve and assume that  $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free for some monomial order. Then:

# Gröbner degenerations of smooth projective varieties

Theorem (Constantinescu, De Negri, \_ , 2019)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous prime ideal defining a smooth variety. If  $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free for a degrevlex monomial order, then  $\Delta(\text{in}(I))$  is contractible.

If  $\dim S/I = 2$ , that is  $C = \text{Proj } S/I$  is a projective curve, we can say something also for monomial orders different from degrevlex:

Theorem (Constantinescu, De Negri, \_ , 2019)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous prime ideal defining a smooth curve and assume that  $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free for some monomial order.

Then:

- $\Delta(\text{in}(I))$  has at least one leaf.

## Theorem (Constantinescu, De Negri, \_ , 2019)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous prime ideal defining a smooth variety. If  $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free for a degrevlex monomial order, then  $\Delta(\text{in}(I))$  is contractible.

If  $\dim S/I = 2$ , that is  $C = \text{Proj } S/I$  is a projective curve, we can say something also for monomial orders different from degrevlex:

## Theorem (Constantinescu, De Negri, \_ , 2019)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous prime ideal defining a smooth curve and assume that  $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free for some monomial order.

Then:

- $\Delta(\text{in}(I))$  has at least one leaf.
- If  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\Delta(\text{in}(I))$  has no cycles or at least two cycles.

## Theorem (Constantinescu, De Negri, \_ , 2019)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous prime ideal defining a smooth variety. If  $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free for a degrevlex monomial order, then  $\Delta(\text{in}(I))$  is contractible.

If  $\dim S/I = 2$ , that is  $C = \text{Proj } S/I$  is a projective curve, we can say something also for monomial orders different from degrevlex:

## Theorem (Constantinescu, De Negri, \_ , 2019)

Let  $I \subset S$  be a homogeneous prime ideal defining a smooth curve and assume that  $\text{in}(I)$  is square-free for some monomial order.

Then:

- $\Delta(\text{in}(I))$  has at least one leaf.
- If  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\Delta(\text{in}(I))$  has no cycles or at least two cycles.

**THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!**