

Weak Quasi-Hopf Algebras and Conformal Field Theory

Sebastiano Carpi

University of Chieti and Pescara



Cortona, June 8, 2018

Based on a joint work with Sergio Ciamprone and Claudia Pinzari (in preparation)

AQFT and DHR and abstract duality for compact groups

- One of the key ideas in AQFT is that the theory should be formulated only in terms of local observable quantities.
- From the mathematical point of view one starts from a net \mathcal{A} of local observables i.e. a map $\mathcal{O} \mapsto \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O})$ from the set of double cones in the four dimensional Minkowski space-time into the set of von Neumann algebras acting on a fixed Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_0 (the vacuum Hilbert space) + natural axioms.
- Other mathematical objects such as the the global gauge group or the unobservable charged field operators should be recovered from the representation theory of the net \mathcal{A} encoding the charge structure of the theory.

- How to do this?
- Consider only **DHR representations** with **finite statistical dimension**. i.e. representations that **look like the vacuum** (in the sense of unitary equivalence) in the causal complement \mathcal{O}' of every \mathcal{O} and that admit **conjugate representations**, and let $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A})$ be the corresponding representation category.
- The **vacuum representation** π_0 is the defining representation of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{H}_0 . Clearly $\pi_0 \in \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A})$.
- The crucial step in the DHR analysis (1969-1971) is the following. The representations of the form $\pi = \pi_0 \circ \rho$ with ρ a **localized and transportable endomorphisms with finite statistical dimension** of the quasi-local C*-algebra $(\cup_{\mathcal{O} \subset M} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}))^{-\|\cdot\|}$ define a **full subcategory equivalent to $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A})$** .

- The composition of endomorphisms gives rise to a tensor product operation $(\pi_0 \circ \rho) \otimes (\pi_0 \circ \sigma) := \pi_0 \circ \rho\sigma$ which, together with the existence of conjugates, induces on $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A})$ the structure of **rigid semisimple C^* -tensor category**.
- Moreover, there are natural unitary isomorphisms $c(\pi_1, \pi_2) \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2, \pi_2 \otimes \pi_1)$ encoding the representations of the permutation groups related to particle statistics. These makes $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A})$ into a **symmetric** (up to a \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading) **rigid semisimple C^* -tensor category with simple unit**. Here the space-time dimension $= 4$ (in fact ≥ 3) is crucial.
- It was already noticed in one of the first DHR papers that **when the irreducible DHR endomorphism of \mathcal{A} are all automorphisms** then $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A})$ is tensor equivalent to a (\mathbb{Z}_2 -graded) category of unitary representations of a compact abelian group G . Moreover, the net \mathcal{A} , **can be obtained as a fixed-point net \mathcal{F}^G** of a graded-local field net \mathcal{F} .

- The natural generalization allowing non abelian gauge groups required almost twenty years and was completed by Doplicher and Roberts in a series of three papers (1989-1990).
- They obtained the following remarkable abstract group duality result: Let \mathcal{C} be an essentially small symmetric rigid semisimple C^* -tensor category with simple unit then $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Rep}(G)$ for a unique (up to isomorphisms) compact group G . A very similar result was obtained independently by Deligne (1990) with rather different methods.
- Now let \mathcal{A} be a net of local observables and let G be the compact group associated with $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A})$ through the above duality result. Then Doplicher and Roberts also gave a crossed product construction of a canonical field net $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A} \rtimes \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A})$ with an action of G such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}^G$ and all DHR superselection sectors of \mathcal{A} are realized in the vacuum Hilbert space of \mathcal{F} and labelled by equivalence classes $\xi \in \hat{G}$.

- Here **some more details** on the DR abstract duality result in view of possible generalizations.
- One can define a **dimension function** $d(X)$, $X \in \mathcal{C}$ having positive integer values. It satisfies $d(X \otimes Y) = d(X)d(Y)$ and $d(X \oplus Y) = d(X) + d(Y)$.
- One can define a faithful ***-tensor functor** $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}$ satisfying $\dim(\mathcal{F}(X)) = d(X)$ (here the Cuntz algebra plays a crucial role).
- One can recover the **compact group** G as the group $\text{Nat}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{F})$ of monoidal natural unitary transformations $\eta_X : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ (this last step is essentially the classical **Tannaka-Krein duality**).

- In two-space time dimensions the DR reconstruction does not work in general. This is because the usual permutation symmetry related to particle statistics is weakened to **braid group statistics**.
- If \mathcal{A} is a net of local observables on a **2D space-time** then the category $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A})$ of DHR representations of \mathcal{A} with finite statistical dimension is still a rigid semisimple C^* -tensor category with simple unit which is in general no longer symmetric but only braided.
- The values of the statistical dimension need not to be integers. For example it can take the values $d(\pi) = 2 \cos(\frac{\pi}{n})$, $n=3, 4, 5, \dots$
- In particular $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A})$ will be no longer equivalent to a representation category $\text{Rep}(G)$ for a compact group G . This fact makes things **more complicated but also very exciting**. Are there more general symmetry objects that can be used to replace compact groups.

Quantum groups?

Hopf algebras and generalizations

- Original motivation for Hopf algebras: algebraic topology (50s)
- Further motivations: duality for locally compact groups (G. Kac 60s); quantum groups (Drinfeld-Jimbo, Woronowicz 80s).
- I will focus on the representation theory aspects.
- A paradigmatic example is the algebra $A := \mathbb{C}G$ of a finite group G . The category $\text{Rep}(A)$ of finite dimensional unital representations of A is equivalent to $\text{Rep}(G)$ as a linear category. On the other hand the tensor structure of $\text{Rep}(G)$ is not directly visible from $\text{Rep}(A)$: $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \text{Rep}(A) \Rightarrow \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \in \text{Rep}(A \otimes A)$.

- Recall that the tensor structure on $\text{Rep}(G)$ is obtained from the diagonal embedding $G \ni g \mapsto (g, g) \in G \times G$. This gives rise to a unital homomorphism $\Delta : A = \mathbb{C}G \rightarrow A \otimes A \simeq \mathbb{C}(G \times G)$. Δ is called **coproduct**.
- The tensor product \otimes on the objects of $\text{Rep}(A)$ is then given by $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 := \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \circ \Delta \in \text{Rep}(A)$.
- In order to get a unit and a rigid structure on $\text{Rep}(A)$ one further need a special one-dimensional representation $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the **counit**, (this comes from the trivial representation of G) and a suitable antiautomorphism $S : A \rightarrow A$, the **antipode** (this comes from the map $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ in G).
- Some of the properties of the triple $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ above can be abstracted to the notion of **Hopf algebra**. Here I only mention the **coassociativity** of Δ i.e. $(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$ which makes $\text{Rep}(A)$ into a **strict tensor category**.

- A Hopf algebra is a quadruple $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ of the type described above and can be considered as a generalization of the notion of group.
- By **relaxing coassociativity** one obtains the notion of **quasi-Hopf algebra** first introduced by Drinfeld. This allows more flexibility in dealing with **non strict** tensor categories: **non-trivial associators** $\alpha_{X,Y,Z} : (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \rightarrow X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)$. This is done through a suitable element $\Phi \in A \otimes A \otimes A$ satisfying a 3-cocycle condition related to the pentagon equation. Accordingly the data of a quasi-Hopf algebra is given by a quintuple $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon, S, \Phi)$
- Quasi-Hopf algebras are **not sufficiently general** to describe many interesting tensor categories related to QFT. This is because, when A is semisimple, the function D on the fusion ring $\text{Gr}(\text{Rep}(A))$ defined by $D([\pi]) := \dim(V_\pi)$, where V_π is the representation space of π , is a **positive integral valued dimension function** and there are many fusion categories that do not admit such a function by the **uniqueness of the Frobenius-Perron dimension**.

- In the early 90s Mack and Schoumerus suggested the following solution to the above problem: give up to the request that Δ is unital so that a wak quasi-Hopf algebra is again a quintuple $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon, S, \Phi)$ with a possibly non-unital coproduct.
- In this way $\Delta(I)$ is an idempotent in $A \otimes A$ commuting with $\Delta(A)$ but typically different from $I \otimes I$.
- The tensor product $\pi_1 \underline{\otimes} \pi_2$ in $\text{Rep}(A)$ is now defined by the restriction of $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \circ \Delta$ to $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \circ \Delta(I) V_{\pi_1} \otimes V_{\pi_2}$.
- Now, for a semisimple A , the additive function $D : \text{Gr}(\text{Rep}(A)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ defined by $D([\pi]) := \dim(V_\pi)$ is only a weak dimension function i.e. it satisfies $D([\pi_1 \underline{\otimes} \pi_2]) \leq D([\pi_1])D([\pi_2])$, $D([I]) = 1$ and $D(\bar{\pi}) = D(\pi)$ and this gives no important restrictions.

Tannakian results

- Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ be tensor categories. A linear functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ together with natural transformations $F_{X,Y} : \mathcal{F}(X) \otimes \mathcal{F}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X \otimes Y)$ and $G_{X,Y} : \mathcal{F}(X \otimes Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \otimes \mathcal{F}(Y)$ satisfying

$$F_{\iota, X} = F_{X, \iota} = 1_{\mathcal{F}(X)}, \quad G_{\iota, X} = G_{X, \iota} = 1_{\mathcal{F}(X)},$$

$$F_{X,Y} \circ G_{X,Y} = 1_{\mathcal{F}(X \otimes Y)}$$

is called a **weak quasi-tensor functor**.

- Although many results hold with more generality I will focus on **fusion categories** (rigid, semisimple, tensor categories with simple unit and finitely many equivalence classes of simple objects).

- The following results are due to Häring-Oldenburg (late 90s).
- Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category and let $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ be a faithful weak quasi-tensor functor. Then $A := \mathbf{Nat}(\mathcal{F})$ is a semisimple algebra admitting a structure of weak-quasi Hopf algebra such that $\mathbf{Rep}(A)$ is tensor equivalent to \mathcal{C} .
- Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category and $D : \mathbf{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be an integral weak dimension then there exists a faithful weak quasi-tensor functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ such that $D([X]) = \dim(\mathcal{F}(X))$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$.
- Every fusion category admits infinitely many integral weak dimension functions.
- Extra structure on \mathcal{C} gives extra structure on A : braiding \leftrightarrow R -matrix ; C^* -tensor structure on $\mathcal{C} \leftrightarrow \Omega$ - involutive structure on A (in particular A is a C^* -algebra).

- The weak quasi-Hopf algebra associated to a fusion category \mathcal{C} is **highly non-unique**: it depends on the choice of D and, once D is fixed, on the weak quasi-tensor structure on the functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Vect}$. In the latter case however they are **unique up to a “twist”**.
- It seems that until a recent work by Ciamprone and Pinzari (2017), where examples from **quantum groups at roots of unity** are considered, they have almost been **forgotten**.
- A different Hopf algebraic object, the **weak Hopf algebras** introduced by Böhm and Szlachanyi (middle 90s) received much more attention and found important applications.

- For example in their recent beautiful book on tensor categories Etingof, Gelaki, Nikshych and Ostrik write “This structure is called a weak quasi-Hopf algebra, and in principle it allows one to speak about any finite tensor category in explicit linear-algebraic terms. However, **this structure is so cumbersome that it seems better not to consider it**, and instead to use the language of tensor categories, which is the point of view of this book.”
- In the remaining part of this talk I would like to try to convince you that, despite their problems, **they can be useful and natural** in the investigation of **conformal field theory (CFT)**.

Conformal nets and vertex operator algebras

- Conformal nets and vertex operator algebras (VOAs) gives two mathematically rigorous frameworks for chiral conformal quantum field theories (chiral CFTs) i.e. CFTs on S^1 .
- Conformal nets are the chiral CFT version of AQFT and are given by nets of von Neumann algebras $I \subset \mathcal{A}(I)$ over the intervals $I \subset S^1$ acting on given Hilbert space \mathcal{H} + axioms.
- A VOA is a vector space V together with a linear map (the state-field correspondence)

$$a \mapsto Y(a, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{(n)} z^{-n-1}, \quad a_{(n)} \in \text{End}(V)$$

from V into the set of operator valued formal distributions acting on V + axioms.

- Conformal nets and VOAs have very interesting **representation theories**.
- A representation π of a conformal net \mathcal{A} is a family $\pi_I, I \subset S^1$ where each π_I is a representation of $\mathcal{A}(I)$ on a fixed Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_π . The family is assumed to be compatible with the net structure.
- A **VOA-module** for the VOA V is a vector space M together with a linear map

$$a \mapsto Y_M(a, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{(n)}^M z^{-n-1}, \quad a_{(n)}^M \in \text{End}(M)$$

which is compatible with the vertex algebra structure of V .

- Under suitable rationality conditions (that I will resume with the term **completely rational**) conformal nets and VOAs gives rise to very interesting examples of **modular tensor categories** (in particular fusion categories).
- If \mathcal{A} is a completely rational conformal net then $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A})$ is a **modular C^* -tensor category** (Kawahigashi, Longo, Müger 2001).
- If V is a completely rational VOA then $\text{Rep}(V)$ is a **modular tensor category** (Huang 2008).

From VOAs to conformal nets

- A **general connection between VOAs and conformal nets** has been recently considered by Carpi, Kawahigashi, Longo and Weiner.
- One first need a suitable definition of **unitary VOA** (Dong, Lin and CKLW).
- For sufficiently nice (simple) unitary VOAs called **strongly local** one can define a map $V \mapsto \mathcal{A}_V$ into the class of conformal nets.
- **Conjecture 1:** The map $V \mapsto \mathcal{A}_V$ gives a one-to-one correspondence between the class of simple unitary VOAs and the class of conformal nets.
- **Conjecture 2:** The map $V \mapsto \mathcal{A}_V$ gives gives a one-to-one correspondence between the class of completely rational unitary VOAs and the class of completely rational conformal nets. Moreover, if V is completely rational we have a **tensor equivalence** $\text{Rep}(V) \simeq \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}_V)$.

- Recently it has been suggested by Carpi, Weiner and Xu (in preparation) to consider a **strong integrability condition** on unitary VOA-modules of a strongly local V which allows to define a map $M \mapsto \pi_M$ from V -modules to representations of \mathcal{A}_V . In certain cases this gives an **isomorphism of linear C^* -categories** $\mathcal{F} : \text{Rep}^u(V) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}_V)$ where **$\text{Rep}^u(V)$ is the category of unitary V -modules**. Further examples have been recently given by Gui (2017).
- Conjecture 3:** Assume that V is completely rational and strongly local. Then $\text{Rep}^u(V)$ admits a structure of modular tensor category such that the forgetful functor $\text{Rep}^u(V) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(V)$ is a braided tensor equivalence. Moreover, the functor $\mathcal{F} : \text{Rep}^u(V) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}_V)$ discussed above admits a tensor structure.

- The following result has been obtained using weak quasi-Hopf algebra techniques. It seems to me that this is a good argument for the claim that [weak quasi-Hopf algebras are useful](#).
- **Theorem (Carpi, Ciamprone, Pinzari):** Let V be a completely rational VOA. Assume that every V -module is unitarizable and that $\text{Rep}(V)$ is tensor equivalent to a C^* -tensor category. Then, $\text{Rep}^u(V)$ admit the structure of a braided C^* -tensor category, unique up to unitary equivalence, such that the forgetful functor $:\text{Rep}^u(V) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(V)$ is a tensor equivalence.

- Let \mathfrak{g} be a **complex simple Lie algebra**, let k be a positive integer and let $V_{\mathfrak{g}_k}$ be the corresponding simple **level k affine VOA**. It is known that $V_{\mathfrak{g}_k}$ is a unitary completely rational VOA and that every $V_{\mathfrak{g}_k}$ -module is unitarizable.
- By a result of Finkelberg (1996) based on the work Kazhdan and Lusztig we know that $\text{Rep}(V_{\mathfrak{g}_k})$ is tensor equivalent to the “semisimplified” category $\widetilde{\text{Rep}}(G_q)$ associated to the representations of the **quantum group G_q** , with G the simply connected Lie group associated to \mathfrak{g} and $q = e^{\frac{i\pi}{d(k+h^\vee)}}$, $h^\vee =$ dual Coxeter number, $d = 1$ if \mathfrak{g} is ADE, $d = 2$ if \mathfrak{g} is BCF and $d = 3$ if \mathfrak{g} is G_2 .

- It was shown by Wenzl and Xu (1998) that $\widetilde{\text{Rep}}(G_q)$ is tensor equivalent to a C^* -tensor category.
- As a consequence we get that $\text{Rep}^u(V_{g_k})$ is a C^* -tensor category.
- The same result has been proved by Gui in a series of two paper (ArXiv 2017) in the special cases $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, $n \geq 2$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n}$, $n \geq 3$, by a completely different method based on Connes fusions for bimodules and a deep analysis of the analytic properties of the smeared intertwiners operators for VOA modules.
- Our method works also other VOAs like e.g. lattice VOAs and certain holomorphic orbifolds.

The Zhu algebra as a weak quasi-Hopf algebra

- Let V be completely rational. In 1998 Zhu introduced a finite-dimensional semisimple algebra $A(V)$ and a functor $\mathcal{F}_V : \text{Rep}(V) \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ with the following properties: for each $M \in \text{Rep}(V)$, $A(V)$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_V(M)$ and in this way \mathcal{F}_V gives rise to a linear equivalence from $\text{Rep}(V)$ to $\text{Rep}(A(V))$. Moreover $\mathcal{F}_V(V) = \mathbb{C}\Omega$.
- It follows that we can identify $A(V)$ with $\text{Nat}(\mathcal{F}_V)$. Hence, if $D_V([M]) := \dim(\mathcal{F}_V(M))$ defines a weak dimension function then the Zhu algebra $A(V)$ admit the structure of a weak quasi-Hopf algebra such that there is a tensor equivalence $\text{Rep}(V) \simeq \text{Rep}(A(V))$.
- D_V is not always a weak dimension function. A counterexample is given e.g. by the Ising. However D_V is a weak dimension function in many interesting cases e.g. if V is a unitary affine VOA.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH!